

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76CH03073

PPPL-3995
UC-70



PPPL-3995

**Assessment of ICRF Antenna Performance
in Alcator C-Mod**

by

G. Schilling, S.J. Wukitch, Y. Lin, N. Basse, P.T. Bonoli,
E. Edlund, L. Lin, A. Parisot, and M. Porkolab

August 2004



**PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

PPPL Reports Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Availability

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Fiscal Year 2004. The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is: http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/

DOE and DOE Contractors can obtain copies of this report from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
DOE Technical Information Services (DTIS)
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Telephone: (865) 576-8401

Fax: (865) 576-5728

Email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

This report is available to the general public from:

National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: 1-800-553-6847 or
(703) 605-6000

Fax: (703) 321-8547

Internet: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>

Assessment of ICRF Antenna Performance in Alcator C-Mod

G. Schilling¹, S. J. Wukitch², Y. Lin², N. Basse², P. T. Bonoli², E. Edlund², L. Lin²,

A. Parisot², and M. Porkolab²

¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA

²MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Cambridge, MA, USA

ICRF ANTENNA DESCRIPTION

The Alcator C-Mod ICRF system consists of three antennas: two 2-strap antennas, each connected to a 2-MW transmitter, and one 4-strap antenna, whose current straps are connected in pairs to two 2-MW transmitters. The 4-strap antenna design adds the capability of a directed launched wave spectrum for current drive by changes in current strap phasing.¹ The antennas exhibit several design differences.² The Faraday shields of the 2-strap antennas are $\sim 27\%$ transparent and aligned with the net (toroidal plus poloidal) magnetic field, while those of the 4-strap antenna are $\sim 50\%$ transparent and aligned with the toroidal




FIGURE 1. One of the two 2-strap antennas (left), and the 4-strap antenna (right).




FIGURE 2. Current strap of the 2-strap antenna (left), and the 4-strap antenna (right).

magnetic field (Figure 1). Differences exist in the current straps as well. The current straps of the 2-strap antennas are end-fed center-grounded, while those of the 4-strap antenna are folded, end-grounded with a central current feed (Figure 2). The straps exhibit spectral differences as well, $n_\phi = \pm 10$ vs. $n_\phi = \pm 13$ for heating phasing.

ANTENNA PERFORMANCE LIMITATIONS

The antennas' ability to deliver useful power to the plasma was found to be limited by the injection of impurities into the plasma or by internal arcing at high voltage limits. Both these limits have been pushed upwards through systematic antenna improvements that eliminated impurity generation and improved high voltage handling.^{3,4}

Operation with the initial metal plasma-facing components was satisfactory, but the level of Mo impurity in the plasma core was found to scale with the rf power. Although the source rate was low, plasma screening was poor.⁵ The antenna's plasma protection tiles were therefore changed from the original molybdenum to boron nitride to completely shield all metal surfaces, BN-metal interfaces, and eliminate sheath rectification effects.⁶

Extensive arc damage has been observed in the 4-strap antenna between the striplines feeding rf current to the antenna straps, in a direction along the tokamak edge magnetic field. This corresponded to an empirical electric field limit of ~ 15 kV/cm under the local conditions, i.e. $\mathbf{E} \parallel \mathbf{B}$, and plasma edge neutral gas pressure up to ~ 1 mTorr. The mechanism for this breakdown is not clear.² Field emission initiation requires local field strengths considerably higher than those present. For gas breakdown, the Paschen curve minimum is \sim Torr-cm, while at the antenna we have \sim mTorr-cm, with mean free paths much greater than the electrode spacing. Multipactoring initiation would require lower electric fields or greater path lengths.

The striplines of the 4-strap antenna were redesigned to reorient them to an $\mathbf{E} \perp \mathbf{B}$ configuration (Figure 4)⁷. In order to reduce the electric field below 10 kV/cm in regions with $\mathbf{E} \parallel \mathbf{B}$, high voltage gaps were increased, and in the case of arcing at the current strap

crossover, electrodes were reshaped to reorient the region of highest field.




FIGURE 4. Modified $\mathbf{E} \perp \mathbf{B}$ current feed design. The tokamak magnetic field rises at $\sim 30^\circ$ to the right.

ANTENNA PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

The 2-strap and 4-strap antenna performance was compared in two different ways. First, the plasma response to the two different antenna types was compared (Figure 5). In an L-mode discharge 2.7 MW were coupled through the 4-strap antenna at J-port in co-current phasing, followed by the same power through the two 2-strap antennas at D and E-port.




