

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for higher-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales

Xin Wu<sup>1</sup>, Taixiang Sun<sup>1\*</sup>, Hongjian Xi<sup>2</sup> and Changhong Chen<sup>1</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
stx1963@163.com

<sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, P.R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the oscillation of the following higher-order dynamic equation:

$$\{r_n(t)[(r_{n-1}(t)(\cdots(r_1(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta \cdots)^\Delta)^\Delta]^\gamma\}^\Delta + F(t, x(\tau(t))) = 0$$

on an arbitrary time scale  $\mathbf{T}$ , where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{r_k(t)}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) are positive rd-continuous functions on  $\mathbf{T}$ , and  $\gamma$  is the quotient of two odd positive integers,  $\tau : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  with  $\tau(t) > t$  and  $F \in C(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ . We give sufficient conditions under which every solution of this equation is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

**MSC:** 34K11; 39A10; 39A99

**Keywords:** oscillation; dynamic equation; time scale

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be the set of all real numbers, and let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a time scale (*i.e.*, a closed nonempty subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ ) with  $\sup \mathbf{T} = \infty$ . In this paper, we study Kamenev-type oscillation criteria of solutions of the following higher-order dynamic equation:

$$\{r_n(t)[(r_{n-1}(t)(\cdots(r_1(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta \cdots)^\Delta)^\Delta]^\gamma\}^\Delta + F(t, x(\tau(t))) = 0, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $t_0 \in \mathbf{T}$  is a constant and  $[t, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}} = [t, \infty) \cap \mathbf{T}$  for any  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ . Throughout this paper, we assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\frac{1}{r_k(t)} \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}}, (0, \infty))$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ).

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $\gamma$  is the quotient of two odd positive integers.

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_k(s)} \Delta s = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [\frac{1}{r_n(s)}]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \Delta s = \infty$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ).

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $\tau : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  is a nondecreasing function with  $\tau(t) > t$  for any  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ .

(H<sub>5</sub>)  $F \in C(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  and there exists a positive rd-continuous function  $q(t)$  defined on  $\mathbf{T}$  such that for any  $u \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{F(t, u)}{u^\gamma} \geq q(t). \quad (1.2)$$

Write

$$S_k(t, x(t)) = \begin{cases} x(t) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ r_k(t)S_{k-1}^\Delta(t, x(t)) & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq n - 1, \\ r_n(t)[S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))]^\gamma & \text{if } k = n. \end{cases}$$

Then Eq. (1.1) reduces to the equation

$$S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) + F(t, x(\tau(t))) = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

By a solution of Eq. (1.3) we mean a nontrivial real-valued function  $x(t) \in C_{rd}^1([T_x, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}})$  with  $T_x \geq t_0$ , which has the property that  $S_k(t, x(t)) \in C_{rd}^1([T_x, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}})$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and satisfies Eq. (1.3) on  $[T_x, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}}$ , where  $C_{rd}^1$  is the space of differentiable functions whose derivative is rd-continuous. The solutions vanishing in some neighborhood of infinity will be excluded from our consideration. A solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.3) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

The theory of time scales, which has recently received a lot of attention, was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his PhD thesis [1] in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis. The cases when a time scale  $\mathbf{T}$  is equal to  $\mathbf{R}$  or all integers  $\mathbf{Z}$  represent the classical theories of differential and difference equations. Many results concerning differential equations carry over quite easily to corresponding results for difference equations, while other results seem to be completely different from their continuous counterparts. The study of dynamic equations on time scales reveals such discrepancies and helps avoid proving results twice - once for differential equations and once again for difference equations. The general idea is to prove a result for a dynamic equation where the domain of the unknown function is a time scale  $\mathbf{T}$ . In this way results not only related to the set of real numbers or the set of integers but those pertaining to more general time scales are obtained. Therefore, not only can the theory of dynamic equations unify the theories of differential equations and difference equations, but it also extends these classical cases to cases ‘in between’, e.g., to the so-called  $q$ -difference equations when  $\mathbf{T} = q^{\mathbf{N}_0}$ , which has important applications in quantum theory (see [2]). In the last years there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of some dynamic equations on time scales, and we refer the reader to the papers [3–17] and the references cited therein.

Recently, Wang [18] extended the Hille and Nehari oscillation theorems to the third-order dynamic equation

$$(r_2(t)((r_1(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\gamma)^\Delta + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Erbe *et al.* in [19–21] considered the third-order dynamic equations

$$(a(t)[r(t)x^\Delta(t)]^\Delta)^\Delta + p(t)f(x(t)) = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

$$x^{\Delta\Delta\Delta}(t) + p(t)x(t) = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$(a(t)\{[r(t)x^\Delta(t)]^\Delta\}^\Delta)^\Delta + f(t, x(t)) = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

respectively, and established some sufficient conditions for oscillation.

Hassan [22] studied the third-order dynamic equation

$$(a(t)\{[r(t)x^\Delta(t)]^\Delta\}^\Delta)^\Delta + f(t, x(\tau(t))) = 0 \tag{1.8}$$

and obtained some oscillation criteria, which improved and extended the results that were established in [19–21].

