



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

The Cleaning of 303 Stainless Steel

T. H. Shen

April 22, 2004

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED BY AN AGENCY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT. NEITHER THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NOR THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA NOR ANY OF THEIR EMPLOYEES, MAKES ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, OR ASSUMES ANY LEGAL LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, OR USEFULNESS OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, PRODUCT, OR PROCESS DISCLOSED, OR REPRESENTS THAT ITS USE WOULD NOT INFRINGE PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS. REFERENCE HEREIN TO ANY SPECIFIC COMMERCIAL PRODUCT, PROCESS, OR SERVICE BY TRADE NAME, TRADEMARK, MANUFACTURER, OR OTHERWISE, DOES NOT NECESSARILY CONSTITUTE OR IMPLY ITS ENDORSEMENT, RECOMMENDATION, OR FAVORING BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT OR THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA. THE VIEWS AND OPINIONS OF AUTHORS EXPRESSED HEREIN DO NOT NECESSARILY STATE OR REFLECT THOSE OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT OR THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, AND SHALL NOT BE USED FOR ADVERTISING OR PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT PURPOSES.

THIS WORK WAS PERFORMED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY BY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY UNDER CONTRACT W-7405-ENG-48.

THE CLEANING OF 303 STAINLESS STEEL

Tien H. Shen

*Materials Science and Technology Division
Chemistry & Materials Science Directorate*

ABSTRACT

The sulfur found on the surfaces of stainless steel 303 (SS303) after nitric acid passivation originated from the MnS inclusions in the steel. The nitric acid attacked and dissolved these MnS inclusions, and re-deposited micron-sized elemental sulfur particles back to the surface. To develop an alternative passivation procedure for SS303, citric and phosphoric acids have been evaluated. The experimental results show neither acid causes a significant amount of sulfur deposit. Thus, these two acids can be used as alternatives to nitric acid passivation for NIF applications. For SS303 previously passivated by nitric acid, NaOH soak can be used as a remedial cleaning process to effectively remove the sulfur deposits.

INTRODUCTION

In November 2001, the kinematic mounts made from 303 stainless steel (SS303) showed a high level of non-volatile residue (NVR) after nitric acid passivation followed by precision cleaning. The NVR, identified by John Ertel using Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), contained a high level of sulfur. Since sulfur is not permitted in the NIF laser beam environment, where equipment or parts (such as reflectors) may be silver coated, the presence of sulfur on the surface of SS303 components is a concern.

This investigation was initiated 1) to study the origin of sulfur on the surface of SS303 after nitric acid passivation, 2) to develop an alternative passivation and cleaning procedure and 3) to develop remedial cleaning procedure for SS303 parts that previously received the nitric acid passivation.

THE ORIGIN OF SULFUR

The 303 stainless steel (sometime referred to as “free-machining” stainless steel) has chemical composition similar to that of SS304 except that sulfur is intentionally added to

form MnS inclusions in the microstructure. **Table I** shows the nominal chemical composition of these two alloys.



Table I The nominal chemical composition of stainless steels 303 and 304.

Type	C	Mn	Si	Cr	Ni	P	S
SS303	0.15	2.00	1.00	17.0-19.0	8.0-10.0	0.2	0.15 min.
SS304	0.08	2.00	1.00	18.0-20.0	8.0-10.5	0.045	0.03

Figure 1 shows a typical microstructure of SS303. The MnS stringers help to promote the easy breakup of metal chips during machining.

It is likely that the sulfur found in the NVR measurements originated from the metal itself. To identify the origin of sulfur, an experiment was performed to simulate the hour-long nitric acid passivation followed by a precision cleaning with a mirror-polished SS303 sample. **Figure 2a** shows that the 35 vol%[†] nitric acid dissolved the MnS inclusions and left many pits behind. Micron-sized elemental sulfur particles, which were identified by EDXS/SEM as shown in **Figure 2b**, were re-deposited back to the surface in the vicinity of these pits as indicated by arrows in **Figure 2a**. Apparently, the precision cleaning could not remove these sulfur particles.