FIGURE 5. Antenna comparison





FIGURE 6. 4-strap antenna phasing comparison

The plasma response to the same antenna at different phasings was then compared. A series of limited L-mode discharges was run, and the phasing of the 4-strap antenna was systematically varied while keeping the power constant (Figure 6). In both comparisons all the observed diagnostics, stored energy, electron temperature, neutron rate, density rise, radiated power, Z_{eff} , and D_{α} are the same within $\sim 10\%$. These comparisons indicate that the differences in antenna construction, Faraday screen transparency and orientation, and current strap design do not result in observable differences in the plasma response.

TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS

All antennas now are able to be brought up to a peak rf voltage of 35 kV when operating into plasma. The two 2-strap antennas achieve power levels of 1.5 MW each, and the 4-strap antenna has achieved 3 MW. Total power into plasma has reached 5 MW for 0.6 second (FIGURE 7, right) and 6 MW for ~ 0.1 second. On-axis plasma heating power density can exceed 10 MWm^{-3} .



EXPERIMENTAL FLEXIBILITY

The C-Mod ICRF system now allows an extremely useful and flexible combination of ICRF frequencies, toroidal field setting, and phasing for a variety of physics experiments.

B_{toroidal} Tesla	D+E frequ. MHz	J frequ. MHz	D+E location	J location	J phasing	Goal
5.4	80, 80.5	78	$r/a \sim 0$	$r/a \sim 0$	heating ($0,\pi,0,\pi$)	maximum on-axis heating
5.4	80, 80.5	70	$r/a \sim 0$	$r/a \sim +0.5$	heating ($0,\pi,0,\pi$)	off and on-axis heating for LFS ITB
4.5	80, 80.5	70	$r/a \sim -0.5$	$r/a \sim 0$	heating ($0,\pi,0,\pi$)	off and on-axis heating for HFS ITB
5.2	80, 80.5	78	$r/a \sim 0$	$r/a \sim 0$	CD ($\pm 90^\circ$)	on-axis heating and current drive
8.0	80, 80.5	78	$r/a \sim 0-0.2$	$r/a \sim 0-0.2$	CD ($\pm 90^\circ$)	on/off-axis D(^3He) heating and MCCD

SUMMARY

C-Mod has presented a challenge to install high power ICRF antennas in a tight space. Modifications have been made to the antenna plasma-facing surfaces and the internal current-carrying structure in order to overcome performance limitations. At the present time the antennas have exceeded 5 MW into plasma with heating phasing, up to 2.7 MW with current drive phasing, with good efficiency and no deleterious effects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work supported by US DoE Contract DE-AC02-76-CH0-3073 and Cooperative Agreement DE-FC02-99ER54512. Engineering support by W. Beck, P. Koert, C. Schwartz, and R. Vieira, MIT PSFC, and C. Brunkhorst, PPPL, is gratefully appreciated.

REFERENCES

- ¹G. Schilling et al., "Extension of Alcator C-Mod's ICRF Experimental Capability," Proceedings of the 13th Topical Conference on Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas, Annapolis MD, April 1999, 429-432.
- ²S. J. Wukitch et al., "Investigation of Performance Limiting Phenomena in a Variable Phase ICRF Antenna in Alcator C-Mod," submitted to Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion.
- ³G. Schilling et al., "Upgrades to the 4-strap ICRF Antenna in Alcator C-Mod," Proceedings of the 14th Topical Conference on Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas, Oxnard CA, May 2001, 186-189.
- ⁴S. J. Wukitch et al., "Performance of a Compact Four-Strap Fast Wave ICRF Antenna," presented at the 19th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Lyon, France, 14 - 19 October 2002, FT/P1-14.
- ⁵B. Lipschultz et al., "A Study of Molybdenum Influxes and Transport in Alcator C-Mod," Nuclear Fusion **41**, (2001) 585.
- ⁶J. W. Myra and D. A. D'Ippolito, "Far Field ICRF Sheath Formation on Walls and Limiters," Proceedings of the Tenth Topical Conference on Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas, Boston MA, April 1993, 421-424.
- ⁷G. Schilling et al., "Analysis of 4-strap ICRF Antenna Performance in Alcator C-Mod," Proceedings of the 15th Topical Conference on Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas, Moran WY, May 2003, 166.

External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil
The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, England
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research Institute
for Physics, Hungary
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy
Dr. G. Grosso, Instituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan
Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study,
Kyoto University, Japan
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan
Dr. Jiengang Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
People's Republic of China
Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China
Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2,
Komenskeho Univerzita, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA
Library, General Atomics, USA
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California
at San Diego, USA
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
Dr. John Willis, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, USA
Mr. Paul H. Wright, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated
by Princeton University under contract
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
P.O. Box 451
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750
Fax: 609-243-2751
e-mail: pppl_info@pppl.gov
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>