

RESEARCH

Open Access



Existence of solutions for functional boundary value problems of second-order nonlinear differential equations system at resonance

Weihua Jiang* and Bingzhi Sun

*Correspondence:
weihuajiang@hebust.edu.cn
College of Sciences, Hebei
University of Science and
Technology, Shijiazhuang, Hebei,
P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, by using the coincidence degree theory due to Mawhin and constructing suitable operators, we study the solvability for functional boundary value problems of second-order nonlinear differential equations system at resonance with $\dim \text{Ker } L = 3$ and 4, respectively.

Keywords: coincidence degree theory; functional boundary condition; resonance; Fredholm operator

1 Introduction

The existence of solutions for integer order differential equations with specific boundary conditions and resonance scenarios have been studied by many authors (see [1–14] and the references therein). Recently, attention has shifted to problems with linear functional conditions. The differential operator $L : C^1[0, 1] \rightarrow L^1[0, 1]$, $Lx = x''$ known to us is done in [15] for a resonant problem, where the authors studied the existence of solutions to the problem of second-order nonlinear differential equation

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ \Gamma_1(x) = 0, & \Gamma_2(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which generalizes recent work on multi-point and integral boundary value problems. Although it excellently generalizes and extends many results for nonlocal second-order problems at resonance, it does not contain a complete analysis for this problem. For example, in [16], to see this, set $B_1(t) = \alpha b$, $B_1(1) = \alpha a$, $B_2(t) = b$, $B_2(1) = a$, where $a, b, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \neq 0$, then $B_1(t)B_2(1) = B_1(1)B_2(t)$ with $\text{Ker } L = \{c(at - b) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\dim \text{Ker } L = 1$. This case cannot be derived from the results of [15] pertaining to the cases of resonance. And in [15], the authors also make the unnecessary artificial assumptions $\Gamma_1(t^2) \neq 0$, $\Gamma_1(t^3) \neq 0$, notably, for these assumptions, some interesting results have been obtained in [17] for a resonant problem that allow us to bypass above minor technical difficulty (see Lemma 1.1 below). Thus, we improve the results of [1–13] and [14] in that respect as well. In addition, it clearly can

also be used for higher order problems with functional conditions see [18, 19]. Inspired by the above literature, we will study the existence of solutions to functional boundary value problems of differential equations system. To the best of our knowledge, this subject has not been studied. In the present paper, we investigate the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t), x'(t), y'(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \\ y''(t) = g(t, x(t), y(t), x'(t), y'(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \\ \Gamma_1(x) = 0, & \Gamma_2(x) = 0, \\ \Gamma_3(y) = 0, & \Gamma_4(y) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Gamma_i : C^1[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are continuous linear functionals. we will always suppose that the following condition holds:

(H) Let $f, g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy Carathéodory conditions, i.e., $f(\cdot, u)$ and $g(\cdot, u)$ are measurable for each fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $f(t, \cdot)$ and $g(t, \cdot)$ are continuous for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\sup\{|f(t, x)| : x \in D_0\}, \sup\{|g(t, x)| : x \in D_0\} \in L^1([0, 1])$ for any compact set $D_0 \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Lemma 1.1 ([17]) *There must exist $h_1 \in L^1[0, 1]$ such that $(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2)(\int_0^t (t-s)h_1(s) ds) = 1$.*

Definition 1.1 We say $(x, y) \in C^1[0, 1] \times C^1[0, 1]$ is a solution of functional boundary value problems (FBVPs) (1.1) which means that (x, y) satisfies (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

We present some necessary definitions and lemmas. Consider the following conditions:

- (A₁) $\frac{\Gamma_1(t)}{\Gamma_2(t)} = \frac{\Gamma_1(1)}{\Gamma_2(1)}, \Gamma_3(1) = 0, \Gamma_3(t) = 0, \Gamma_4(1) = 0, \Gamma_4(t) = 0,$
- (A₂) $\frac{\Gamma_3(t)}{\Gamma_4(t)} = \frac{\Gamma_3(1)}{\Gamma_4(1)}, \Gamma_1(1) = 0, \Gamma_1(t) = 0, \Gamma_2(1) = 0, \Gamma_2(t) = 0,$
- (A₃) $\Gamma_1(1) = \Gamma_1(t) = \Gamma_2(1) = \Gamma_2(t) = 0, \Gamma_3(1) = \Gamma_3(t) = \Gamma_4(1) = \Gamma_4(t) = 0.$

We should prove the following. If (A₁) or (A₂) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } L &= \{(c_1(at - b), ct + d) | c_1, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, a^2 + b^2 \neq 0\} \quad \text{or} \\ \text{Ker } L &= \{at + b, c_2(ct - d) | a, b, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}, c^2 + d^2 \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If (A₃) holds, then $\text{Ker } L = \{(at + b, ct + d) | a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$. In fact, if exchange the places of Γ_1 and Γ_3, Γ_2 and Γ_4 in the boundary value conditions, respectively, condition (A₁) just becomes (A₂). So we only need to focus on the FBVPs (1.1) under conditions (A₁), (A₃).

As usual, we shall use the classical spaces $C^1[0, 1]$ and $L^1[0, 1]$. For $(x, y) \in C^1[0, 1] \times C^1[0, 1]$, we define the norm $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$, where $\|x\| = \max\{\|x\|_\infty, \|x'\|_\infty\}, \|x\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$. We denote the norm in $L^1[0, 1]$ by $\|\cdot\|_1$. Similarly, for $(u, v) \in L^1[0, 1] \times L^1[0, 1]$, we denote the norm $\|(u, v)\|_1$ and define the norm $\|(u, v)\|_1 = \max\{\|u\|_1, \|v\|_1\}$, where $\|u\|_1 = \int_0^1 |u(t)| dt, u \in L^1[0, 1]$. We also use the Sobolev space $W^{2,1}(0, 1)$ defined by

$$W^{2,1}(0, 1) = \{(x, y) \in C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1] | x, x', y, y' \text{ are absolutely continuous on } [0, 1]\}.$$

Let $Y = C^1[0, 1] \times C^1[0, 1]$ with norm $\|(x, y)\|, Z = L^1[0, 1] \times L^1[0, 1]$ with norm $\|(x, y)\|_1$. Clearly, Y, Z are Banach spaces.

Let the linear operator $L : \text{dom } L \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $L(x, y) = (x'', y'')$, where

$$\text{dom } L = \{(x, y) \in W^{2,1}(0, 1) : \Gamma_1(x) = 0, \Gamma_2(x) = 0, \Gamma_3(y) = 0, \Gamma_4(y) = 0\}.$$

Let the nonlinear operator $N : Y \rightarrow Z$ be defined by

$$(N(x, y))(t) = (f(t, x(t), y(t), x'(t), y'(t)), g(t, x(t), y(t), x'(t), y'(t))).$$

Then FBVPs (1.1) can be written as $L(x, y) = N(x, y)$.

Definition 2.1 Let Y, Z be real Banach spaces, $L : \text{dom } L \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ be a linear operator. L is said to be the Fredholm operator of index zero provided that:

- (i) $\text{Im } L$ is a closed subset of Z ;
- (ii) $\dim \text{Ker } L = \text{co dim Im } L < +\infty$.

