

U.S. Department Of Energy – FreedomCAR & Vehicle Technologies Program – Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity

APS Alternative Fuel (Hydrogen) Pilot
Plant Monitoring System

Dimitri Hochard
James Francfort

July 2005



The INL is a U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratory
operated by Battelle Energy Alliance

*U.S. Department of Energy
FreedomCAR & Vehicle Technologies Program
Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity*

APS Alternative Fuel (Hydrogen) Pilot Plant Monitoring System

Dimitri Hochard^a
James Francfort^b

July 2005

**Idaho National Laboratory
Transportation Technology Department
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415**

**Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
Under DOE Idaho Operations Office
Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517**

^a Electric Transportation Applications

^b Idaho National Laboratory

Disclaimer

This document highlights work sponsored by agencies of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Abstract

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity (AVTA), along with Electric Transportation Applications and Arizona Public Service (APS), is monitoring the operations of the APS Alternative Fuel (Hydrogen) Pilot Plant to determine the costs to produce hydrogen fuels (including 100% hydrogen as well as hydrogen and compressed natural gas blends) for use by fleets and other operators of advanced-technology vehicles. The hydrogen fuel cost data will be used as benchmark data by technology modelers as well as research and development programs.

The Pilot Plant can produce up to 18 kilograms (kg) of hydrogen per day by electrolysis. It can store up to 155 kg of hydrogen at various pressures up to 6,000 psi. The dispenser island can fuel vehicles with 100% hydrogen at 5,000 psi and with blends of hydrogen and compressed natural gas at 3,600 psi.

The monitoring system was designed to track hydrogen delivery to each of the three storage areas and to monitor the use of electricity on all major equipment in the Pilot Plant, including the fuel dispenser island. In addition, water used for the electrolysis process is monitored to allow calculation of the total cost of plant operations and plant efficiencies. The monitoring system at the Pilot Plant will include about 100 sensors when complete (50 are installed to date), allowing for analysis of component, subsystems, and plant-level costs.

The monitoring software is mostly off-the-shelf, with a custom interface. The majority of the sensors input to the Programmable Automation Controller as 4- to 20-mA analog signals. The plant can be monitored over the Internet, but the control functions are restricted to the control room equipment.

Using the APS general service plan E32 electric rate of 2.105 cents per kWh, during a recent eight-month period when 1,200 kg of hydrogen was produced and the plant capacity factor was 26%, the electricity cost to produce one kg of hydrogen was \$3.43. However, the plant capacity factor has been increasing, with a recent one-month high of 49%. If a plant capacity factor of 70% can be achieved with the present equipment, the cost of electricity would drop to \$2.39 per kg of hydrogen. In this report, the power conversion (76.7%), cell stack (53.1%), and reverse osmosis system (7.14%) efficiencies are also calculated, as is the water cost per kg of hydrogen produced (\$0.10 per kg).

The monitoring system has identified several areas having the potential to lower costs, including using an reverse osmosis system with a higher efficiency, improving the electrolysis power conversion efficiency, and using air cooling to replace some or all chiller cooling.

These activities are managed by the Idaho National Laboratory for the AVTA, which is part of DOE's FreedomCAR and Vehicle Technologies Program.

Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	MONITORING SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	2
3.	MONITORING SYSTEM HARDWARE.....	4
3.1	Sensors and Other Systems	4
3.1.1	Numeric Signals	4
3.1.2	Thermocouples	4
3.1.3	Other Instruments.....	4
3.2	Data Acquisition.....	4
4.	MONITORING SYSTEM DATA INTERFACE AND STORAGE.....	6
4.1	Software Interface	6
4.2	Data Storage	6
4.2.1	Text files.....	7
4.2.2	Database	7
5.	DATA ANALYSIS TOOLS	8
5.1	Local Monitoring Tools.....	8
5.1.1	Scale Options	8
5.1.2	Pan and Zoom Options.....	8
5.1.3	Legend Options	9
5.1.4	Graph Cursor.....	9
5.2	Internet Viewing Tools.....	9
6.	COST ANALYSIS	11
6.1	Electricity Cost at current capacity used	11
6.2	Evolution of Capacity over a Six-month Period.....	12
6.3	Production at 70% Capacity	13
6.3.1	Electricity Costs	13
6.3.2	Equipment efficiencies.....	13
6.3.3	Electrical Cost Comparison with DOE's 2005 Target.....	14
7.	POSSIBILITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT	16
	Appendix A Graphical Interfaces Available Online to Monitor the Pilot Plant	17