A thermodynamic calculation¹ suggested that MnS was thermodynamically unstable above a potential of 100mV[‡] and could not exist in solution with pH less than 4.3. The strong oxidation potential of the nitric acid could dissolve the MnS and redeposit sulfur according to the reactions^{1, 2, 3}:



The observation of the elemental sulfur particles is consistent with these reactions.

The precision cleaning that followed the nitric acid passivation could not remove these sulfur deposits from the surface as shown in **Figure 2b**. Thus, it is likely that the sulfur found in the NVR measurements was generated by the nitric acid during the passivation process.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

To confirm this preliminary finding and develop an alternative to the nitric acid passivation, phosphoric and citric acids have been evaluated in this investigation. Furthermore, since some sulfur was apparently rinsed off by the FreonTM during the NVR measurements, this experiment also evaluated the effectiveness of using solvent to remove sulfur from the surface after the acid passivation.

[†] The 35 vol% concentration is derived by diluting 50% of a 70 vol.% reagent grade nitric acid.

[‡] Against Standard Hydrogen Electrode.

Three 12"x12" SS303 plates with machined surface (roughness (r_a) ≤ 32 μ inch) were used for this experiment. These plates were machined using NIF approved cutting fluid. The two sides of each plate were identified as **A** and **B**.

The experimental procedures are outlined as follows:

1. Each plate was high pressure detergent washed with 5% Brulin 1990 GD solution, and rinsed with high pressure spray of process water[§].
2. First NVR measurement was taken from **Side A** of each plate.
3. Each panel was treated with different acids as indicated below:
 - Plate 1):** 50 vol% nitric acid, 60 min.,
 - Plate 2):** 15 vol% citric acid gel, 120 min.,
 - Plate 3):** 15 vol% phosphoric acid gel, 90 min.
4. After the passivation, each plate was rinsed with high-pressure spray of process water.
5. A final wash of each plate with 5% Brulin solution, and rinsed with high pressure spray of process water.
6. Second NVR and the first particle swipe were taken from **Side A** of each plate.
7. Soaked the test panels in acetone^{**} for 10 min., followed by hand-wiping of each plate with acetone.
8. The third NVR was taken followed by particle swipe from **Sides A and B**, respectively, of each plate.

RESULTS

Table II shows the results of the experiment. This experiment again confirms the preliminary finding that the nitric acid passivation of SS303 (**Plate 1**) caused high NVR and high particle swipe values.

Table II The results of nitric, citric and phosphoric acid passivations on NVR and particle swipe.

Cleaning and Passivation Steps	Results of NVR ($\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$) / Particle Swipe					
	Plate 1		Plate 2		Plate 3	
	Nitric (60 min.)		Citric (120 min.)		Phosphoric (90 min.)	
	Side A	Side B	Side A	Side B	Side A	Side B
After 1st Brulin Wash	0.52 / -	-	0.46 / -	-	0.49 / -	-
Acid Passivation	-	-	-	-	-	-
After 2nd Brulin Wash	0.11 / 66	-	0.01 / 69	-	0.08 / 74	-
Acetone Soak & Wipe	0.05 / 92	0.14 / 86	0.04 / 66	0.02 / 75	0.02 / 81	0.03 / 74

[§] The process water was pressurized to 2,500 psi and heated to 43-54 °C. This condition applied to all the remaining procedures.

^{**} We choose acetone since John Ertel had shown that the acetone could reduce sulfur of a 303 plate that failed previously of NVR.

Both citric acid and phosphoric acid passivations reduced the NVR and particle swipe to an acceptable level of less than $0.1 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ and level 83, respectively. **Figure 3** shows the surface morphology of the SS303 after the citric acid and phosphoric acid passivations. Both acids attacked the MnS inclusions and left pits behind. However, the SEM investigation did not find any sulfur particles in the vicinity of these pits.