Let Y, Z be real Banach spaces, $L : \text{dom } L \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ be a linear operator. L is said to be the Fredholm operator of index zero. $P : Y \rightarrow Y, Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ are continuous projectors such that $\text{Im } P = \text{Ker } L, \text{Ker } Q = \text{Im } L, Y = \text{Ker } L \oplus \text{Ker } P$ and $Z = \text{Im } L \oplus \text{Im } Q$. It follows that $L|_{\text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P} : \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P \rightarrow \text{Im } L$ is reversible. We denote the inverse of the mapping by K_P (generalized inverse operator of L). If Ω is an open bounded subset of Y such that $\text{dom } L \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$, the mapping $N : Y \rightarrow Z$ will be called L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$, if $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ and $K_P(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ are continuous and compact.

The following is the Kolmogorov-Riesz criterion (see, for example, [20])

Lemma 2.1 For $1 \leq p < \infty, E \subset L^p[0, 1]$ is compact if

- (a) E is bounded;
- (b) the limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 |g(s + \epsilon) - g(s)|^p ds = 0$ is uniform in E .

Lemma 2.2 ([16]) Let $L : \text{dom } L \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ be a Fredholm operator of index zero and $N : Y \rightarrow Z$ is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $Lu \neq \lambda Nu$ for every $(u, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom } L \setminus \text{Ker } L) \cap \partial \Omega] \times (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $Nu \notin \text{Im } L$ for every $u \in \text{Ker } L \cap \partial \Omega$;
- (iii) $\deg(QN|_{\text{Ker } L}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) \neq 0$, where $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ is a continuous projector such that $\text{Im } L = \text{Ker } Q$.

Then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\text{dom } L \cap \overline{\Omega}$.

Now, we give $\text{Ker } L, \text{Im } L$ and some necessary operators under conditions (A_1) and (A_3) , respectively.

Lemma 2.3 There exist $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}^+, m_i, n_i > 1, m_i \neq n_i, i = 1, 2$ such that $\Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(t^{n_1}) - \Gamma_1(t^{n_1})\Gamma_2(t^{m_1}) \neq 0, \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) \neq 0$.

Proof For convenience, assume, by way of contradiction, that $\frac{\Gamma_1(t^{m_1})}{\Gamma_2(t^{m_1})} = \frac{\Gamma_1(t^{n_1})}{\Gamma_2(t^{n_1})} = k$ for all $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, so we have

$$\Gamma_1(t^{m_1}) = k\Gamma_2(t^{m_1}) \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma_1(t^{n_1}) = k\Gamma_2(t^{n_1}).$$

By $(A_2), (\Gamma_1 - k\Gamma_2)(1) = (\Gamma_1 - k\Gamma_2)(t) = 0$. Thus, $\Gamma_1(p(t)) = k\Gamma_2(p(t))$ for every polynomial p .

Since $\Gamma_1(x) - k\Gamma_2(x) \neq 0$ on all of $x \in C^1[0, 1]$, there exists $v_0 \in C^1[0, 1]$ such that $\Gamma_1(v_0) - k\Gamma_2(v_0) \neq 0$. Choose a sequence of polynomials $\{p_m\}$ such that $\|v_0 - p_m\| < \frac{1}{m}$. Then $0 \neq |(\Gamma_1 - k\Gamma_2)(v_0)| = |(\Gamma_1 - k\Gamma_2)(v_0 - p_m) + (\Gamma_1 - k\Gamma_2)(p_m)| = |(\Gamma_1 - k\Gamma_2)(v_0 - p_m)| \leq \|(\Gamma_1 - k\Gamma_2)\| \|v_0 - p_m\| < (\beta_1 + |\alpha|\beta_2)\frac{1}{m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, for Γ_3 and Γ_4 , we omit the corresponding details as straightforward. \square

For convenience, we denote

(B₁) The linear functionals $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\Gamma_2(t) = b, \Gamma_2(1) = a, \Gamma_1(t) = \alpha_1 b, \Gamma_1(1) = \alpha_1 a$, where $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0, \alpha_1, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

(B₂) The functionals $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are linear continuous with respective norms $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$, that is, $|\Gamma_i(x)| \leq \beta_i \|x\|, |\Gamma_j(y)| \leq \beta_j \|y\|, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4$.

Lemma 2.4 *Assume (A₁) holds, then $L : \text{dom } L \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, $\dim \text{Ker } L = \text{co dim Im } L = 3$.*

Proof If $(x, y) \in \text{Ker } L$ and $L(x, y) = (x'', y'') = (0, 0)$, we have $(x(t), y(t)) = (k_1 t + k_2, k_3 t + k_4)$.

Based on the condition (A₁), we have

$$x = c_1(at - b), \quad y = ct + d,$$

where $c_1, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. So,

$$\text{Ker } L = \{(c_1(at - b), ct + d) | a^2 + b^2 \neq 0, c_1, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \dim \text{Ker } L = 3.$$

Now, we verify

$$\text{Im } L = \left\{ (u, v) \in Z : (\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) = 0, j = 3, 4 \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $(u, v) \in \text{Im } L$, then there exists $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L$ such that $L(x, y) = (u, v)$, that is,

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds + x(0) + x'(0)t, \\ y(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds + y(0) + y'(0)t, \end{cases}$$

and $\Gamma_i(x) = 0, \Gamma_j(y) = 0, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4$. Hence,

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_i(x) = \Gamma_i \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) + \Gamma_i(1)x(0) + x'(0)\Gamma_i(t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \Gamma_j(y) = \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) + \Gamma_j(1)y(0) + y'(0)\Gamma_j(t) = 0, \quad j = 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

Considering the resonance condition (B₁), we have

$$(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) = 0, \quad \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) = 0, \quad j = 3, 4.$$

That is,

$$\text{Im } L \subseteq \left\{ (u, v) \in Z : (\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) = 0, j = 3, 4 \right\}.$$

If

$$(u, v) \in \left\{ (u, v) \in Z : (\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) = 0, j = 3, 4 \right\},$$

take

$$(x(t), y(t)) = \left(-\frac{bt+a}{a^2+b^2} \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) + \int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds, \int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right).$$

It is clear that $L(x, y) = (x'', y'') = (u, v)$ and $\Gamma_i(x) = 0, \Gamma_j(y) = 0, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4$.

That is, $(u, v) \in \text{Im } L$, i.e.

$$\left\{ (u, v) \in Z : (\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) = 0, \right. \\ \left. \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) = 0, j = 3, 4 \right\} \subseteq \text{Im } L.$$

Combining the above we obtain (2.1).

Define $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ as follows: $Q(u, v) = (Q_1u, (T_1v)t^{n_2-2} + (T_2v)t^{m_2-2})$, where

$$Q_1u = (\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) h_1(t), \\ T_1v = \frac{n_2(n_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})}, \\ T_2v = -\frac{m_2(m_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})},$$

and h_1 is introduced in Lemma 1.1, m_2 and n_2 are the same as in Lemma 2.3.