Acronyms

APS	Arizona Public Service
AVTA	Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity
CNG	compressed natural gas
DOE	Department of Energy
DRAM	dynamic random access memory
EEPROM	electrically erasable programmable read-only memory
FP	Fieldpoint
GGE	gasoline gallon equivalent
H/CNG	hydrogen and CNG blended fuels
I/O	input/output
NB	note bene (note well)
ODBC	(Microsoft's) open database connectivity
OLE	Object linking and embedding
OPC	OLE for Process Control
PAC	programmable automation controller
PC	personal computer
PLC	programmable logic controller
RO	reverse osmosis
SCR	silicon-controlled rectifier
VI	virtual instrument

APS Alternative Fuel (Hydrogen) Pilot Plant Monitoring System

1. INTRODUCTION

Arizona Public Service (APS) constructed the APS Alternative Fuel (Hydrogen) Pilot Plant (hereafter Pilot Plant) to produce, store, and dispense hydrogen for their Clean Fuel Vehicle Fleet and to test advanced hydrogen-fueled distributed generation equipment. The Pilot Plant also compresses natural gas on site and fuels vehicles with compressed natural gas (CNG) and blends of hydrogen and CNG (H/CNG).

The Pilot Plant, which initiated operations in June 2002, was originally constructed with only basic monitoring capabilities. Subsequently, a project was undertaken to enhance its monitoring capability to allow for analysis of the Pilot Plant's production costs and to compare them with the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) cost targets for producing hydrogen fuel. For more information on the Pilot Plant's functions and design, see *Arizona Public Service – Alternative Fuel (Hydrogen) Pilot Plant Design Report*, INEEL/EXT-03-00976 (available via: <http://avt.inl.gov/hydrogen.shtml>).

The DOE's Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity (AVTA) was a minor partner in the initial construction of the Pilot Plant and is now an equal partner, along with Electric Transportation Applications and Arizona Public Service, in the ongoing infrastructure monitoring activities of the Pilot Plant to determine the cost of hydrogen and H/CNG blended fuels. The hydrogen fuel cost data will be used as benchmark data by technology modelers as well as research and development programs. The Idaho National Laboratory (INL) manages this as well as other testing activities for the AVTA, as part of DOE's FreedomCAR and Vehicle Technologies Program. This report overviews the monitoring system design, as well as hardware and software components, and briefly discusses the initial plant capacity and energy cost information collected to date.

2. MONITORING SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

The Pilot Plant comprises three separate physical areas:

1. The equipment room, where the hydrogen is produced, and both hydrogen and CNG are compressed and stored
2. The fueling island, where the hydrogen and CNG are dispensed
3. The control room, where monitoring equipment is installed. The monitoring equipment can be accessed from within the control room and from remote locations.

The Pilot Plant is capable of producing 18 kilograms of hydrogen per day, which can be stored in three ways:

1. A low-pressure tank can store 20 kilograms (kg) at 150 pounds per square inch (psi) (located in the equipment room)
2. A tube trailer area can store up to 95 kg at 2400 psi (located in a nearby lot)
3. Two high-pressure tanks in combination can store 40 kg of hydrogen at 6000 psi (located in the equipment room).

The monitoring system was designed to track hydrogen delivery to each of the three storage areas and to monitor the electricity use of all major equipment in the Pilot Plant. In addition, water used for the electrolysis process is monitored to allow calculation of the total cost of plant operations.

