

ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR THE SUM OF
INVERSES OF ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS
INVOLVING ITERATED LOGARITHMS

Hacène Belbachir, Djamel Berkane

A generalized formula is obtained for the sum of inverses of the prime counting function for a large class of arithmetical functions related to the iterated logarithms.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Let $\pi(x)$ be the number of primes not exceeding x . In 2000, using the asymptotic formula

$$(1) \quad \pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log(x)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{k!}{\log^k(x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m(x)}\right) \right),$$

L. PANAITOPOL [4] obtained

$$\frac{1}{\pi(x)} = \frac{1}{x} \left(\log(x) - 1 - \frac{k_1}{\log(x)} - \dots - \frac{k_m}{\log^m(x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{m+1}(x)}\right) \right),$$

where $m \geq 1$ and $\{k_j\}_j$ is the sequence of integers given by the recurrence relation

$$k_n + 1! k_{n-1} + 2! k_{n-2} + \dots + (n-1)! k_1 = n \cdot n! .$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N37, 11N05.

Keywords and Phrases. Asymptotic expansion, arithmetical function, prime number theorem.

Two years later, A. IVIĆ [3] proved that

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2(x) - \log(x) - \log \log(x) + C + \frac{k_2}{\log(x)} + \dots + \frac{k_m}{(m-1) \log^{m-1}(x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m(x)}\right),$$

where C is an absolute constant not depending on m .

In 2009, the first author and F. BENCHERIF [1] derived an asymptotic formula for the sum of reciprocals of a large class of arithmetic functions having the following expansion

$$f(n) = \frac{n}{\log(n)} \left(a_0 + \frac{a_1}{\log(n)} + \dots + \frac{a_{m-1}}{\log^{m-1}(n)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m(n)}\right) \right), \text{ with } a_0 \neq 0,$$

they obtained

$$\sum'_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{f(n)} = \frac{b_0}{2} \log^2(x) + b_1 \log(x) + b_2 \log \log(x) + C_0 - \frac{b_3}{\log(x)} - \dots - \frac{b_{m+1}}{(m-1) \log^{m-1}(x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m(x)}\right),$$

where $\sum'_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{f(n)}$ is a sum restricted to integers n for which $f(n) \neq 0$ and $b_j = A_j(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_j)$ for $0 \leq j \leq m+1$, with

$$A_0(t_0) = \frac{1}{t_0}, \quad A_1(t_0, t_1) = -\frac{t_1}{t_0^2},$$

$$A_n(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{t_0^{n+1}} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & \dots & \dots & t_n \\ t_0 & t_1 & \dots & \dots & t_{n-1} \\ 0 & t_0 & t_1 & \dots & t_{n-2} \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & t_0 & t_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad (n \geq 1).$$

More recently, the authors in [2] studied the arithmetical function $nK(n)$, where

$$K(x) := \max \{k \in \mathbb{N} / p_1 p_2 \dots p_k \leq x\},$$

and p_k is the k^{th} prime number. Using the asymptotic expansion

$$(2) \quad K(x) = \frac{\log(x)}{\log \log(x)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{j!}{[\log \log(x)]^j} + O\left(\frac{1}{[\log \log(x)]^{m+1}}\right) \right),$$

they get a similar result to the one in A. Ivić [3], with three levels of logarithmic iterations $x, \log x, \log \log x$,

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{nK(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 \log(x) - \log \log(x) - \log \log \log(x) + C_1 \\ + \frac{k_2}{\log \log(x)} + \cdots + \frac{k_m}{(m-1) \log^{m-1} \log(x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m \log(x)}\right),$$

where C_1 is an absolute constant not depending on m .

Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer. We define the function

$$\mathcal{L}_s(x) := \prod_{i=0}^s \log_i(x), \quad \text{with } \log_i(x) = \underbrace{\log \log \dots \log(x)}_{i \text{ times}} \text{ and } \log_0(x) = x.$$

For $s = 2$, $\mathcal{L}_2(x) = x \log(x) \log \log(x)$.

Let f_s be the arithmetical function admitting, for all $m \geq 1$, the following asymptotic formula

$$(3) \quad f_s(n) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}{\log_{s+1}(n)} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{a_i}{\log_{s+1}^i(n)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log_{s+1}^m(n)}\right) \right\}, \quad a_0 \neq 0.$$

For $s = 0$ and $a_i = i!$, we obtain (1), which corresponds to $\pi(n)$. For $s = 1$ with $a_i = i!$, we find (2), which corresponds to $nK(n)$.