By Lemma 2.3, (B_1) , and the property of h_1 in Lemma 1.1, we have

$$Q_1^2u = (\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) h_1(t) = Q_1u, \\ T_1((T_1v)t^{n_2-2}) \\ = \frac{n_2(n_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t (t-s)s^{n_2-2} ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t (t-s)s^{n_2-2} ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_1v \\ = \frac{n_2(n_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})\Gamma_3(\frac{t^{n_2}}{n_2(n_2-1)}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(\frac{t^{n_2}}{n_2(n_2-1)})]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_1v \\ = T_1v,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &T_1((T_2v)t^{m_2-2}) \\
 &= \frac{n_2(n_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t(t-s)s^{m_2-2} ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t(t-s)s^{m_2-2} ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_2v \\
 &= \frac{n_2(n_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})\Gamma_3(\frac{t^{m_2}}{m_2(m_2-1)}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(\frac{t^{m_2}}{m_2(m_2-1)})]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_2v \\
 &= 0, \\
 &T_2((T_1v)t^{n_2-2}) \\
 &= -\frac{m_2(m_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t(t-s)s^{n_2-2} ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t(t-s)s^{n_2-2} ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_1v \\
 &= -\frac{m_2(m_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})\Gamma_3(\frac{t^{n_2}}{n_2(n_2-1)}) - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(\frac{t^{n_2}}{n_2(n_2-1)})]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_1v \\
 &= 0, \\
 &T_2((T_2v)t^{m_2-2}) \\
 &= -\frac{m_2(m_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t(t-s)s^{m_2-2} ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t(t-s)s^{m_2-2} ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_2v \\
 &= -\frac{m_2(m_2-1)[\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})\Gamma_3(\frac{t^{m_2}}{m_2(m_2-1)}) - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(\frac{t^{m_2}}{m_2(m_2-1)})]}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} T_2v \\
 &= T_2v.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have, for each $(u, v) \in Z$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^2(u, v) &= (Q_1^2u, T_1[(T_1v)t^{m_2-2} + (T_2v)t^{m_2-2}])t^{n_2-2} + T_2[(T_1v)t^{m_2-2} + (T_2v)t^{m_2-2}]t^{m_2-2} \\
 &= (Q_1u, (T_1v)t^{n_2-2} + (T_2v)t^{m_2-2}) \\
 &= Q(u, v).
 \end{aligned}$$

So $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ is a continuous linear projector such that $\text{Im}L = \text{Ker}Q$ and $\text{Im}Q = \{(c_1h_1(t), ct^{n_2-2} + dt^{m_2-2}) | c_1, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It is clear that $Z = \text{Im}L \oplus \text{Im}Q$ and $\dim \text{Ker}L = \text{codim} \text{Im}L = 3$, that is, L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. \square

Define an operator $P : Y \rightarrow Y$ as follows:

$$P(x, y)(t) = \left(\frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} (ax'(0) - bx(0))(at - b), y'(0)t + y(0) \right), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

It is easy to check that $P^2(x, y) = P(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in Y$, it is also elementary to confirm the identity $\text{Im}P = \text{Ker}L$. So, $Y = \text{Ker}L \oplus \text{Ker}P$.

The mapping $K_P : \text{Im}L \rightarrow \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P$ defined by

$$K_P(u, v)(t) = \left(-\frac{bt + a}{a^2 + b^2} \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) + \int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds, \int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right)$$

is the inverse of L . In fact, $LK_P(u, v) = (u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \text{Im } L$. For $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_P L(x, y)(t) &= (K_P(x'', y''))(t) \\ &= \left(-\frac{bt+a}{a^2+b^2} \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)x''(s) ds \right) + \int_0^t (t-s)x''(s) ds, \int_0^t (t-s)y''(s) ds \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{bt+a}{a^2+b^2} \Gamma_2(x(t) - x'(0)t - x(0)) + x(t) - x'(0)t \right. \\ &\quad \left. - x(0), y(t) - y'(0)t - y(0) \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{bt+a}{a^2+b^2} (bx'(0) + ax(0)) + x(t) - x'(0)t - x(0), y(t) - y'(0)t - y(0) \right) \\ &= \left(x(t) - \frac{at-b}{a^2+b^2} (ax'(0) - bx(0)), y(t) - y'(0)t - y(0) \right) \\ &= (x(t), y(t)) - P(x, y)(t) \\ &= (x(t), y(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $K_P = (L|_{\text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P})^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.5 *If (A_3) holds, Then $L : \text{dom } L \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, $\dim \text{Ker } L = \text{co dim Im } L = 4$.*

Proof Considering (A_3) , for every $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma_i(at + b) = a\Gamma_i(t) + b\Gamma_i(1) = 0$, $\Gamma_j(ct + d) = c\Gamma_j(t) + d\Gamma_j(1) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4$.

So it is easy to obtain

$$\text{Ker } L = \{(at + b, ct + d) | a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \dim \text{Ker } L = 4.$$

For each $(u, v) \in \text{Im } L$, there exists $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L$ such that $L(x, y) = (x'', y'') = (u, v)$. Hence,

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds + x(0) + x'(0)t, \\ y(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds + y(0) + y'(0)t. \end{cases}$$

From the above equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_i(x) &= \Gamma_i \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) = 0, \\ \Gamma_j(y) &= \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Im } L \subseteq \left\{ (u, v) \in Z : \Gamma_i \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right) = 0, \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right) = 0, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4 \right\}.$$

For each $(u, v) \in Z$ satisfying $\Gamma_i(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds) = 0, \Gamma_j(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds) = 0, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4$, let

$$x(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds, \quad y(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds.$$

We have $L(x, y) = (u(t), v(t)), t \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\Gamma_i(x) = \Gamma_i\left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds\right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\Gamma_j(y) = \Gamma_j\left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds\right) = 0, \quad j = 3, 4.$$

That is, $(u, v) \in \text{Im} L$, i.e.,

$$\left\{ (u, v) \in Z : \Gamma_i\left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds\right) = 0, \Gamma_j\left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds\right) = 0, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4 \right\} \subseteq \text{Im} L.$$

From the above two aspects, we have

$$\text{Im} L = \left\{ (u, v) \in Z : \Gamma_i\left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds\right) = 0, i = 1, 2, \Gamma_j\left(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds\right) = 0, j = 3, 4 \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, define $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u, v) &= \left(\frac{n_1(n_1 - 1)[\Gamma_2(t^{m_1})\Gamma_1(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds) - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds)]}{\Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(t^{m_1}) - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(t^{m_1})} t^{n_1-2} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{m_1(m_1 - 1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_1})\Gamma_1(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds) - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds)]}{\Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(t^{m_1}) - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(t^{m_1})} t^{m_1-2}, \\ &\quad \frac{n_2(n_2 - 1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})} t^{n_2-2} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{m_2(m_2 - 1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})\Gamma_3(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds)]}{\Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})} t^{m_2-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get $Q^2(u, v) = Q(u, v)$, so $Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ is a well-defined projector. Now, it is obvious that $\text{Im} L = \text{Ker} Q$. Noting that Q is a linear projector, we have $Z = \text{Im} Q \oplus \text{Ker} Q$. So, $Z = \text{Im} L \oplus \text{Im} Q$ and $\dim \text{Ker} L = \dim \text{Im} Q = \text{co dim Im} L = 4$. So, L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. □

Let the mapping $P : Y \rightarrow Y$ be defined by

$$P(x, y)(t) = (x(0) + x'(0)t, y(0) + y'(0)t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Noting that P is a continuous linear projector and $\text{Ker} P = \{(x, y) \in Y : x(0) = 0, x'(0) = 0, y(0) = 0, y'(0) = 0\}$, it is easy to know that $Y = \text{Ker} L \oplus \text{Ker} P$.

The generalized inverse operator of $L, K_P : \text{Im } L \rightarrow \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P$ can be defined by

$$K_P(u, v)(t) = \left(\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds, \int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right)$$

is the inverse of L . In fact, if $(u, v) \in \text{Im } L$, then

$$LK_P(u, v) = \left(\left[\int_0^t (t-s)u(s) ds \right]'' , \left[\int_0^t (t-s)v(s) ds \right]'' \right) = (u, v).$$

If $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P$, then $L(x, y) = (x'', y''), x(0) + x'(0)t = 0$ and $y(0) + y'(0)t = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_PL(x, y) &= \left(\int_0^t (t-s)x''(s) ds, \int_0^t (t-s)y''(s) ds \right) \\ &= (x(t) - x'(0)t - x(0), y(t) - y'(0)t - y(0)) \\ &= (x(t), y(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $K_P = (L|_{\text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P})^{-1}$.