When the Pilot Plant was originally constructed, it included some sensors for controlling and monitoring plant functions and these original sensors were wired to the control room (Table 1). Additional sensors were installed in the Pilot Plant when it was constructed, but they were not wired to the control room until the monitoring effort started in earnest during 2004 (Table 2). Additional sensors required to implement the monitoring system were subsequently added and wired to the control room (Table 3).

Table 1. Sensors installed and wired to the control room when the Pilot Plant was constructed.

TAG Number	Sensor Type	Description	Signal Type	Location
PT402	Pressure transmitter	Input pressure of the dispenser	4 to 20 mA	HP dispenser panel
PT501	Pressure transmitter	Helium pressure released in case of fire	4 to 20 mA	Equipment room
PT220	Pressure transmitter	Input pressure of the high-pressure Tank 2	4 to 20 mA	HP dispenser panel
PT210	Pressure transmitter	Input pressure of the high-pressure Tank 1	4 to 20 mA	HP dispenser panel
PT101	Pressure transmitter	Input pressure of the low-pressure storage	4 to 20 mA	Dryer panel
PT201	Pressure transmitter	Output pressure of the PDC compressor	4 to 20 mA	HP tank fill panel
TE501	Thermocouple	Temperature of the vent stack	TC type K	Vent Stack

Table 2. Sensors installed when the Pilot Plant was constructed that required wiring to the control room for monitoring purposes.

TAG Number	Sensor Type	Description	Signal Type	Location
HOGEN	Control system	Reversed fuel cell	RS232	Equipment room
Pressure switches (6)	Pressure switches	Indicates high and very high pressures	120 VAC discreet	HP and dryer panel
Flow switch	Flow switch	Indicates high flow	120 VAC discreet	HP dispenser panel
FDs (8)	UV/IR detector	Flame detector	4 to 20 mA	Equipment room and dispenser island

Table 3. Additional sensors added and wired to the control room for monitoring.

TAG Number	Sensor Type	Description	Signal Type	Location
CTs (11)	Current transmitter	RMS reading of AC current	4 to 20 mA	Control Room LA, HA, HB panels
PT02	Pressure transmitter	Nitrogen generator output pressure	4 to 20 mA	Control room
MFM105	Flow meter	Nitrogen generator mass flow	4 to 20 mA	Control room
PT01	Pressure transmitter	Nitrogen generator output pressure	4 to 20 mA	Control room
MFM104	Flow meter	Air mass flow	4 to 20 mA	Control room
LFM102	Liquid flow meter	Potable water flow	4 to 20 mA	Control room
PT003	Pressure transmitter	Instrument air output pressure	4 to 20 mA	Control room
MFM109	Flow meter	Instrument air mass flow	4 to 20 mA	Control room
MFM106	Flow meter	PDC output flow	4 to 20 mA	Equipment room
LFM101	Liquid flow meter	RO system water input	4 to 20 mA	Control room
AE102	Dew analyzer	Hydrogen water content (ppm)	4 to 20 mA	Equipment room
MFM102	Flow meter	Output flow of the HOGEN	4 to 20 mA	Equipment room
MFM103	Flow meter	Output flow from dryer	4 to 20 mA	Equipment room
TT101	Thermocouple	Chiller supply temperature	TC type K	Equipment room
TT102	Thermocouple	Chiller return temperature	TC type K	Equipment room
TT103	Thermocouple	Dryer temperature: IN	TC type K	Equipment room
TT104	Thermocouple	Dryer temperature: OUT	TC type K	Equipment room
TT105	Thermocouple	PDC temperature	TC type K	Equipment room
Dispenser	Control system	Provides mass flow and other information from the dispenser	RS485	Dispenser island

3. MONITORING SYSTEM HARDWARE

3.1 Sensors and Other Systems

3.1.1 Numeric Signals

HOGEN Electrolysis Unit

The HOGEN300 is the electrolysis unit from Proton Energy Systems used to generate hydrogen in the Pilot Plant. An Allen Bradley SLC 5/03 processor controls the HOGEN. An RS232 interface port allows access to the register file and monitors parameters of the system. Configuration of the HOGEN is available with RSLinx lite software available from Rockwell Automation. The wiring cable is

- 2 RX _____ 3 TX
- 3 TX _____ 2 RX
- 5 COM _____ 5 COM .