Hassan [23] studied the Kamenev-type oscillation criteria of the second-order dynamic equation

$$(r(t)(x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\Delta + f(t, x(g(t))) = 0 \tag{1.9}$$

and established some new sufficient conditions, which improved some oscillation results for second-order differential and difference equations.

## 2 Some auxiliary lemmas

We shall employ the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** [24] *Let  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . Then:*

- (1)  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_m(t, x(t)) > 0$  implies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_i(t, x(t)) = \infty$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ .
- (2)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_m(t, x(t)) < 0$  implies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_i(t, x(t)) = -\infty$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *Suppose that  $x(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.3), then there exist an integer  $\ell \in [0, n]$  and  $T \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that:*

- (1)  $n + \ell$  is even.
- (2)  $\ell > 0$  implies that  $S_i(t, x(t)) > 0$  for any  $t \geq T$  and  $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ .
- (3)  $\ell \leq n - 1$  implies that  $(-1)^{\ell+i} S_i(t, x(t)) > 0$  for any  $t \geq T$  and  $\ell \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

*Proof* Since  $x(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.3), there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $x(t) > 0$  and  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$  on  $[t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . It follows from (1.3) that

$$S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) = -F(t, x(\tau(t))) \leq -q(t)x^\gamma(\tau(t)) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

Hence  $S_n(t, x(t))$  is decreasing on  $[t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

We claim that  $S_n(t, x(t)) > 0$  for all  $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . If not, there exists a  $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that

$$S_n(t, x(t)) \leq S_n(t_2, x(t_2)) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Then we obtain

$$S_{n-1}(t, x(t)) \leq S_{n-1}(t_2, x(t_2)) + S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t_2, x(t_2)) \int_{t_2}^t \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s \rightarrow -\infty \quad (t \rightarrow \infty).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = -\infty$ , which contradicts with  $x(t) > 0$  eventually. Then  $S_n(t, x(t)) > 0$  for all  $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . This implies that exactly one of the following is true:

- (a<sub>1</sub>)  $S_{n-1}(t, x(t)) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ ;
- (b<sub>1</sub>) There exists a  $t_3 \geq t_1$  such that  $S_{n-1}(t, x(t)) \geq S_{n-1}(t_3, x(t_3)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_3$ .

If (b<sub>1</sub>) holds, then we obtain by Lemma 2.1

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_{n-3}(t, x(t)) = \dots = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \infty.$$

Thus the conclusions of Lemma 2.2 hold.

If (a<sub>1</sub>) holds, then  $S_{n-2}(t, x(t))$  is strictly decreasing on  $[t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and exactly one of the following is true:

- (a<sub>2</sub>)  $S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ ;
- (b<sub>2</sub>) There exists a  $t_4 \geq t_1$  such that  $S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) \leq S_{n-2}(t_4, x(t_4)) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_4$ .

If (b<sub>2</sub>) holds, then we obtain by Lemma 2.1

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_{n-3}(t, x(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_{n-4}(t, x(t)) = \dots = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = -\infty,$$

which contradicts with  $x(t) > 0$  eventually. Hence (b<sub>2</sub>) is impossible.

From (a<sub>2</sub>), we see that  $S_{n-3}(t, x(t))$  is strictly increasing on  $[t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and exactly one of the following is true:

- (a<sub>3</sub>)  $S_{n-3}(t, x(t)) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ ;
- (b<sub>3</sub>) There exists a  $t_5 \geq t_1$  such that  $S_{n-3}(t, x(t)) \geq S_{n-3}(t_5, x(t_5)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_5$ .

Therefore we can repeat the above argument and show that the conclusions of Lemma 2.2 hold. The proof is completed. □

**Remark 2.3** Let  $r_n(t) = \dots = r_1(t) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  be the set of integers. Then Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are Lemma 1.8.10 and Theorem 1.8.11 of [3] respectively.

**Lemma 2.4** Assume that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} \left\{ \int_s^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(u)} \int_u^{\infty} q(v) \Delta v \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s = \infty \tag{2.1}$$

holds and  $x(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.3). Then there exists  $T \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  sufficiently large such that either of the following cases holds:

- (1)  $S_i(t, x(t)) > 0$  for any  $t \geq T$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

*Proof* Since  $x(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.3), there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $x(t) > 0$  and  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$  on  $[t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ . It follows from (1.3) that

$$S_n^{\Delta}(t, x(t)) = -F(t, x(\tau(t))) \leq -q(t)x^{\gamma}(\tau(t)) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we see that there exists an integer  $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ , with  $\ell + n$  being even, such that  $(-1)^{\ell+i} S_i(t, x(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$  and  $\ell \leq i \leq n$ , and  $x(t)$  is eventually monotone.