3 Main results

By making use of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, we can obtain the following existence theorem for FBVPs (1.1) at $\dim \text{Ker } L = 3$.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume (A_1) , (H) and the following conditions hold:*

(D₁). There exist constants $M_1 > 0, M_2 > 0$ such that, for $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L$, if $|x(t)| + |x'(t)| > M_1$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) \neq 0,$$

if $|y(t)| + |y'(t)| > M_2$, for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) \neq 0,$$

or

$$\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) \neq 0.$$

(D₂). There exist nonnegative functions $a_i, b_i, e_i, d_i, \rho_i \in L^1[0, 1], i = 1, 2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(t, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)| < \rho_1(t) + a_1(t)|x_1| + b_1(t)|x_2| + e_1(t)|y_1| + d_1(t)|y_2|, \\ &|g(t, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)| \\ &< \rho_2(t) + a_2(t)|x_1| + b_2(t)|x_2| + e_2(t)|y_1| + d_2(t)|y_2|, \quad t \in [0, 1], x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

(D₃). There exist constants $E_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$, such that either for each $(c_1, b_3, b_4) \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$|c_1| > E_1$, then

$$c_1(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1\Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, c_1(as-b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) > 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$|b_3| > E_2$, then

$$b_3\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as-b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) > 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$|b_4| > E_3$, then

$$b_4\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as-b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

or $(c_1, b_3, b_4) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |c_1| > E_1$, then

$$c_1(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1\Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, c_1(as-b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) < 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$|b_3| > E_2$, then

$$b_3\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as-b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) < 0, \tag{3.5}$$

$|b_4| > E_3$, then

$$b_4\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as-b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) < 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Then FBVPs (1.1) has at least one solution in $C^1[0, 1] \times C^1[0, 1]$ provided that

$$B_1 + \frac{C_1B_2}{1 - C_2} < 1, \quad C_2 + \frac{C_1B_2}{1 - B_1} < 1,$$

where $B_1 = \|a_1\|_1 + \|e_1\|_1, B_2 = \|a_2\|_1 + \|e_2\|_1, C_1 = \|b_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1, C_2 = \|b_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be based on the next two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Assume that $(A_1), (H), (D_1), (D_2)$ and (D_3) hold. Then

$$\Omega_1 = \{ (x, y) \in \text{dom} L \setminus \text{Ker} L : L(x, y) = \lambda N(x, y), \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1] \},$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \{ (x, y) \in \text{Ker} L : N(x, y) \in \text{Im} L \}$$

are bounded.

Proof For $(x, y) \in \Omega_1$, we have $(x, y) \notin \text{Ker } L, \lambda \neq 0$ and $N(x, y) \in \text{Im } L$.

So

$$(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s) f(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) = 0,$$

$$\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s) g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) = 0,$$

and

$$\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s) g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) = 0.$$

By (D_1) , there exist constants $t_i \in [0, 1], i = 1, 2$ such that $|x(t_1)| \leq M_1, |x'(t_1)| \leq M_1, |y(t_2)| \leq M_2, |y'(t_2)| \leq M_2$.

Since $x(t) = x(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t x'(s) ds, y(t) = y(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t y'(s) ds$, we get

$$|x(t)| \leq \|x'\|_\infty + M_1, \quad |y(t)| \leq \|y'\|_\infty + M_2, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.7}$$

Thus,

$$\|(x, y)\| \leq \max\{\|x'\|_\infty, \|y'\|_\infty\} + \max\{M_1, M_2\}. \tag{3.8}$$

By $L(x, y) = \lambda N(x, y)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x'(t), y'(t)) = & \left(\lambda \int_{t_1}^t f(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds + x'(t_1), \right. \\ & \left. \lambda \int_{t_2}^t g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds + y'(t_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

thus, $|x'(t)| < \|N_1 x\|_1 + M_1, |y'(t)| < \|N_2 y\|_1 + M_2$, where $N(x, y) = (N_1 x, N_2 y)$,

$$N_1 x = f(s, x(s), x'(s), y(s), y'(s)), \quad N_2 y = g(s, x(s), x'(s), y(s), y'(s)).$$

That is, $\max\{\|x'\|_\infty, \|y'\|_\infty\} < \|N(x, y)\|_1 + \max\{M_1, M_2\}$.

By (D_2) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x'(t)| < & \|\rho_1\|_1 + \|a_1\|_1 \|x\|_\infty + \|b_1\|_1 \|y\|_\infty + \|e_1\|_1 \|x'\|_\infty + \|d_1\|_1 \|y'\|_\infty + M_1 \\ < & \|\rho_1\|_1 + \|a_1\|_1 M_1 + \|b_1\|_1 M_2 + (\|a_1\|_1 + \|e_1\|_1) \|x'\|_\infty \\ & + (\|b_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1) \|y'\|_\infty + M_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |y'(t)| < & \|\rho_2\|_1 + \|a_2\|_1 M_1 + \|b_2\|_1 M_2 + (\|a_2\|_1 + \|e_2\|_1) \|x'\|_\infty \\ & + (\|b_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1) \|y'\|_\infty + M_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for the sake of brevity, let $A_1 = \|\rho_1\|_1 + \|a_1\|_1 M_1 + \|b_1\|_1 M_2 + M_1, A_2 = \|\rho_2\|_1 + \|a_2\|_1 M_1 + \|b_2\|_1 M_2 + M_2$, then by (3.10) and (3.9), we have $\|y'\|_\infty < \frac{A_2 + B_2 \|x'\|_\infty}{1 - C_2}, \|x'\|_\infty < \frac{A_1 + \frac{C_1 A_2}{1 - C_2}}{1 - B_1 - \frac{C_1 B_2}{1 - C_2}}$.

Similarly, $\|y'\|_\infty < \frac{A_2 + \frac{B_2 A_1}{1-B_1}}{1-C_2 - \frac{C_1 B_2}{1-B_1}}$.

By (3.8), $\|(x, y)\| < \infty$. Therefore Ω_1 is bounded.

For $(x, y) \in \Omega_2$, $(x, y) = (c_1(at - b), b_3t + b_4)$, $c_1, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N(x, y) \in \text{Im } L$. So,

$$(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) = 0$$

and

$$b_j \Gamma_j \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) = 0, \quad j = 3, 4.$$

Considering (D_3) , $|c_1| \leq E_1, |b_3| \leq E_2, |b_4| \leq E_3$, we have $\|x\| \leq E_1 \|at - b\|, \|y\| \leq E_2 + E_3$. Therefore Ω_2 is bounded. □