Since RS232 cannot go much further than 30 feet, the signal is converted to RS485 and run from the HOGEN to the control room using Fieldpoint (FP) data acquisition and control module. The Fieldpoint uses the OPC (OLE for Process Control) server from National Instruments to receive data from the Allen Bradley programmable logic controller (PLC). This digital bus enables recording voltage and current from the DC bus of the electrolysis unit as well as being able to receive warnings and error messages.

Fuel Dispenser

The dispenser control board passes information to the CFP2020 Programmable Automation Controller (PAC) through an RS485 link using a Modbus protocol (9600 bauds, no parity, 1 stop bit). With this data link, the quantity of gas dispensed from each zone of the Pilot Plant cascade fill can be monitored for hydrogen, H/CNG and CNG fueling.

3.1.2 Thermocouples

Thermocouples have been installed in the equipment room. They are all type K thermocouples.

3.1.3 Other Instruments

Other instruments, including flow meters, pressure transmitter, dew analyzer, etc., are equipped with a 4- to 20-mA current loop output transmitters. Twisted pair cables are used for those signals.

3.2 Data Acquisition

Based on the initial monitoring system specification, about 100 inputs required monitoring. The majority of them are 4- to 20-mA signals. The system being monitored is rather slow, and timing is not normally critical. However, for safety, a rugged and reliable system was required.

A personal computer-based system is not reliable enough for controlling the Pilot Plant. A PLC system would work, but it is not as flexible and open to other systems as required. Therefore, a PAC with an attached personal computer (PC) to perform noncritical calculations was chosen. Like a PLC, the PAC is rugged and reliable, but it has greater flexibility.

The monitoring system selected was a CFP2020 PAC from National Instruments, with a real-time operating system, 32 MB of electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), and 32 MB of dynamic random access memory (DRAM). This controller has an Ethernet port, three RS232s, and one RS485; it can store data on a compact flash card up to 512 MB. The controller is on a Backplane

circuit board with eight slots to plug in up to eight National Instruments input/output (I/O) modules. The controller has been configured with 4- to 20-mA input modules, digital input modules, and output relay modules, as follows.

Table 4. Configuration of the CFP2020 Programmable Automation Controller's eight input and output slots.

AI-111	DI-330	RLY421	RLY421				
--------	--------	--------	--------	--	--	--	--

AI-111: module 16 analog inputs 4 to 20 mA.

DI-330: module 8 digital input 3 to 250 VDC.

RLY-421: module 8 SPST Normally open relay.

To minimize wiring work and reduce data losses, signals are regrouped as much as possible into standalone data acquisition units, daisy chained on a single, twisted pair, RS485 bus using ADAM series data acquisition modules. This also provides flexibility after the eight controller slots are full, eliminating the need for a second controller, which would make the software more complex in a multiprocessor environment.

The ADAM units have eight inputs, which can include 4- to 20-mA inputs, 0- to 10-volt inputs, and thermocouple inputs. The modules are controlled by the CFP2020 PAC.

The HOGEN Fieldpoint device has the following configuration:

- IP address: 192.168.0.101
- Mask: 255.255.255.0
- Gateway: 192.168.0.1.

The Fieldpoint is configured using National Instruments Measurement and Automation Explorer Software (see the CFP-20xx user manual for details).

The PC attached to the PAC has the following network configuration:

- IP address: 192.168.0.100
- Mask: 255.255.255.0
- Gateway: 192.168.0.1.

Externally, the above server is located at the following IP address: <http://66.213.226.251/>.