The acetone soak after the nitric-acid passivation was not consistently effective in removing the sulfur from the surface. Subsequently, several solvents, *i.e.* FreonTM, isopropyl alcohol (IPA) and hot D.I. water, had also been evaluated for their effectiveness in removing the sulfur. However, the experiments showed erratic and inconsistent NVR results. **Figure 4** shows that the hot D.I. water didn't noticeably dissolve the sulfur particles, but Freon did show some effectiveness in dissolving the sulfur particles. However, sulfur particles were still present after several Freon rinses.

DISCUSSIONS

In general, the primary purpose of passivation of stainless steel is to enhance its corrosion resistance and prevent the "rouging"⁴ from occurring. In cleaning NIF parts, the passivation serves as part of the cleaning procedure to ensure the surfaces of stainless steel from contaminants, such as metal fines, welding debris, before the precision cleaning. In the case of SS303, the generation of sulfur during nitric acid passivation presents an additional problem. This study has shown that by replacing the nitric acid with citric or phosphoric acids during passivation, the problem of surface sulfur deposits can be effectively mitigated. However, the author cautions the reader that the citric and phosphoric acids are not effective passivation methods for SS303 for application in aggressive corrosion environment, such as salt containing atmosphere. This study has conducted a very extensive corrosion test in a salt spray environment on SS303 and SS304 passivated either by nitric, citric or phosphoric acids. These test showed that the citric acid passivated SS303 has poor corrosion performance compared to that of the nitric acid passivated SS303. However, from the corrosion point of view, the atmosphere inside the NIF beam line is rather benign and aggressive corrosion is not a concern. For the brevity of this report, these corrosion results are not presented and discussed here.

Remedial Cleaning Procedure For SS303 parts that previously received the nitric acid passivation, the experimental results show that solvents and hot D.I. water were not effective in removing the element sulfur. Hence, an alternate approach to removing sulfur was necessary.

It has been shown that the nitric acid is not effective in passivating free-machining stainless steels⁵. To properly passivate SS303, a NaOH soak after an nitric acid passivation is necessary to improve the corrosion resistance in a salt spray environment. It was suggested⁵ that residual nitric acid might be retained inside the pits after the passivation and degraded the corrosion resistance. The NaOH soak neutralized the retained nitric acid thus improving the corrosion resistance of SS303. To verify the effectiveness of NaOH soak, several experiments have been conducted to evaluate the

corrosion performance of SS303 treated by 5 vol% NaOH at 70°C for 30 minutes after the nitric acid passivation. The results showed that the corrosion performance was indeed improved with the NaOH soak. **Figure 5** shows that the NaOH dissolved the sulfur particles, as indicated by arrows, and improved the pitting corrosion resistance of SS303 after the nitric acid passivation.

To pursue the NaOH soak as the remedial cleaning method for nitric-acid passivated SS303, a precision cleaning experiment was conducted on two 12" x 12" SS303 panels as follows:

1. Detergent-washed both panels with a high pressure (2,500 psi) solution containing 5% Brulin 1990 GD, heated to 43-54°C and rinse with high pressure spray of process water heated to 43-54°C.
2. Passivated the two panels with 50 % nitric acid for 60 min with Side A up follow by DI water rinse.
3. Soaked **Panel 2** in 5% NaOH at 70°C for 30 min. with **Side A** up, follow by DI rinse.
4. Detergent-washed both plates with a high pressure (2,500 psi) solution containing 5% Brulin 1990 GD, heated to 43-54°C and rinsed with high pressure spray of process water heated to 43-54°C.
5. Took NVR followed by particle swiping from **Side A** of each plate.

Table III shows the result of this cleaning experiment. The NaOH soak generated other type of compounds (not yet identified) on the surfaces of the sample and failed the NVR and particles swipe. However, the cleanliness can be improved by hand-wiping the NaOH treated SS303 with 100% IPA.