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that (A_1) , (H) and (D_3) hold. Then*

$$\Omega_3 = \{ (x, y) \in \text{Ker } L : \lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)QN(x, y) = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1] \}$$

is bounded, where $J : \text{Ker } L \rightarrow \text{Im } Q$ is homeomorphous: $(x, y) = (c_1(at - b), b_3 + b_4t)$, $c_1, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, y) &= \left(c_1 h_1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{n_2(n_2 - 1)[\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})b_3 - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2})b_4]t^{n_2-2} - m_2(m_2 - 1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})b_3 - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})b_4]t^{m_2-2}}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof For $(x, y) \in \Omega_3$, $\lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)QN(x, y) = 0$. If $\lambda = 1$, then $c_1 = 0, b_3 = 0, b_4 = 0$. That is, $(x, y) = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 1$, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda c_1 h_1 &= -(1 - \lambda)(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1 \Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) h_1, \quad (3.11) \\ \Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) &\left(\lambda b_3 + (1 - \lambda)\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) \right) \\ &- \Gamma_3(t^{m_2}) \left(\lambda b_4 + (1 - \lambda)\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_4(t^{n_2}) &\left(\lambda b_3 + (1 - \lambda)\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) \right) \\ &- \Gamma_3(t^{n_2}) \left(\lambda b_4 + (1 - \lambda)\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) & \Gamma_3(t^{m_2}) \\ \Gamma_4(t^{n_2}) & \Gamma_3(t^{n_2}) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

it yields

$$\begin{cases} \lambda b_3 + (1 - \lambda)\Gamma_3(\int_0^t (t - s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds) = 0, \\ \lambda b_4 + (1 - \lambda)\Gamma_4(\int_0^t (t - s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds) = 0, \end{cases}$$

if $|c_1| > E_1, |b_3| > E_2, |b_4| > E_3$, considering above equalities, (3.11) and (3.1)-(3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda c_1^2 h_1 &= -(1 - \lambda)c_1(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1\Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t - s)f(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) < 0, \\ \lambda b_3^2 &= -(1 - \lambda)b_3\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t - s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) < 0, \\ \lambda b_4^2 &= -(1 - \lambda)b_4\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t - s)g(s, c_1(as - b), b_3s + b_4, c_1a, b_3) ds \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|c_1| \leq E_1, |b_3| \leq E_2, |b_4| \leq E_3$. So, Ω_3 is bounded.

If (3.4)-(3.6) hold, then let

$$\Omega_3 = \{(x, y) \in \text{Ker } L : -\lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)QN(x, y) = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

By the same method we can also see that Ω_3 is bounded. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let Ω be a bounded open subset of Y such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^3 \overline{\Omega}_j \subset \Omega$. The compactness of $K_P(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ and $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ will follow from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and the Kolmogorov-Riesz criterion, respectively. Thus N is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$.

Then from above arguments, we have

- (i) $L(x, y) \neq \lambda N(x, y)$, for every $((x, y), \lambda) \in [(\text{dom } L \setminus \text{Ker } L) \cap \partial\Omega] \times (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $N(x, y) \notin \text{Im } L$, for every $(x, y) \in \text{Ker } L \cap \partial\Omega$.

At last we will prove that (iii) of Lemma 2.2. is satisfied.

Let $H((x, y), \lambda) = \pm\lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)QN(x, y) = 0$, noting that $\Omega_3 \subset \Omega$, we know $H((x, y), \lambda) \neq 0$ for every $((x, y), \lambda) \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$. Thus, by the homotopic property of degree

$$\begin{aligned} \text{deg}(QN|_{\text{Ker } L}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) &= \text{deg}(H(x, y, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) \\ &= \text{deg}(H(x, y, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) = \text{deg}(\pm J, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, $L(x, y) = N(x, y)$ has at least one solution in $\text{dom } L \cap \overline{\Omega}$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. □

Theorem 3.2 Assume $(A_3), (D_2), (H)$ and the following conditions hold:

(D_4) . There exist constants $M_3 > 0, M_4 > 0$ such that, for $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L$, if $|x(t)| + |x'(t)| > M_3$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t - s)f(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) \neq 0,$$

or

$$\Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t - s)f(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) \neq 0,$$

if $|y(t)| + |y'(t)| > M_4$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) \neq 0,$$

or

$$\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds \right) \neq 0.$$

(D₅). There exist constants $E_i > 0, i = 4, 5$, such that either for each $(a_1, a_2, b_3, b_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$:

$|a_1| > E_4$, then

$$a_1 \Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) > 0, \tag{3.12}$$

$|a_2| > E_5$, then

$$a_2 \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) > 0, \tag{3.13}$$

$|b_3| > E_6$, then

$$b_3 \Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) > 0, \tag{3.14}$$

$|b_4| > E_7$, then

$$b_4 \Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) > 0, \tag{3.15}$$

or for each $(a_1, a_2, b_3, b_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$:

$|a_1| > E_4$, then

$$a_1 \Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) < 0, \tag{3.16}$$

$|a_2| > E_5$, then

$$a_2 \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) < 0, \tag{3.17}$$

$|b_3| > E_6$, then

$$b_3 \Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) < 0, \tag{3.18}$$

$|b_4| > E_7$, then

$$b_4 \Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, a_1s + a_2, b_3s + b_4, a_1, b_3) ds \right) < 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Then FBVP (1.1) has at least one solution in $C^1[0,1] \times C^1[0,1]$ provided that

$$B_1 + \frac{C_1 B_2}{1 - C_2} < 1, \quad C_2 + \frac{C_1 B_2}{1 - B_1} < 1,$$

where $B_1 = \|a_1\|_1 + \|e_1\|_1, B_2 = \|a_2\|_1 + \|e_2\|_1, C_1 = \|b_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1, C_2 = \|b_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 will also be based on the next two lemmas.

Lemma 3.3 Assume that $(A_3), (B_2), (H), (D_2), (D_4)$ and (D_5) hold. Then

$$\Omega_1 = \{(x, y) \in \text{dom } L \setminus \text{Ker } L : L(x, y) = \lambda N(x, y), \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \{(x, y) \in \text{Ker } L : N(x, y) \in \text{Im } L\}$$

are bounded.

Proof For $(x, y) \in \Omega_1$, we have $(x, y) \notin \text{Ker } L, \lambda \neq 0$ and $N(x, y) \in \text{Im } L$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, x(s), x'(s), y(s), y'(s)) ds \right) &= 0, \\ \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, x(s), x'(s), y(s), y'(s)) ds \right) &= 0, \\ \Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, x(s), x'(s), y(s), y'(s)) ds \right) &= 0, \\ \Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, x(s), x'(s), y(s), y'(s)) ds \right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (D_4) , there exist constants $t_i \in [0, 1], i = 3, 4$ such that

$$|x(t_3)| \leq M_3, \quad |x'(t_3)| \leq M_3, \quad |y(t_4)| \leq M_4, \quad |y'(t_4)| \leq M_4.$$

Since

$$x(t) = x(t_3) + \int_{t_3}^t x'(s) ds, \quad y(t) = y(t_4) + \int_{t_4}^t y'(s) ds,$$

we get

$$|x(t)| \leq \|x'\|_\infty + M_3, \quad |y(t)| \leq \|y'\|_\infty + M_4, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus,

$$\|(x, y)\| \leq \max\{\|x'\|_\infty, \|y'\|_\infty\} + \max\{M_3, M_4\}. \tag{3.21}$$

By the proof of method in Lemma 3.1, we obtain $\|x'\|_\infty < \frac{A_3 + \frac{C_1 A_4}{1-C_2}}{1-B_1 - \frac{C_1 B_2}{1-C_2}}, \|y'\|_\infty < \frac{A_4 + \frac{B_2 A_3}{1-B_1}}{1-C_2 - \frac{C_1 B_2}{1-B_1}}$, where $A_3 = \|\rho_1\|_1 + \|a_1\|_1 M_3 + \|b_1\|_1 M_4 + M_3, A_4 = \|\rho_2\|_1 + \|a_2\|_1 M_3 + \|b_2\|_1 M_4 + M_4$, by (3.21), $\|(x, y)\| < \infty$. Therefore Ω_1 is bounded.

For $(x, y) \in \Omega_2, (x, y)(t) = (a_1 + a_2 t, b_3 + b_4 t), a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, j = 3, 4, t \in [0, 1]$ and $N(x, y) \in \text{Im } L$.