Considering the above background, here is our main result:

Theorem 1. *For all integers $m \geq 1$ and $s \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sum'_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{f_s(n)} = \frac{\delta_0}{2} \log_{s+1}^2(x) + \delta_1 \log_{s+1}(x) + \delta_2 \log_{s+2}(x) + C_s \\ - \frac{\delta_3}{\log_{s+1}(x)} - \cdots - \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{(m-1) \log_{s+1}^{m-1}(x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log_{s+1}^m(x)}\right),$$

where $\sum'_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{f_s(n)}$ is a sum restricted to integers $e(s) < n \leq x$ for which $f_s(n) \neq 0$,

C_s is an absolute constant not depending on m , $\{\delta_i\}_i$ is the sequence given by the recurrence relation

$$a_0 \delta_n + a_1 \delta_{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \delta_0 = 0, \quad a_0 \delta_0 = 1,$$

and $e(s) := \underbrace{\exp \exp \dots \exp}_{s \text{ times}}(0)$.

For $a_i = i!$ and $s = 0$ and $s = 1$, respectively we find the results of A. Ivić [3] and H. BELBACHIR and D. BERKANE [2].

2. LEMMAS AND PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let $\{\delta_i\}_i$ be the sequence of real numbers defined by expanding the following expression of the rational function Δ , for $y > 0$ we consider

$$\Delta(y) := \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \frac{a_i}{y^{i+1}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \frac{\delta_i}{y^{i-1}} \right), \quad m \geq 1,$$

such that $a_0\delta_0 = 1$, and terms with $\frac{1}{y^i}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ vanish.

Then, when $y \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(4) \quad \Delta = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{y^{m+1}}\right).$$

Lemma 1. *The coefficient δ_n , $n \geq 1$, is given by the relation*

$$\delta_n = \frac{1}{a_0^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ 0 & a_0 & \dots & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_0 & a_1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Proof. From the definition of $\Delta(y)$, we notice that the vector $\delta = (\delta_0, \dots, \delta_n)$, is the unique solution to the following Cramer's system

$$\begin{cases} a_0\delta_n + a_1\delta_{n-1} + \dots + a_n\delta_0 = 0 \\ a_0\delta_{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}\delta_0 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_0\delta_1 + a_1\delta_0 = 0 \\ a_0\delta_0 = 1. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2. *For n sufficiently large, we have*

$$f_s(n) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}{\delta_0 \log_{s+1}(n) + \delta_1 + \varepsilon(n)},$$

where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(n) = 0$.

Proof. From (3), we have

$$(5) \quad f_s(n) = \mathcal{L}_s(n) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \frac{a_j}{\log_{s+1}^{j+1}(n)} \right) + O\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}{\log_{s+1}^{m+2}(n)}\right),$$

and from (4) it follows

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{a_j}{y^{j+1}} = \frac{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{y^{m+1}}\right)}{\delta_0 y + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{\delta_j}{y^{j-1}}} = \frac{1}{\delta_0 y + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{\delta_j}{y^{j-1}}} + O\left(\frac{1}{y^{m+2}}\right).$$

The substitution of $y = \log_{s+1}(n)$ in (6) and in relation (5) gives

$$(7) \quad f_s(n) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}{\delta_0 \log_{s+1}(n) + \delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{\log_{s+1}(n)} + \frac{\delta_3}{\log_{s+1}^2(n)} + \cdots + \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{\log_{s+1}^m(n)}} + O\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}{\log_{s+1}^{m+2}(n)}\right).$$

Thus we can write

$$f_s(n) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}{\delta_0 \log_{s+1}(n) + \delta_1 + \varepsilon(n)},$$

with $\varepsilon(n) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log_{s+1}(n)}\right)$ from which it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(n) = 0$.

The case $s = 0$ and $a_i = i!$, gives the approximation given by L. PANAITOPOL [4],

$$\pi(n) = \frac{n}{\log(n) - 1 - \varepsilon(n)}. \quad \square$$

Proof of the main result. Simplifying formula (7), we can write for all $m \geq 1$,

$$f_s(n) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}{\delta_0 \log_{s+1}(n) + \delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{\log_{s+1}(n)} + \frac{\delta_3}{\log_{s+1}^2(n)} + \cdots + \frac{\delta_{m+1}(1 + \varepsilon_m(n))}{\log_{s+1}^m(n)}},$$

with

$$\varepsilon_m(n) \ll_m \frac{1}{\log_{s+1}(n)}.$$

Then, for all $m \geq 1$ and all $n > e(s)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{f_s(n)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_s(n)} \left(\delta_0 \log_{s+1}(n) + \delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{\log_{s+1}(n)} + \frac{\delta_3}{\log_{s+1}^2(n)} + \cdots + \frac{\delta_{m+1}(1 + \varepsilon_m(n))}{\log_{s+1}^m(n)} \right),$$