The ADAM hardware configuration is as follows:

- Device number: 01
- Baud rate: 9600
- Data type: +/- 20 mA (needs a 125-Ohm 0.1% resistor between signal input and ground or differential inputs)
- Data format: engineering, no checksum.

The ADAM module is configured using an RS232 link from a desktop computer with an RS232/RS485 converter. HyperTerminal is used to configure the unit. Every unit in the bus must have a different device number (default is one). See the ADAM 4000 Series Datasheet for the proper set of commands to configure a module.

4. MONITORING SYSTEM DATA INTERFACE AND STORAGE

Data from the Fieldpoint module are monitored from LabVIEW with the Fieldpoint read sub-VI (virtual instrument) (see Figure 1). The data are updated automatically when they change, with the sample rate depending on the module. For the AI-111 input module, monitoring 4- to 20-mA signals, the maximum sample rate is 500 Hz. For better noise rejection, a 10-Hz sampling rate is used.

Inputs from the ADAM modules need to be published in National Instruments' MAX program, so they can be accessible from LabVIEW. The program in the PAC requests data from the ADAM series through the RS485 every second, and those data are published in the Fieldpoint system, allowing the PC to access them as any other data from a National Instruments module.




Figure 1. Data interface.

4.1 Software Interface

The hydrogen monitoring system software is the user-developed human-machine interface for monitoring the hydrogen production, storage, and dispensing process. Every sensor, from a flow meter to a flame detector, can be monitored, and data are updated every second.

Function buttons allow the user to monitor a particular area of the plant. Navigation keys provide access to the following subpanels:

- F1: Instrument air compressor system
- F2: High-pressure dispenser
- F3: Nitrogen generator system
- F5: Instantaneous power usage
- F6: Dryer
- F7: High-pressure tank fill
- F8: Low-pressure PDC
- F9: Fire extinguisher system with helium release.

For context help, click **Help** on the tab menu. When the cursor is placed on top of an indicator, context help is displayed that explains the indicator.

4.2 Data Storage

Inasmuch as the system processes are relatively slow, data are recorded on the server only every ten seconds. Data can be recorded in text files as well as in the database. The program is currently doing both. Text files can be analyzed on the server to review particular events, such as fueling a vehicle. Data in the database are used over the Internet for plotting data (see Section 4.2.2).

4.2.1 Text files

The default location for text files is C:\Temp\ . This file contains all data recorded over a 24-hour period. It is used with local tools to determine instrument behavior. Files are typically 2.5 MB per 24-hours of data.

4.2.2 Database

The database is in Microsoft Access, with a 1 gigabyte .mdb file size. The primary key is Time, because the database will never receive data twice at the same time. Time is recorded in seconds, limiting the fastest recording speed to every second. (NB: Microsoft Automatic Time synchronization needs to be deactivated.)

The default location for the database files is F:\vi\h2\MonitoringPoints.mdb. The file contains the same number of elements as the text file. The database deletes elements older than July 1st of the previous year on New Year's day. The database is accessed through Microsoft Open Database Connectivity (ODBC) Administrator, System DSN. The database will then content up to one year of data, after which it will delete old data to generate space.

5. DATA ANALYSIS TOOLS

5.1 Local Monitoring Tools

A virtual instrument (VI), called View Recorded Data, plots channels recorded in Text files. The VI is available from the control room only. Other analysis tools are available online. Two channels can be plotted on the same graph with different Y axes. Plot 0 has the Y axis on the left; Plot 1 has the Y axis on the right.

5.1.1 Scale Options

Graphs can automatically adjust their horizontal and vertical scales to reflect the data to be presented. The autoscaling feature is turned **ON** or **OFF** using the *Autoscale X* and *Autoscale Y* menu item from the *Data Operations* or the *X Scale/Y Scale* submenus of the pop-up menu for the graph. Autoscaling **ON** is the default setting for graphs.

Right click on the graph to access the Autoscale settings.