Table III The result of NaOH soak cleaning experiment.

	Particle Swipe	NVR (mg/ft ²)
Panel 1 (Nitric passivated)	71	0.13
Panel 2 (Nitric passivated + NaOH Soak)	90	0.14
After hand-wipe with IPA	65	0.02

Based on this result, we recommend the use of NaOH soak followed by wiping with 100% IPA as the remedial cleaning procedure for SS303 parts that previously received the nitric acid passivation. However, for intricate parts which have surfaces that could not be easily reached by hand-wiping. The use of SS303 with nitric acid passivation followed by NaOH soak is not recommended.

CONCLUSION

- The sulfur found on the surfaces of SS303 after the nitric acid passivation originated from the MnS inclusions in the steel. The nitric acid attacked and dissolved these MnS inclusions. Elemental sulfur was re-deposited back to the surface as either sulfur film or micron-sized particles. In addition, the pits left behind by the MnS inclusions were also filled with a high concentration of sulfur.
- Neither citric-acid nor phosphoric-acid passivation result in significant sulfur deposits after passivation. Thus, these two acids can be used as an alternative acid to passivate SS303.
- The NaOH soak after the acid passivation removes the sulfur deposits and significantly improves the pitting resistance of the acid-passivated SS303, but surfaces must be wiped with 100% IPA to meet NIF cleanliness requirements. Thus, we recommend the use of NaOH soak followed by wiping with 100% IPA as the remedial cleaning procedure for SS303 parts that previously received the nitric acid passivation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his thanks to Ed Lindsey for conducting the SEM-EDXS analysis. As always, the encouragements and suggestions offered by Bill Gourdin during the course of this investigation and the reviewing of this manuscript are also greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

1. G. Eklund, "Initiation of pitting at sulfide inclusions in stainless steel", *J. Electrochem. Soc* 121(1974), pp461- 413.
2. G. Wranglén, "Pitting and sulphide inclusions in steel", *Corrosion Sci.* 14 (1974) pp 331-349.
3. J. Stewart and D. Williams, " The initiation of pitting corrosion on austenitic stainless steel: on the role and importance of sulphide inclusions", *Corrosion Sci.* 33 (1992) pp 457-474.
4. R. Coreett, "Rouging – A discoloration of stainless steel surfaces", *Materials Performance*, Vol. 40 (2001), No. 2, pp 64- 66.
5. T. DeBold, "Passivating Stainless-Steel Parts", *Machine and Tool Blue Book*, vol. 81 (Nov. 1986), pp 74-77.

As-Polished Condition




Figure 1 Typical microstructure of 303 stainless steel. The long stringers are MnS inclusions.




Fig 2a




Fig 2b

Figure 2a shows that the nitric acid dissolved the MnS inclusions and left many pits behind. Micron-size elemental sulfur particles, identified by EDXS as shown in **Figure 2b**, were re-deposited back to the surface in the vicinity of these pits as indicated in **Figure 2a**. The precision cleaning that followed the nitric-acid passivation could not remove these particles.






Figure 3 Both citric and phosphoric acids attacked the MnS inclusions and left pits behind. However, no elemental sulfur deposits were found in the vicinity of these pits.


After 50 vol% nitric acid
passivation for 60 min.



After 50 vol% nitric acid
passivation for 60 min.



Hot D.I. Water at 150°F
for 10 min.



After 50 vol% nitric acid
passivation for 60 min.



Hot D.I. Water at 150°F
for 10 min.



Freon rinse





Figure 4 The sulfur particles, as indicated by arrows, didn't significantly dissolve by the hot DI water. However, Freon shows some capability in dissolving the sulfur.

**After nitric acid
passivation**



**Nitric acid
passivation
+
NaOH
Neutralization**




Figure 5 The NaOH dissolved the sulfur particles, as indicated by arrows, and improved the pitting corrosion resistance of SS303 after the nitric-acid passivation.