We claim that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \neq 0$  implies  $\ell = n$ . If not, then  $S_{n-1}(t, x(t)) < 0$  ( $t \geq t_1$ ) and  $S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) > 0$  ( $t \geq t_1$ ). It is easy to see that there exist a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  and a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $x(\tau(t)) \geq M$  on  $[t_2, \infty)_T$ . Integrating Eq. (1.3) from  $t$  to  $\infty$ , we get that for  $t \geq t_2$ ,

$$-r_n(t)[S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))]^\gamma = -S_n(t, x(t)) \leq -M^\gamma \int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s.$$

Thus

$$S_{n-1}(t, x(t)) \leq -M \int_t^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(s)} \int_s^\infty q(u) \Delta u \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

Again, integrating the above inequality from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , we obtain that for  $t \geq t_2$ ,

$$S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) \leq S_{n-2}(t_2, x(t_2)) - M \int_{t_2}^t \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(u)} \int_u^\infty q(v) \Delta v \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s.$$

It follows from (2.1) that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) = -\infty$ , which is a contradiction to  $S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) > 0$  ( $t \geq t_1$ ). Thus  $\ell = n$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $x(t)$  be a solution of Eq. (1.3) such that case (1) of Lemma 2.4 holds for  $t \in [T, \infty)_T$  with some  $T \in [t_0, \infty)_T$ . Then we have that for  $t \in [T, \infty)_T$ ,*

$$S_i(t, x(t)) \geq S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t)) \vartheta_{i+1}(t, T), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))}{x^\sigma(t)} \geq \left[ \frac{\int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s}{r_n(t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\vartheta_i(t, T) = \begin{cases} \int_T^t \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s & \text{if } i = n, \\ \int_T^t \frac{\vartheta_{i+1}(s, T)}{r_i(s)} \Delta s & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

*Proof* Because  $x(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.3), there exists a  $T \geq t_0$  sufficiently large such that  $x(t) > 0$  and  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . Note  $S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) = -F(t, x(\tau(t))) \leq -q(t)x^\gamma(\tau(t)) < 0$ , we know that  $S_n(t, x(t))$  is strictly decreasing on  $[T, \infty)_T$ . Then for  $t \geq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n-1}(t, x(t)) &\geq S_{n-1}(t, x(t)) - S_{n-1}(T, x(T)) \\ &= \int_T^t \left[ \frac{S_n(s, x(s))}{r_n(s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s \\ &\geq S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t)) \vartheta_n(t, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) &\geq S_{n-2}(t, x(t)) - S_{n-2}(T, x(T)) \\ &= \int_T^t \frac{S_{n-1}(s, x(s))}{r_{n-1}(s)} \Delta s \\ &\geq S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t)) \vartheta_{n-1}(t, T). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above process, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t, x(t)) &\geq S_1(t, x(t)) - S_1(T, x(T)) \\ &= \int_T^t \frac{S_2(s, x(s))}{r_2(s)} \Delta s \\ &\geq S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t)) \vartheta_2(t, T), \\ S_0(t, x(t)) &\geq x(t) - x(T) \\ &= \int_T^t \frac{S_1(s, x(s))}{r_1(s)} \Delta s \\ &\geq S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t)) \vartheta_1(t, T). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(t)[S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))]^\gamma &= S_n(t, x(t)) \geq \int_t^\infty F(s, x(\tau(s))) \Delta s \\ &\geq \int_t^\infty q(s)x^\gamma(\tau(s)) \Delta s \\ &\geq x^\gamma(\tau(t)) \int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s \\ &\geq x^\gamma(\sigma(t)) \int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\frac{S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))}{x^\sigma(t)} \geq \left[ \frac{\int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s}{r_n(t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

The proof is completed. □

**Lemma 2.6** [25] *Let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be continuously differentiable and suppose that  $g : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is delta differentiable. Then  $f \circ g$  is delta differentiable and the formula*

$$(f \circ g)^\Delta(t) = g^\Delta(t) \int_0^1 f'(hg^\sigma(t) + (1-h)g(t)) dh \tag{2.5}$$

holds.

**Lemma 2.7** [23] *Suppose that  $a$  and  $b$  are nonnegative real numbers and  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\lambda ab^{\lambda-1} - a^\lambda \leq (\lambda - 1)b^\lambda, \tag{2.6}$$

where the equality holds if and only if  $a = b$ .

### 3 Main results

For convenience, we write  $\mathbf{D} \equiv \{(t, s) | t \geq s \geq t_0\}$ . Now we state and prove our main results.

**Theorem 3.1** *Assume that (2.1) holds. Furthermore, suppose that there exist  $G, g \in C_{rd}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{R})$  with  $G^{\Delta s} \in C_{rd}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{R})$  such that*

$$G(t, t) = 0 \quad \text{for any } t \geq t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad G(t, s) > 0 \quad \text{for any } t > s \geq t_0, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $G^{\Delta s}$  is the  $\Delta$ -partial derivative with respect to the second variable, and there exist  $m : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , such that  $r_n(t)m(t)$  is a delta differentiable function, and a delta differentiable function  $M : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that

$$G^{\Delta s}(t, s) + G(t, s) \frac{\beta(s, T)}{M^\sigma(s)} = -\frac{g(t, s)}{M^\sigma(s)} \sqrt{M(s)G(t, s)} \quad \text{for } t > s \geq t_0 \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G(t, T)} \int_T^t \left[ G(t, s) \psi(s, T) - \frac{g_-^2(t, s) r_1(s)}{4\gamma \eta(s, T)} \right] \Delta s = \infty \tag{3.3}$$

for all sufficiently large  $T$ , where

$$\eta(t, T) = \begin{cases} \vartheta_2(t, T) (\int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}} & \text{if } 0 < \gamma \leq 1, \\ \vartheta_1^{\gamma-1}(t, T) \vartheta_2(t, T) & \text{if } \gamma \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\psi(t, T) = M(t)q(t) - M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta + \gamma \frac{M(t)}{r_1(t)} \eta(t, T) ((r_n(t)m(t))^\sigma)^\gamma, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\beta(t, T) = M^\Delta(t) + 2\gamma \frac{M(t)\eta(t, T)}{r_1(t)} (r_n(t)m(t))^\sigma \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$g_-(t, s) = \max\{0, -g(t, s)\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then every solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