5.1.2 Pan and Zoom Options




Normally, the display is in standard operating mode, indicated by the plus or crosshatch. In operating mode, clicking in the graph moves the cursor about.



Pressing the panning tool switches to a mode that can scroll the visible data by clicking and dragging the plot area of the graph. Autoscale must be OFF to use this feature.



Pressing the zoom tool zooms IN or OUT on the graph. Clicking the zoom tool opens a pop-up menu to choose methods of zooming. This menu is shown in Figure 2, which includes descriptions of the menu items.



- Zoom by rectangle
- Zoom by rectangle, with zooming restricted to X data (the Y scale remains unchanged).
- Zoom by rectangle, with zooming restricted to Y data (the X scale remains unchanged).
- Undo last zoom. Resets the graph to its previous setting.
- Zoom in about a point. If you hold the cursor on a specific point, the graph continuously zooms in until you release the mouse button.
- Zoom out about a point. If you hold the cursor on a specific point, the graph continuously zooms out until you release the mouse button.

Figure 2. Pan and zoom options menu on the graph.

In the last two modes—zoom in and zoom out about a point—clicking while pressing the shift key zooms in the other direction. The autoscale must be **OFF** to use the zoom feature.

5.1.3 Legend Options

Right clicking on the plot legend opens the plot sample pop-up menu, shown in Figure 3.

Common Plots assists in configuring a plot for any of six popular plot styles, including a scatter plot, bar plot, and fill-to-zero plot.

Color displays the palette for selecting the plot color.

Line Style and **Line Width** display the styles available to distinguish a plot. The line width subpalette contains widths thicker than the default (one pixel), as well as hairline. The latter has no effect on the screen display but prints a very thin line if the printer and print mode support hairline printing.

Bar Plots has a selection of vertical bars, horizontal bars, and no bars.

Fill Baseline sets the baseline fill. **Zero** fills from the plot to a baseline generated at 0. **Infinity** fills from the plot to the positive edge of the graph. **Infinity** fills from the plot to the negative edge of the graph. The bottom part of this menu allows selecting the other plot of this graph to fill to.

Interpolation allows selecting how the graph draws lines between plotted points. The first item does not draw a line. The item at bottom left draws a straight line between plotted points. The four stepped items, which link with a right-handed elbow, are useful for creating histogram-like plots.




Figure 3. Options pop-up menu for the plotting legend.

5.1.4 Graph Cursor

The cursor on the graph allows reading the exact value of a point on a plot. The value displays in the cursor legend. The lock symbol is used to lock the cursor onto a plot. The menu allows locking on Plot 0 or Plot 1. The cursor mover allows you to select which plot is locked onto, by using the up and down arrow. The next and previous point values may be selected by using the right and left arrow.

5.2 Internet Viewing Tools

The monitoring system Webpage allows viewing of data on the Microsoft Access database stored on the server. Use the Active Server Page to access the database. The IP address of the Web server is <http://66.213.226.251/>.

The daily, weekly, and monthly averages for various energy efficiencies can be viewed, such as the efficiency of:

- Power conversion of the stack
- Cell stack
- Balance-of-plant energy
- Compression energy
- Storage and dispensing

- Total energy.

These analytical results can be plotted based on the data recorded in the database.

Internet users can control the hydrogen monitoring system software; only one person at a time, however, can have control of the software. With the current National Instruments license, up to five people can monitor the plant at the same time.

6. COST ANALYSIS

Pilot Plant operations data recorded between the second half of 2004 and March 2005 have been analyzed and are presented below. The primary Pilot Plant cost of interest is the electricity cost per equipment component. Other costs were also examined, including the cost of water and various plant efficiencies and capacity factors.

6.1 Electricity Cost at current capacity used

Between July 2004 and mid-March 2005, 1,200 kg of hydrogen were produced. This is an average production rate of 4.7 kg per day. During this period, the Pilot Plant operated at an average capacity of 26%. Based on the readings of current transducers, a total of 764 kilowatt-hours (kWh) were used during the same period (Table 5).