So

$$\Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0,$$

$$\Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0,$$

$$\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0,$$

and

$$\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)g(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0.$$

Considering $(D_5), |a_1| \leq E_4, |a_2| \leq E_5, |b_3| \leq E_6, |b_4| \leq E_7$, so $\|x\| \leq E_4 + E_5, \|y\| \leq E_6 + E_7$. Therefore, Ω_2 is bounded. □

Lemma 3.4 *Assume that $(A_3), (B_2), (H)$ and (D_5) hold. Then*

$$\Omega_3 = \{ (x, y) \in \text{Ker } L : \lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)QN(x, y) = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1] \}$$

is bounded, where $J : \text{Ker } L \rightarrow \text{Im } Q$ is homeomorphous: $(x, y)(t) = (a_1 + a_2 t, b_3 + b_4 t), a_1, a_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$J(x, y)(t) = \left(\frac{n_1(n_1 - 1)[\Gamma_2(t^{m_1})a_1 - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1})a_2]t^{n_1-2} - m_1(m_1 - 1)[\Gamma_2(t^{m_1})a_1 - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1})a_2]t^{m_1-2}}{\Gamma_1(t^{n_1})\Gamma_2(t^{m_1}) - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1})\Gamma_2(t^{n_1})}, \frac{n_2(n_2 - 1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})b_3 - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})b_4]t^{n_2-2} - m_2(m_2 - 1)[\Gamma_4(t^{m_2})b_3 - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})b_4]t^{m_2-2}}{\Gamma_3(t^{n_2})\Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2})\Gamma_4(t^{n_2})} \right).$$

Proof For every $(x, y) \in \Omega_3, \lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)QN(x, y) = 0$. If $\lambda = 1$, then $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0, b_3 = 0, b_4 = 0$. That is, $(x, y) = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 1$, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_2(t^{m_1}) \left(\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) \\ & - \Gamma_1(t^{m_1}) \left(\lambda a_2 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) = 0, \\ & \Gamma_2(t^{n_1}) \left(\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) \\ & - \Gamma_1(t^{n_1}) \left(\lambda a_2 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) \left(\lambda b_3 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) \\ & - \Gamma_3(t^{m_2}) \left(\lambda b_4 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_4(t^{n_2}) \left(\lambda b_3 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) \\ & - \Gamma_3(t^{n_2}) \left(\lambda b_4 + (1 - \lambda) \Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \Gamma_2(t^{m_1}) & \Gamma_1(t^{m_1}) \\ \Gamma_2(t^{n_1}) & \Gamma_1(t^{n_1}) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{vmatrix} \Gamma_4(t^{m_2}) & \Gamma_3(t^{m_2}) \\ \Gamma_4(t^{n_2}) & \Gamma_3(t^{n_2}) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

it yields

$$\begin{cases} \lambda a_1^2 + (1 - \lambda) a_1 \Gamma_1 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0, \\ \lambda a_2^2 + (1 - \lambda) a_2 \Gamma_2 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) f(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0, \\ \lambda b_3^2 + (1 - \lambda) b_3 \Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) g(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0, \\ \lambda b_4^2 + (1 - \lambda) b_4 \Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t - s) g(s, a_1 + a_2 s, b_3 + b_4 s, a_2, b_4) ds \right) = 0, \end{cases}$$

if $|a_1| > E_4, |a_2| > E_5, |b_3| > E_6, |b_4| > E_7$, considering the above equalities and (3.12)-(3.15), we have $\|x\| \leq E_4 + E_5, \|y\| \leq E_6 + E_7$. So, Ω_3 is bounded.

If (3.16)-(3.19) hold, then let $\Omega_3 = \{(x, y) \in \text{Ker } L : -\lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda) QN(x, y) = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$. Similar to the above arguments, we can show that Ω_3 is bounded, too. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2 Let Ω be a bounded open subset of Y such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^3 \overline{\Omega}_j \subset \Omega$. The compactness of $K_p(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ and $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ will follow from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and the Kolmogorov-Riesz criterion, respectively. Thus N is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then from the above arguments, we have

- (i) $L(x, y) \neq \lambda N(x, y)$, for every $((x, y), \lambda) \in [(\text{dom } L \setminus \text{Ker } L) \cap \partial \Omega] \times (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $N(x, y) \notin \text{Im } L$, for every $(x, y) \in \text{Ker } L \cap \partial \Omega$.

At last we will prove that (iii) of Lemma 2.2 is satisfied.

Let $H((x, y), \lambda) = \pm \lambda J(x, y) + (1 - \lambda) QN(x, y) = 0$, noting that $\Omega_3 \subset \Omega$, we know $H((x, y), \lambda) \neq 0$ for every $((x, y), \lambda) \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$. Thus, by the homotopic property of degree

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(QN|_{\text{Ker } L}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) &= \deg(H(x, y, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) \\ &= \deg(H(x, y, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) = \deg(\pm J, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, $L(x, y) = N(x, y)$ has at least one solution in $\text{dom } L \cap \overline{\Omega}$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed. \square

The next lemma provides norm estimates needed for the following result.

Lemma 3.5 For $(u, v) \in Z, K_P(u, v) = (K_{P_1}u, K_{P_2}v)$, where $K_{P_1}u = -\frac{bt+a}{a^2+b^2}\Gamma_2(\int_0^t(t-s)u(s) ds) + \int_0^t(t-s)u(s) ds, K_{P_2}v = \int_0^t(t-s)v(s) ds$, then

$$(1) \|K_{P_1}u\| \leq \|K_{P_1}\| \|u\|_1,$$

$$(2) \|K_{P_2}v\| \leq \|v\|_1,$$

where $\|K_{P_1}\| = (\frac{\|bt+a\|\beta_2}{a^2+b^2} + 1)$.

Proof Observe that due to $|\Gamma_2(x)| \leq \beta_2 \|x\|$,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{P_1}u| &= \left| -\frac{bt+a}{a^2+b^2}\Gamma_2\left(\int_0^t(t-s)u(s) ds\right) + \int_0^t(t-s)u(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|bt+a|}{a^2+b^2}\beta_2 \left\| \left(\int_0^t(t-s)u(s) ds\right) \right\| + \left\| \left(\int_0^t(t-s)u(s) ds\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{|bt+a|}{a^2+b^2}\beta_2 + 1\right) \|u\|_1 \leq \left(\frac{\|bt+a\|}{a^2+b^2}\beta_2 + 1\right) \|u\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and $|(K_{P_1}u)'(t)| \leq (\frac{|b|}{a^2+b^2}\beta_2 + 1) \|u\|_1$; (1) follows from the above two inequalities. Similarly, we can obtain (2). □

Theorem 3.3 Assume (A_1) with $a \neq 0, (H), (D_3)$ (of Theorem 3.1) and the following conditions hold:

(D_5) . There exist constants $M_1, M_5, M_6 > 0$ such that, for $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L$, if $|x'(t)| > M_1$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1\Gamma_2)\left(\int_0^t(t-s)f(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds\right) \neq 0,$$

if $|y'(t)| > M_5$,

$$\Gamma_3\left(\int_0^t(t-s)g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds\right) \neq 0,$$

or if $|y(t)| > M_6$,

$$\Gamma_4\left(\int_0^t(t-s)g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)) ds\right) \neq 0.$$

(D_6) . There exist nonnegative functions $a_i, b_i, e_i, d_i, \rho_i \in L^1[0, 1], i = 1, 2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)| &< \rho_1(t) + a_1(t)|x_1| + b_1(t)|x_2| + e_1(t)|y_1| + d_1(t)|y_2|, \\ |g(t, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)| &< \rho_2(t) + a_2(t)|x_1| + b_2(t)|x_2| \\ &\quad + e_2(t)|y_1| + d_2(t)|y_2|, \quad t \in [0, 1], x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &(\|t - b/a\| + (\|t - b/a\| + 1)\|K_{P_1}\|)(\|a_1\|_1 + \|b_1\|_1) + (\|t - b/a\| \\ &+ (\|t - b/a\| + 1)\|K_{P_1}\|) \frac{6(\|a_2\|_1 + \|b_2\|_1)(\|e_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1)}{1 - 6(\|e_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1)} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$6(\|e_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1) + \frac{6(\|a_2\|_1 + \|b_2\|_1)(\|e_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1)}{1 - (\|t - b/a\| + (\|t - b/a\| + 1)\|K_{P_1}\|)(\|a_1\|_1 + \|b_1\|_1)} < 1.$$

Then FBVP (1.1) has at least one solution in $C^1[0, 1] \times C^1[0, 1]$.