*Proof* Assume that Eq. (1.3) has a nonoscillatory solution  $x(t)$  on  $[t_0, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}}$ . Then, without loss of generality, there is a  $T \geq t_0$ , sufficiently large, such that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . By Lemma 2.4, there are two possible cases:

- (1)  $S_i(t, x(t)) > 0$  for any  $t \geq T$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

If case (1) holds, then set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t) &= M(t) \left[ \frac{S_n(t, x(t))}{x^\gamma(t)} + r_n(t)m(t) \right] \\ &= M(t)r_n(t) \left[ \left( \frac{S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))}{x(t)} \right)^\gamma + m(t) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &= \left( M(t) \frac{S_n(t, x(t))}{x^\gamma(t)} \right)^\Delta + (M(t)r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta \\ &= \frac{M(t)}{x^\gamma(t)} S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) + \left( \frac{M(t)}{x^\gamma(t)} \right)^\Delta S_n^\sigma(t, x(t)) \\ &\quad + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta + M^\Delta(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\sigma \\ &= \frac{M(t)}{x^\gamma(t)} S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) + \left( \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} - \frac{M(t)(x^\gamma(t))^\Delta}{x^\gamma(t)x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} \right) S_n^\sigma(t, x(t)) \\ &\quad + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta + M^\Delta(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.3) and the definition of  $\omega(t)$  that for all  $t \geq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &= -\frac{M(t)}{x^\gamma(t)} F(t, x(\tau(t))) + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta \\ &\quad + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} \omega^\sigma(t) - M(t) \frac{(x^\gamma(t))^\Delta}{x^\gamma(t)} \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $F(t, x(\tau(t))) \geq q(t)x^\gamma(\tau(t))$  and  $x(t)$  is increasing on  $[T, \infty)_T$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq -M(t)q(t) + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta \\ &\quad + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} \omega^\sigma(t) - M(t) \frac{(x^\gamma(t))^\Delta}{x^\gamma(t)} \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now we consider the following two cases.

Case 1: If  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , then it follows from  $x^\Delta(t) > 0$  and Lemma 2.6 that  $x^\sigma(t) \geq x(t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (x^\gamma(t))^\Delta &= \gamma x^\Delta(t) \int_0^1 (hx^\sigma(t) + (1-h)x(t))^{\gamma-1} dh \\ &\geq \gamma x^\Delta(t) \int_0^1 (hx^\sigma(t) + (1-h)x^\sigma(t))^{\gamma-1} dh \\ &= \gamma (x^\sigma(t))^{\gamma-1} x^\Delta(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq -M(t)q(t) + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta \\ &\quad + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} \omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma M(t) \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x^\sigma(t)} \frac{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}{x^\gamma(t)} \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t))} &\geq \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)}, & \frac{x(t)}{S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t))} &\geq \vartheta_1(t, T), \\ \frac{S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))}{x^\sigma(t)} &\geq \left[ \frac{\int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s}{r_n(t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x^\sigma(t)} &= r_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}(t) \frac{S_n(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} \left( \frac{S_{n-1}^\Delta(t, x(t))}{x^\sigma(t)} \right)^{1-\gamma} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t))} \\ &\geq r_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}(t) \frac{S_n(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} \left( \left( \frac{\int_t^\infty q(s)\Delta s}{r_n(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)^{1-\gamma} \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} \\ &\geq \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} \left( \int_t^\infty q(s)\Delta s \right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}} \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.11) with (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq -M(t)q(t) + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta \\ &\quad + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma M(t) \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} \left[ \int_t^\infty q(s)\Delta s \right]^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}} \left( \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Case 2: If  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then it follows from  $x^\Delta(t) > 0$  and Lemma 2.6 that  $x^\sigma(t) \geq x(t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (x^\gamma(t))^\Delta &= \gamma x^\Delta(t) \int_0^1 (hx^\sigma(t) + (1-h)x(t))^{\gamma-1} dh \\ &\geq \gamma x^\Delta(t) \int_0^1 (hx(t) + (1-h)x(t))^{\gamma-1} dh \\ &= \gamma (x(t))^{\gamma-1} x^\Delta(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.9) and (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq -M(t)q(t) + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta \\ &\quad + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma M(t) \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x(t)} \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