Table 5. Daily kWh usage per major component at 26% of capacity.
(Cost is based on APS's E32 electric rate of 2.105 cents per kWh.)

Equipment	Daily Usage (kWh)	Electricity Cost (per kg)
Electrolysis unit	410	\$1.84
Compressor	13	\$0.05
Chillers	254	\$1.14
Control room	34	\$0.15
Dryer	11	\$0.06
Instrument air	23	\$0.10
Nitrogen system	19	\$0.09
Total	764	\$3.43

Electricity costs for the Pilot Plant's chiller can be separated between its nominal (stand-by) consumption mode and its electricity consumption for chilling the compression, electrolysis, and air-conditioning units. To determine the percentage of the chiller used per Pilot Plant component, data were analyzed when only one component was running. The results of this analysis are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Load repartition for the chilling system at 100% of capacity.

Equipment	Daily Usage (kWh)	Percent Load
HOGEN	319 kWh	67.5
Compressor	12 kWh	2.5
Chiller standby	87 kWh	18.5
Air conditioning	55 kWh	11.5
Total	473 kWh	100

Figure 4 presents the total energy cost components for producing hydrogen from July 2004 to mid March 2005 at 26% plant capacity.




Figure 4. Hydrogen production cost components at the actual 26% of capacity.

6.2 Evolution of Capacity over a Six-month Period

Figure 5 presents the evolution of the capacity factor over the last six months. The Plant is used to produce hydrogen for on-road vehicles and for distributed generation hardware testing. Variations in the capacity factor are due to fuel use for distributed generation hardware (generator-set and fuel cell) testing over the past three months. Except for the February data, plant use has increased each month. It is anticipated that the plant will achieve 70% of capacity by the end of 2005 as a result of fueling additional on-road vehicles.




Figure 5. Evolution of the capacity factor over the past six months.

6.3 Production at 70% Capacity

6.3.1 Electricity Costs

Based on the standby cost and a 100% capacity factor cost, the costs at a 70% plant capacity factor can be calculated as shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Daily kWh usage per element at 70% of capacity.

Equipment	Daily usage (kWh)	Electrical Cost (per kg)
Electrolysis unit	1,020 kWh	\$1.70/kg
Compressor	30 kWh	\$0.05/kg
Chillers	324 kWh	\$0.54/kg
Control room	5 kWh	\$0.01/kg
Dryer	8 kWh	\$0.01/kg
Instrument air	23 kWh	\$0.04/kg
Nitrogen system	26 kWh	\$0.04/kg
Total	1,436 kWh	\$2.39/kg

At 70% capacity (an average of 12.6 kg produced per day), the production cost per kilogram can be reduced by more than 30%. This results from reduced standby costs and higher equipment efficiencies. Figure 6 presents the total cost components for producing hydrogen at a projected 70% capacity.




Figure 6. Hydrogen production cost components at a projected 70% capacity.

6.3.2 Equipment efficiencies

The efficiencies of the major Pilot Plant components were examined to determine the effects on the cost of producing hydrogen and presented below.

Power Conversion Efficiency of the Electrolysis Unit

$$\eta = \frac{VoltageDC \times CurrentDC}{VoltageAC \times CurrentAC \times PowerFactor}$$

$$\eta = \frac{1000A \times 46V}{60kW} .$$

The HOGEN power conversion efficiency for July 2004 through mid March 2005 was 76.7%.

Cell Stack Efficiency


$$\eta = \frac{OutputFlow \times H2density \times LHV}{VoltageDC \times CurrentDC}$$

$$\eta = \frac{310 \times 2.362763 \times 10^{-3} \times 33.34}{46} .$$

The HOGEN cell stack efficiency for July 2004 through mid-March 2005 was 53.1%.

Efficiency of the Reverse Osmosis System

From the equation



with

n(H2) = 2 g/mol and n(H2O) = 18 g/mol

it can be seen that 2.38 gallons of water are required to make one kg of hydrogen with no losses.