Proof As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, by (D_5) , there exist constants $M_i > 0, t_i \in [0, 1], i = 5, 6, 7$ such that $|x'(t_5)| \leq M_1, |y'(t_6)| \leq M_5, |y(t_7)| \leq M_6$. Since $x'(t) = x'(t_5) + \int_{t_5}^t x''(s) ds, y'(t) = y'(t_6) + \int_{t_6}^t y''(s) ds$, we get

$$|x'(t)| \leq \|N_1x\|_1 + M_1, \quad |y'(t)| \leq \|N_2y\|_1 + M_5, \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{3.22}$$

where $N(x, y) = (N_1x, N_2y), N_1x = f(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s)),$ and $N_2y = g(s, x(s), y(s), x'(s), y'(s))$. Write $(x, y) = (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)$, where $(x_1, y_1) = (I - P)(x, y) \in \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P$ and $(x_2, y_2) = P(x, y) \in \text{Im } P$.

Then since $(x_1, y_1) = (I - P)(x, y) \in \text{dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P, (x_1, y_1) = K_P L(x_1, y_1) = K_P L(I - P)(x, y) = \lambda K_P N(x, y)$.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.5,

$$\|x_1\| \leq \|K_{P_1}\| \|N_1x\|_1, \quad \|y_1\| \leq \|N_2y\|_1. \tag{3.23}$$

Now, $(x_2, y_2) = (x, y) - (x_1, y_1)$, so $x'_2 = x' - x'_1, y'_2 = y' - y'_1$ and $|x'_2(t)| \leq |x'(t)| + |x'_1(t)| < M_1 + (\|K_{P_1}\| + 1)\|N_1x\|_1, |y'_2(t)| \leq |y'(t)| + |y'_1(t)| < M_5 + 2\|N_2y\|_1$ by (3.23). Recall that $(x_2, y_2)(t) = P(x, y)(t) = (c(x)(at - b), y'(0)t + y(0))$, where

$$c(x) = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} (ax'(0) - bx(0))$$

is introduced for the sake of brevity. Hence

$$|x'_2(t)| = |c(x)a| < M_1 + (\|K_{P_1}\| + 1)\|N_1x\|_1.$$

That is,

$$|c(x)| \leq \frac{1}{|a|} (M_1 + (\|K_{P_1}\| + 1)\|N_1x\|_1).$$

Thus,

$$\|x_2\| = |c(x)| \|at - b\| < \|t - b/a\| (M_1 + (\|K_{P_1}\| + 1)\|N_1x\|_1). \tag{3.24}$$

Similarly, it is easy to obtain $|y'(0)| < M_5 + 2\|N_2y\|_1$. In addition, $|y_2(t_7)| \leq |y(t_7)| + |y_1(t_7)| \leq M_6 + \|N_2y\|_1$, so, $|y_2(t_7)| = |y'(0)t_7 + y(0)| \leq M_6 + \|N_2y\|_1$ and $|y(0)| \leq M_5 + M_6 + 3\|N_2y\|_1$, thus

$$\|y_2\| \leq \|y'(0)t\| + |y(0)| \leq 2M_5 + M_6 + 5\|N_2y\|_1. \tag{3.25}$$

By (3.23) and (3.24), $\|x\| \leq \|x_1\| + \|x_2\| \leq C_3 + C_4\|N_1x\|_1$, where

$$C_3 = \|t - b/a\| M_1, \quad C_4 = \|t - b/a\| + (\|t - b/a\| + 1)\|K_{P_1}\|.$$

$\|y\| \leq \|y_1\| + \|y_2\| \leq 2M_5 + M_6 + 6\|N_2y\|_1$ by (3.23) and (3.25). Finally, it follows from (D_6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq \frac{C_3 + C_4(\|\rho_1\| + (\|e_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1)\frac{2M_5+M_6+6\|\rho_2\|_1}{1-6(\|e_2\|_1+\|d_2\|_1)})}{1 - C_4(\|a_1\|_1 + \|b_1\|_1) - C_4\frac{6(\|a_2\|_1+\|b_2\|_1)}{1-6(\|e_2\|_1+\|d_2\|_1)}}, \\ \|y\| &\leq \frac{2M_5 + M_6 + 6\|\rho_2\|_1 + \frac{6(\|a_2\|_1+\|b_2\|_1)(C_3+C_4\|\rho_1\|_1)}{1-C_4(\|a_1\|_1+\|b_1\|_1)}}{1 - 6(\|e_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1) - \frac{6(\|a_2\|_1+\|b_2\|_1)(\|e_1\|_1+\|d_1\|_1)}{1-C_4(\|a_1\|_1+\|b_1\|_1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Ω_1 is bounded. The rest of the proof repeats that of Theorem 3.1. □

We now provide an example that satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.3. Consider the kind of equation system

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = t - 1 + \frac{1}{32} \sin x(t) + \frac{1}{32} \sin y(t) + \frac{1}{32}x'(t) + \frac{1}{32} \sin y'(t), \\ y''(t) = g(t, x(t), y(t), x'(t), y'(t)), \\ \Gamma_1(x) = x'(0) + 2x(\frac{1}{2}) = 0, \quad \Gamma_2(x) = x(0) - 2 \int_0^1 x(s) ds = 0, \\ \Gamma_3(y) = 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} y(s) ds - y(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{4}y'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0, \\ \Gamma_4(y) = y'(1) - y'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, x(t), y(t), x'(t), y'(t)) &= \begin{cases} t + \frac{1}{32} \sin x(t) + \frac{1}{32}y(0) + \frac{1}{32} \sin x'(t) + \frac{1}{32} \sin y'(t), & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ t + \frac{1}{32} \sin x(t) + \frac{1}{32} \sin y(t) + \frac{1}{32} \sin x'(t) + \frac{1}{32}y'(t), & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma_1(t) = 2, \Gamma_1(1) = 2, \Gamma_2(t) = -1, \Gamma_2(1) = -1, \Gamma_3(t) = \Gamma_3(1) = \Gamma_4(t) = \Gamma_4(1) = 0$, so that $\alpha_1 = -2, a = b = -1$ and $\text{Ker } L = \{(c_1(t - 1), b_3t + b_4) | c_1, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{R}\}$. It is not difficult to verify that $h_1 \equiv -\frac{12}{5}$ satisfies Lemma 1.1.