It follows from (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x(t)} &= \frac{S_n(t, x(t))}{x^\gamma(t)} \left( \frac{x(t)}{S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t))} \right)^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t))} \\ &\geq \frac{S_n(t, x(t))}{x^\gamma(t)} (\vartheta_1(t, T))^{\gamma-1} \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} \\ &\geq (\vartheta_1(t, T))^{\gamma-1} \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Combining (3.16) with (3.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq -M(t)q(t) + M(t)(r_n(t)m(t))^\Delta \\ &\quad + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma M(t) (\vartheta_1(t, T))^{\gamma-1} \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} \left( \frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Noting that the definitions of  $\eta(t, T)$ ,  $\psi(t, T)$  and  $\beta(t, T)$ . It follows from (3.14), (3.18) and the fact

$$\frac{S_n^\sigma(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} = \frac{\omega^\sigma(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} - r_n^\sigma(t)m^\sigma(t)$$

that for  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\psi(t, T) \leq -\omega^\Delta(t) + \frac{\beta(t, T)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \frac{\gamma M(t)\eta(t, T)}{r_1(t)(M^\sigma(t))^2}(\omega^\sigma(t))^2. \tag{3.19}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.19), with  $t$  replaced by  $s$ , by  $G(t, s)$  and integrating with respect to  $s$  from  $T$  to  $t$  ( $t \geq T$ ), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^t G(t, s)\psi(s, T)\Delta s &\leq -\int_T^t G(t, s)\omega^\Delta(s)\Delta s + \int_T^t \frac{G(t, s)\beta(s, T)}{M^\sigma(s)}\omega^\sigma(s)\Delta s \\ &\quad - \int_T^t \frac{\gamma G(t, s)M(s)\eta(s, T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^2}(\omega^\sigma(s))^2\Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^t G(t, s)\psi(s, T)\Delta s &\leq G(t, T)\omega(T) + \int_T^t G^{\Delta s}(t, s)\omega^\sigma(s)\Delta s + \int_T^t \frac{G(t, s)\beta(s, T)}{M^\sigma(s)}\omega^\sigma(s)\Delta s \\ &\quad - \int_T^t \frac{\gamma G(t, s)M(s)\eta(s, T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^2}(\omega^\sigma(s))^2\Delta s \\ &= G(t, T)\omega(T) + \int_T^t \left[ -\frac{g_-(t, s)}{M^\sigma(s)}\sqrt{M(s)G(t, s)}\omega^\sigma(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma G(t, s)M(s)\eta(s, T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^2}(\omega^\sigma(s))^2 \right]\Delta s \\ &\leq G(t, T)\omega(T) + \int_T^t \left[ \frac{g_-(t, s)}{M^\sigma(s)}\sqrt{M(s)G(t, s)}\omega^\sigma(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma G(t, s)M(s)\eta(s, T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^2}(\omega^\sigma(s))^2 \right]\Delta s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{g_-(t, s)}{M^\sigma(s)}\sqrt{M(s)G(t, s)}\omega^\sigma(s) - \frac{\gamma G(t, s)M(s)\eta(s, T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^2}(\omega^\sigma(s))^2 \\ &= \frac{g_-^2(t, s)r_1(s)}{4\gamma\eta(s, T)} - \frac{\gamma M(s)\eta(s, T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^2} \left( \sqrt{G(t, s)}\omega^\sigma(s) - \frac{g_-(t, s)M^\sigma(s)r_1(s)}{2\gamma\sqrt{M(s)\eta(s, T)}} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{g_-(t, s)}{M^\sigma(s)}\sqrt{M(s)G(t, s)}\omega^\sigma(s) - \frac{\gamma G(t, s)M(s)\eta(s, T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^2}(\omega^\sigma(s))^2 \leq \frac{g_-^2(t, s)r_1(s)}{4\gamma\eta(s, T)}. \tag{3.21}$$

Combining (3.21) with (3.20), it follows

$$\frac{1}{G(t, T)} \int_T^t \left[ G(t, s)\psi(s, T) - \frac{g_-^2(t, s)r_1(s)}{4\gamma\eta(s, T)} \right]\Delta s \leq \omega(T),$$

which contradicts assumption (3.3). Thus every solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero. The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *Assume that (2.1) holds. Furthermore, suppose that there exist  $H, h \in C_{rd}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{R})$  with  $H^{\Delta s} \in C_{rd}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{R})$  such that*

$$H(t, t) = 0 \quad \text{for any } t \geq t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad H(t, s) > 0 \quad \text{for any } t > s \geq t_0, \tag{3.22}$$

where  $H^{\Delta s}$  is the  $\Delta$ -partial derivative with respect to the second variable, and there exists a delta differentiable function  $M : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that

$$H^{\Delta s}(t, s) + H(t, s) \frac{M^{\Delta}(s)}{M^{\sigma}(s)} = -\frac{h(t, s)}{M^{\sigma}(s)} (M(s)H(t, s))^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \quad \text{for } t > s \geq t_0 \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \int_T^t \left[ M(s)q(s)H(t, s) - \frac{h_-^{\gamma+1}(t, s)r_1^{\gamma}(s)}{(\gamma + 1)^{\gamma+1}\vartheta_2^{\gamma}(s, T)} \right] \Delta s = \infty \tag{3.24}$$

for all sufficiently large  $T$ , where

$$h_-(t, s) = \max\{0, -h(t, s)\}. \tag{3.25}$$

Then every solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

*Proof* Assume that Eq. (1.3) has a nonoscillatory solution  $x(t)$  on  $[t_0, \infty)_{\mathbf{T}}$ . Then, without loss of generality, there is a  $T \geq t_0$ , sufficiently large, such that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . By Lemma 2.4, there are two possible cases:

- (1)  $S_i(t, x(t)) > 0$  for any  $t \geq T$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

If case (1) holds, then set

$$\omega(t) = M(t) \left[ \frac{S_n(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma}(t)} \right] = M(t)r_n(t) \left[ \left( \frac{S_{n-1}^{\Delta}(t, x(t))}{x(t)} \right)^{\gamma} \right]. \tag{3.26}$$

By (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{\Delta}(t) &\leq -M(t)q(t) + \frac{M^{\Delta}(t)}{M^{\sigma}(t)} \omega^{\sigma}(t) - M(t) \frac{(x^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta} S_n^{\sigma}(t, x(t))}{x^{\gamma}(t) x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)} \\ &\leq -M(t)q(t) + \frac{M^{\Delta}(t)}{M^{\sigma}(t)} \omega^{\sigma}(t) - \frac{M(t)}{M^{\sigma}(t)} \frac{(x^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta}}{x^{\gamma}(t)} \omega^{\sigma}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{\gamma}(t))^{\Delta} &= \gamma x^{\Delta}(t) \int_0^1 (hx^{\sigma}(t) + (1-h)x(t))^{\gamma-1} dh \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \gamma (x^{\sigma}(t))^{\gamma-1} x^{\Delta}(t) & \text{if } 0 < \gamma \leq 1, \\ \gamma (x(t))^{\gamma-1} x^{\Delta}(t) & \text{if } \gamma \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Case 1. If  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , then

$$\omega^\Delta(t) \leq -M(t)q(t) + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma \frac{M(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x^\sigma(t)} \frac{x^{\gamma\sigma}(t)}{x^\gamma(t)} \omega^\sigma(t). \tag{3.29}$$

Case 2. If  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then

$$\omega^\Delta(t) \leq -M(t)q(t) + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma \frac{M(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x(t)} \omega^\sigma(t). \tag{3.30}$$

Noting that  $x^\sigma(t) \geq x(t)$ , we have

$$\omega^\Delta(t) \leq -M(t)q(t) + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma \frac{M(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x^\sigma(t)} \omega^\sigma(t). \tag{3.31}$$

By (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\Delta(t) &\leq -M(t)q(t) + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma \frac{M(t)}{M^\sigma(t)} \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} \frac{S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t))}{x^\sigma(t)} \omega^\sigma(t) \\ &\leq -M(t)q(t) + \frac{M^\Delta(t)}{M^\sigma(t)}\omega^\sigma(t) - \gamma \frac{M(t)}{(M^\sigma(t))^\lambda} \frac{\vartheta_2(t, T)}{r_1(t)} (\omega^\sigma(t))^\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

where  $\lambda = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}$ . Multiplying both sides of (3.32), with  $t$  replaced by  $s$ , by  $H(t, s)$  and integrating with respect to  $s$  from  $T$  to  $t$  ( $t \geq T$ ), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^t H(t, s)M(s)q(s)\Delta s &\leq - \int_T^t H(t, s)\omega^\Delta(s)\Delta s + \int_T^t H(t, s) \frac{M^\Delta(s)}{M^\sigma(s)} \omega^\sigma(s)\Delta s \\ &\quad - \int_T^t \gamma H(t, s) \frac{M(s)\vartheta_2(s, T)}{(M^\sigma(s))^\lambda r_1(s)} (\omega^\sigma(s))^\lambda \Delta s. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using (3.22) and (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^t H(t, s)M(s)q(s)\Delta s &\leq H(t, T)\omega(T) + \int_T^t H^{\Delta s}(t, s)\omega^\sigma(s)\Delta s \\ &\quad + \int_T^t H(t, s) \frac{M^\Delta(s)}{M^\sigma(s)} \omega^\sigma(s)\Delta s \\ &\quad - \int_T^t \gamma H(t, s) \frac{M(s)\vartheta_2(s, T)}{(M^\sigma(s))^\lambda r_1(s)} (\omega^\sigma(s))^\lambda \Delta s \\ &\leq H(t, T)\omega(T) + \int_T^t \left[ -\frac{h(t, s)}{M^\sigma(s)} (M(s)H(t, s))^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \omega^\sigma(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma H(t, s) \frac{M(s)\vartheta_2(s, T)}{(M^\sigma(s))^\lambda r_1(s)} (\omega^\sigma(s))^\lambda \right] \Delta s \\ &\leq H(t, T)\omega(T) + \int_T^t \left[ \frac{h_-(t, s)}{M^\sigma(s)} (M(s)H(t, s))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \omega^\sigma(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma H(t, s) \frac{M(s)\vartheta_2(s, T)}{(M^\sigma(s))^\lambda r_1(s)} (\omega^\sigma(s))^\lambda \right] \Delta s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Write

$$A^\lambda = \gamma \frac{H(t,s)M(s)\vartheta_2(s,T)}{r_1(s)(M^\sigma(s))^\lambda} (\omega^\sigma(s))^\lambda, \quad B^{\lambda-1} = \frac{h_-(t,s)r_1^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(s)}{\lambda\gamma^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}\vartheta_2^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(s,T)}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\frac{h_-(t,s)}{M^\sigma(s)} (M(s)H(t,s))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \omega^\sigma(s) - \gamma H(t,s) \frac{M(s)\vartheta_2(s,T)}{(M^\sigma(s))^\lambda r_1(s)} (\omega^\sigma(s))^\lambda \leq \frac{h_-^{\gamma+1}(t,s)r_1^\gamma(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}\vartheta_2^\gamma(s,T)}.$$