LFM101 (liquid flow meter on the input of the reverse osmosis system) shows that ~600 gallons of water are required to produce 18 kg of hydrogen in a 24 hr period. Assuming no water loss in the HOGEN unit and the de-ionized water system, the system efficiency of the reverse osmosis (RO) system is

$$\frac{2.38 \times 18}{600} = 7.14 \% .$$

Based on City of Phoenix pricing as of March 2005, the water cost is \$2.08 per 748 gallons, resulting in a water cost of \$0.10 per kg of hydrogen produced. In addition to this production cost, the electrolysis unit drains up to 10 gallons of water at startup to flush the system. At the current RO system efficiency, another 140 gallons of water is used for starting the production process.

6.3.3 Electrical Cost Comparison with DOE's 2005 Target

DOE's Hydrogen Program has established targets for hydrogen production, which include both energy use and hydrogen cost. At a 70% projected plant capacity factor, the Pilot Plant hydrogen production energy efficiency is 114 kWh per kg of hydrogen. As shown in Figure 7, this is well above the DOE 2005 target of 71 kWh per kg. However, as shown in Figure 8, at the current APS E32 electric rate of 2.105¢ per kWh, the cost of hydrogen production for the Pilot Plant is \$2.39 per kg, slightly below the DOE 2005 target.




Figure 7. Kilowatt-hour usage per kg of hydrogen produced versus DOE's 2005 Target. Note: kWh per kg comparison assumes 1 kg of hydrogen equals 1 gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE).




Figure 8. Pilot Plant electricity cost versus DOE's 2005 target.

Notes:

1. Comparison assumes 1 kg of hydrogen equals 1 GGE.
2. The APS bar electricity cost at APS E32 rate of 2.105 cents per kWh.
3. The Status bar represents hydrogen cost if electric cost is 3.5 cents per kWh as the DOE target estimates.

7. POSSIBILITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT

The data collected by the monitoring system allow for analysis of the major contributors to the Pilot Plant hydrogen production electricity costs. As seen from the discussion above, several parts of the production process can be targeted for improvement to lower the cost of producing hydrogen, including the following:

- The RO system should be replaced with one that recycles water, to improve system efficiency. Some companies advertise RO system efficiencies as high as 60%. This would significantly reduce the current \$0.10 water cost per kg of hydrogen produced.
- The electrolysis unit (HOGEN) power conversion efficiency must be improved. The existing SCR (silicon-controlled rectifier) power supply should be replaced by a more efficient supply and one maintaining a unity power factor. Assuming a power conversion efficiency of 96%, the daily kWh usage at 70% capacity factor would be 814 kWh with the existing cell stack. This would reduce the cost per kg for the electrolysis unit to \$1.36 per kg.
- The chiller system currently constitutes 22% of the energy cost to produce hydrogen. Proper system design to allow air cooling rather than refrigeration can reduce this energy use significantly. This would require redesigned compressor and power electronics for the electrolysis unit (HOGEN). This will also reduce the potential maintenance costs associated with the refrigeration compressor system.

Appendix A

Graphical Interfaces Available Online to Monitor the Pilot Plant

Appendix A

Graphical Interfaces Available Online to Monitor the Pilot Plant

The following figures present graphical interfaces available online to monitor the Pilot Plant (see Section 5.2). Figure A-1 is the main interface. The other figures (Figures A-2 to A-7) are examples of subpanels of the main graphical interface.




Figure A-1. APS Alternative Fuels (Hydrogen) Pilot Plant, Monitoring System Main Interface Panel.




Figure A-2. Dryer Subpanel.




Figure A-3. Dispensing Subpanel.




Figure A-4. Station Refueling Subpanel.




Figure A-5. Instrument Air Subpanel.




Figure A-6. Nitrogen Generator Subpanel.




Figure A-7. Low-pressure Storage and Compression Subpanel.