Also,

$$|\Gamma_2(x)| \leq |x(0)| + 2 \int_0^1 |x(s)| ds \leq 3\|x\|,$$

that is, $\beta_2 = 3, \rho_1 = 0, \rho_2 = 1, \|a_1\|_1 = \frac{1}{32}, \|b_1\|_1 = \frac{1}{32}, \|e_1\|_1 = \frac{1}{32}, \|d_1\|_1 = \frac{1}{32}, \|a_2\|_1 = \|b_2\|_1 = \|e_2\|_1 = \|d_2\|_1 = \frac{1}{32}, \|K_{P_1}\| = 4, \|K_{P_2}\| = 1, \|t - b/a\| = 1,$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\|t - b/a\| + (\|t - b/a\| + 1)\|K_{P_1}\|)(\|a_1\|_1 + \|b_1\|_1) + (\|t - b/a\| \\ &+ (\|t - b/a\| + 1)\|K_{P_1}\|) \frac{6(\|a_2\|_1 + \|b_2\|_1)(\|e_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1)}{1 - 6(\|e_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1)} = \frac{144}{160} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$6(\|e_2\|_1 + \|d_2\|_1) + \frac{6(\|a_2\|_1 + \|b_2\|_1)(\|e_1\|_1 + \|d_1\|_1)}{1 - (\|t - b/a\| + (\|t - b/a\| + 1)\|K_{P_1}\|)(\|a_1\|_1 + \|b_1\|_1)} = \frac{3}{7} < 1.$$

Let $M_1 = 36$. Since $N(x, y) = (N_1x, N_2y)$, if $x'(t) > 36$, then $N_1x(t) > -1 - \frac{3}{32} + \frac{1}{32}M_1 > 0$, and if $x'(t) < -36$, then $N_1x(t) < \frac{3}{32} - \frac{1}{32}M_1 < 0$. Taking $M_5 = 36, M_6 = 36$, if $y'(t) > 36$, then $N_2y(t) > 0$, and if $y'(t) < -36$, then $N_2y(t) < 0$ for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. And if $y(t) > 36$, then $N_2y(t) > 0$, and if $y(t) < -36$, then $N_2y < 0$ for $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Observe that

$$(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1\Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_1x(s) ds \right) = \int_0^1 \kappa(s)N_1(s) ds,$$

$$\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_2y(s) ds \right) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 N_2y(s) ds,$$

$$\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_2y(s) ds \right) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} s^2N_2y(s) ds,$$

where

$$\kappa(s) = \begin{cases} -1 + 2s - 2s^2, & s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ -2 + 4s - 2s^2, & s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $\kappa(s) < 0, s^2 \geq 0$ in $[0, 1]$, therefore,

$$(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1\Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_1x(s) ds \right) \neq 0, \quad \Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_2y(s) ds \right) \neq 0,$$

$$\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_2y(s) ds \right) \neq 0$$

provided $(x, y) \in \text{dom } L \setminus \text{Ker } L$ satisfies $|x'(t)| > M_1 = 36, |y'(t)| > M_5 = 36, |y(t)| > M_6 = 36$. Hence (D_5) holds.

Finally, for $(x, y) \in \text{Ker } L, x_{c_1}(t) = c_1(t-1), y_b(t) = b_3t + b_4$.

Consequently,

$$c_1(\Gamma_1 - \alpha_1\Gamma_2) \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_1x(s) ds \right) = \int_0^1 \kappa(s)c_1N_1x_{c_1}(s) ds > 0,$$

since $\kappa(s) < 0$ in $[0, 1]$ and

$$c_1N_1x_{c_1}(t) \leq \frac{1}{32}|c_1| + \frac{1}{32}|c_1| + \frac{1}{32}|c_1| - \frac{1}{32}c_1^2 < 0$$

provided $|c_1| > E_1 = 3$. When $|b_3| > E_2 = 35, |b_4| > E_3 = 35$,

$$b_3\Gamma_3 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_2y(s) ds \right) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 s^2b_3N_2y_b(s) ds > 0,$$

$$b_4\Gamma_4 \left(\int_0^t (t-s)N_2y_b(s) ds \right) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} b_4N_2y_b(s) ds > 0,$$

since $s^2 > 0$ in $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and

$$b_3N_2y_b(t) > -|b_3| - \frac{3}{32}|b_3| + \frac{1}{32}b_3^2 > 0, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right],$$

$$b_4 N_2 y_b(t) > -|b_4| - \frac{3}{32}|b_4| + \frac{1}{32}b_4^2 > 0, \quad t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right],$$

then condition (D_3) is satisfied. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that there must be at least one solution in $C^1[0, 1] \times C^1[0, 1]$.

Acknowledgements

We thank both reviewers for their valuable comments. This work was supported by The Graduate Student Innovation Project Fund of Hebei Province (No. CXZZSS2017093).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The main idea of this paper was proposed by SB and JW. SB prepared the manuscript initially and performed all the steps of the proofs in this research. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 6 March 2017 Accepted: 3 August 2017 Published online: 07 September 2017

References

1. Xue, C, Ge, W: The existence of solutions for multi-point boundary value problem at resonance. *Acta Math. Sin.* **48**, 281-290 (2005)
2. Ma, R: Existence results of a m-point boundary value problem at resonance. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **294**, 147-157 (2004)
3. Lu, S, Ge, W: On the existence of m-point boundary value problem at resonance for higher order differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **287**, 522-539 (2003)
4. Liu, Y, Ge, W: Solvability of nonlocal boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations of higher order. *Nonlinear Anal.* **57**, 435-458 (2004)
5. Du, Z, Lin, X, Ge, W: Some higher-order multi-point boundary value problem at resonance. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **177**, 55-65 (2005)
6. Karakostas, G, Tsamatos, P: On a nonlocal boundary value problem at resonance. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **259**, 209-218 (2001)
7. Prezeradzki, B, Stanczy, R: Solvability of a multi-point boundary value problem at resonance. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **264**, 253-261 (2001)
8. Liu, B: Solvability of multi-point boundary value problem at resonance (II). *Appl. Math. Comput.* **136**, 353-377 (2003)
9. Gupta, C: Solvability of multi-point boundary value problem at resonance. *Results Math.* **28**, 270-276 (1995)
10. Lian, H, Pang, H, Ge, W: Solvability for second-order three-point boundary value problems at resonance on a half-line. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **337**, 1171-1181 (2008)
11. Zhang, X, Feng, M, Ge, W: Existence result of second-order differential equations with integral boundary conditions at resonance. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **353**, 311-319 (2009)
12. Kosmatov, N: Multi-point boundary value problems on an unbounded domain at resonance. *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**, 2158-2171 (2008)
13. Du, B, Hu, X: A new continuation theorem for the existence of solutions to P-Laplacian BVP at resonance. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **208**, 172-176 (2009)
14. Cui, Y: Solvability of second-order boundary-value problems at resonance involving integral conditions. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, 45 (2012)
15. Zhao, Z, Liang, J: Existence of solutions to functional boundary value problem of second-order nonlinear differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **373**, 614-634 (2011)
16. Mawhin, J: *Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*. NSF/CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1979)
17. Kosmatov, N, Jiang, W: Second-order functional problems with a resonance of dimension one. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **8**(3), 349-365 (2016)
18. Jiang, W: Solvability for a coupled system of fractional differential equations at resonance. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **13**, 2285-2292 (2012)
19. Jiang, W: Solvability of fractional differential equations with p-Laplacian at resonance. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **260**, 48-56 (2015)
20. Hanche-Olsen, H, Helge, H: The Kolmogorov-Riesz compactness theorem. *Expo. Math.* **28**, 385-394 (2010)