Combining the above inequality with (3.33) gives

$$\int_T^t \left[ M(s)q(s)H(t,s) - \frac{h_-^{\gamma+1}(t,s)r_1^\gamma(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}\vartheta_2^\gamma(s,T)} \right] \Delta s \leq H(t,T)\omega(T),$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_T^t \left[ M(s)q(s)H(t,s) - \frac{h_-^{\gamma+1}(t,s)r_1^\gamma(s)}{(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}\vartheta_2^\gamma(s,T)} \right] \Delta s \leq \omega(T),$$

which contradicts assumption (3.24). Thus every solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero. The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Assume that (2.1) holds. Furthermore, suppose that for all sufficiently large  $T$ ,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_1^\gamma(t,T) \int_t^\infty q(s)\Delta s > 1 \tag{3.34}$$

*holds. Then every solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.*

*Proof* Assume that Eq. (1.3) has a nonoscillatory solution  $x(t)$  on  $[t_0, \infty)_T$ . Then, without loss of generality, there is a  $T \geq t_0$ , sufficiently large, such that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . By Lemma 2.4, there are two possible cases:

- (1)  $S_i(t, x(t)) > 0$  for any  $t \geq T$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

If case (1) holds, then using the fact that  $S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) < 0$ , we obtain

$$S_n(t, x(t)) \geq \int_t^\infty F(s, x(\tau(s)))\Delta s \geq x^\gamma(t) \int_t^\infty q(s)\Delta s,$$

which implies

$$\int_t^\infty q(s)\Delta s \leq \left( \frac{S_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t, x(t))}{x(t)} \right)^\gamma. \tag{3.35}$$

Combining (3.35) with (3.12) gives

$$\vartheta_1^\gamma(t,T) \int_t^\infty q(s)\Delta s \leq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_1^\gamma(t, T) \int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s \leq 1,$$

which contradicts assumption (3.34). Thus every solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero. The proof is completed.  $\square$

#### 4 Examples

In this section, we give some examples to illustrate our main results.

**Example 4.1** Consider the following higher-order dynamic equation:

$$S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) + \frac{\rho}{t^{\frac{4}{3}}} x^3(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}, t \geq 2, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\gamma = 3$ ,  $\rho$  is a positive constant,  $S_k(t, x(t))$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) are as in Eq. (1.3) with  $r_n(t) = t^3$ ,  $r_{n-1}(t) = \dots = r_1(t) = 1$  and  $\tau$  is defined as in (H<sub>4</sub>). If  $\rho > \frac{1}{12}$ , then every solution of Eq. (4.1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

*Proof* Note that

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s = \int_2^t \frac{1}{s} \Delta s = \log_2 t - 1 \rightarrow \infty \quad (t \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{\Delta s}{r_i(s)} = \int_2^\infty \Delta s = \infty \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(u)} \int_u^\infty q(v) \Delta v \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\ &= \int_2^\infty \left\{ \int_s^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{u^3} \int_u^\infty \frac{\rho}{v^{\frac{4}{3}}} \Delta v \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\ &= \left( \frac{\rho}{1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_2^\infty \left\{ \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{u^{\frac{10}{9}}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\ &= \left( \frac{\rho}{1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{9}}} \int_2^\infty s^{-\frac{1}{9}} \Delta s \\ &= \left( \frac{\rho}{1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{9}}} \frac{1}{2^{\frac{8}{9}} - 1} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t^{\frac{8}{9}} - 2^{\frac{8}{9}}) \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $M(t) = t$ ,  $m(t) = \frac{1}{t^4}$  and  $G(t, s) = 1$  if  $t > s \geq 2$  and  $G(t, t) = 0$  if  $t \geq 2$ , then

$$\psi(s, T) = \frac{\rho}{s^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{1}{\sigma(s)} + \frac{3s\eta(s, T)}{\sigma^2(s)},$$

$$\beta(s, T) = 1 + \frac{6s\eta(s, T)}{\sigma(s)}$$

and

$$g(t, s) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left( 1 + \frac{6s\eta(s, T)}{\sigma(s)} \right).$$

Note that  $\vartheta_n(t, T) = \int_T^t \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s = \int_T^t \frac{1}{s} \Delta s = \log_2 t - \log_2 T$ . It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_2(t, T) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_1(t, T) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n(t, T) = \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