and by summation, we obtain

$$(8) \quad \sum'_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{f_s(n)} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \sum_{r=2}^m B_r + \sum_{e(s) < n \leq x} \frac{\delta_{m+1} \varepsilon_m(n)}{\mathcal{L}_s(n) \log_{s+1}^m(n)},$$

with

$$A_1 = \sum_{e(s) < n \leq x} \frac{\delta_0 \log_{s+1}(n)}{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}, \quad A_2 = \sum_{e(s) < n \leq x} \frac{\delta_1}{\mathcal{L}_s(n)},$$

$$A_3 = \sum_{e(s) < n \leq x} \frac{\delta_2}{\mathcal{L}_s(n) \log_{s+1}(n)}, \quad B_r = \sum_{e(s) < n \leq x} \frac{\delta_{r+1}}{\mathcal{L}_s(n) \log_{s+1}^r(n)}, \quad 2 \leq r \leq m.$$

Let us evaluate these sums. First we can notice that the functions involved in the previous sums are all positive and decreasing for a given constant $\omega \geq e(s)$. Let's compose for A_1 ,

$$\sum_{\lfloor \omega \rfloor < n \leq x} \frac{\log_{s+1}(n)}{\mathcal{L}_s(n)} = \int_{\lceil \omega \rceil}^x \frac{\log_{s+1}(t)}{\mathcal{L}_s(t)} dt + O\left(\frac{\log_{s+1}(x)}{\mathcal{L}_s(x)}\right).$$

Thus there is a constant α_1 which includes the sum $\sum_{n=2}^{\lfloor \omega \rfloor} \frac{\log_{s+1}(n)}{\mathcal{L}_s(n)}$ such that

$$A_1 = \frac{\delta_0}{2} \log_{s+1}^2(x) + \alpha_1 + O\left(\frac{\log_{s+1}(x)}{\mathcal{L}_s(x)}\right).$$

Using similar argument, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \delta_1 \log_{s+1}(x) + \alpha_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_s(x)}\right), \\ A_3 &= \delta_2 \log_{s+2}(x) + \alpha_3 + O\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_s(x) \log_{s+1}(x)}\right), \\ B_r &= \frac{-\delta_{r+1}}{(r-1) \log_{s+1}^{r-1}(x)} + \beta_r + O\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_s(x) \log_{s+1}^r(x)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As $\varepsilon_m(n)$ is bounded and the series

$$\sum_{n > e(s)} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_s(n) \log_{s+1}^m(n)},$$

is convergent for all $m \geq 2$ (Bertrand's series), with the sum noted S_m , we deduce that

$$\sum_{e(s) < n \leq x} \frac{\delta_{m+1} \varepsilon_m(n)}{\mathcal{L}_s(n) \log_{s+1}^m(n)} = S_m + O\left(\frac{1}{\log_{s+1}^m(x)}\right).$$

Putting together the above expression in (8) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{f_s(n)} &= \frac{\delta_0}{2} \log_{s+1}^2(x) + \delta_1 \log_{s+1}(x) + \delta_2 \log_{s+2}(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \sum_{r=2}^m \beta_r + S_m \\ &\quad - \frac{\delta_3}{\log_{s+1}(x)} - \dots - \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{(m-1) \log_{s+1}^{m-1}(x)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log_{s+1}^m(x)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $C_s = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \sum_{r=2}^m \beta_r + S_m$ we find the formula mentioned in the main Theorem. This constant is independent of the value of m because the difference between two developments of $\sum'_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{f_s(n)}$ is a quantity which is absorbed by the roundness when $x \rightarrow +\infty$. □

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to warmly thank their referee for valuable advice and comments which helped to improve the quality of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. H. BELBACHIR, F. BENCHERIF: *Développement asymptotique de la somme des inverses d'une fonction arithmétique*. Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal, **16** (2009), 93–99.
2. H. BELBACHIR, D. BERKANE: *Asymptotic expansion of a sum involving the largest product of primes* (submitted).
3. A. IVIĆ: *On a sum involving the prime counting function*. Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat., **13** (2002), 85–88.
4. L. PANAITOPOL: *A formula for $\pi(x)$ applied to a result of Koninck-Ivić*. Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. (5), **1** (1) (2000), 55–56.

USTHB, Faculty of Mathematics,
Po. Box 32, El Alia 16111,
Algiers, Algeria
E-mail: hacenebelbachir@gmail.com, hbelbachir@usthb.dz

(Received October 15, 2010)
(Revised February 6, 2011)

Department of Mathematics,
University of Blida
Po. Box 270,
Route de Soumaa,
Blida, Algeria
E-mail: djameberkan@gmail.com