From (3.4) and (4.2), we can find  $T^*$  such that  $\eta(t, T) \geq 1$  for all  $t \geq T^*$ . Therefore we have that if  $\rho > \frac{1}{12}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G(t, T)} \int_T^t \left[ G(t, s) \psi(s, T) - \frac{g^2(t, s) r_1(s)}{4\gamma \eta(s, T)} \right] \Delta s \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[ \frac{\rho}{s^{\frac{1}{3}}} - \frac{1}{12s\eta(s, T)} \right] \Delta s \\ &\geq \left( \rho - \frac{1}{12} \right) \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T^*}^t \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{3}}} \Delta s \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus conditions  $(H_3)$ , (2.1) and (3.3) are satisfied. By Theorem 3.1, every solution of Eq. (4.1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero if  $\rho > \frac{1}{12}$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Example 4.2** Consider the following higher-order dynamic equation:

$$S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) + \frac{\rho}{t^{\frac{4}{3}}} x^{\frac{2}{3}}(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}, t \geq 2, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $S_k(t, x(t))$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) are as in Eq. (1.3) with  $r_n(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $r_{n-1}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}}$ ,  $r_{n-2}(t) = \dots = r_1(t) = t$ ,  $\tau$  is defined as in  $(H_4)$  and  $\rho$  is a positive constant. If  $\rho > \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , then every solution of Eq. (4.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

*Proof* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s = \int_2^t \frac{1}{s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \Delta s = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{4}} - 2^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2^{\frac{1}{4}} - 1} \rightarrow \infty \quad (t \rightarrow \infty), \\ & \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} \Delta s = \int_2^t \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{4}}} \Delta s = \frac{t^{\frac{3}{4}} - 2^{\frac{3}{4}}}{2^{\frac{3}{4}} - 1} \rightarrow \infty \quad (t \rightarrow \infty), \\ & \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{r_i(s)} \Delta s = \int_2^t \frac{1}{s} \Delta s = \log_2 t - 1 \rightarrow \infty \quad (t \rightarrow \infty) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(u)} \int_u^\infty q(v) \Delta v \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\ &= \int_2^\infty \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{u^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_u^\infty \frac{\rho}{v^{\frac{4}{3}}} \Delta v \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left(\frac{\rho}{1-2^{-\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_2^\infty \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{u^{\frac{5}{4}}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\
 &= \left(\frac{\rho}{1-2^{-\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{1-2^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_2^\infty \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta s \\
 &= \left(\frac{\rho}{1-2^{-\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{1-2^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1} \\
 &= \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vartheta_2(t, T) &= \int_T^t \frac{1}{r_2(u_{n-1})} \left[ \int_T^{u_{n-1}} \frac{1}{r_3(u_{n-2})} \left[ \cdots \left[ \int_T^{u_3} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(u_2)} \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left. \left[ \int_T^{u_2} \frac{1}{r_n(u_1)} \Delta u_1 \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \Delta u_2 \right] \cdots \right] \Delta u_{n-2} \Delta u_{n-1} \\
 &\geq \int_{2^{n-2}T}^t \frac{1}{u_{n-1}} \left[ \int_{2^{n-3}T}^{u_{n-1}} \frac{1}{u_{n-2}} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left[ \cdots \left[ \int_{2T}^{u_3} \left[ \frac{1}{u_2^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_T^{u_2} \frac{1}{u_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta u_1 \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \Delta u_2 \right] \cdots \right] \Delta u_{n-2} \right] \Delta u_{n-1} \\
 &\geq \int_{2^{n-2}T}^t \frac{1}{u_{n-1}} \left[ \int_{2^{n-3}T}^{u_{n-1}} \frac{1}{u_{n-2}} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. \left[ \cdots \left[ \int_{2T}^{u_3} \left[ \frac{1}{u_2^{\frac{3}{4}}} (u_2 - T) \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \Delta u_2 \right] \cdots \right] \Delta u_{n-2} \right] \Delta u_{n-1} \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} (t - 2^{n-2}T). \tag{4.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Pick  $T_* > T > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{3}}} \geq \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{1}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}(t - 2^{n-2}T)\right]^{\frac{2}{3}}} \quad \text{for } t \geq T_*.$$

Take  $M(t) = t$  and  $H(t, s) = 1$  for  $t > s \geq 2$  and  $H(t, t) = 0$  for  $t \geq 2$ , then

$$h(t, s) = -\frac{1}{s^{\frac{5}{6}}}.$$

Therefore we have that if  $\rho > \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, T)} \int_T^t \left[ M(s)q(s)H(t, s) - \frac{h^{\gamma+1}(t, s)r_1^\gamma(s)}{(\gamma + 1)\vartheta_2^\gamma(s, T)} \right] \Delta s \\
 &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[ \frac{\rho}{s^{\frac{1}{3}}} - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-\frac{1}{2}}(s - 2^{n-2}T)\right]^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right] \Delta s \\
 &\geq \left(\rho - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T_*}^t \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Delta s
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \rho - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}} \right) \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}} - (T_*)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1} \\
 &= \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus conditions (H<sub>3</sub>), (2.1) and (3.24) are satisfied. By Theorem 3.2 every solution of Eq. (4.3) is either oscillatory or tends to zero if  $\rho > \frac{1}{\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}}$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Example 4.3** Consider the following higher order dynamic equation:

$$S_n^\Delta(t, x(t)) + \frac{\rho}{t\sigma(t)} x^\gamma(\tau(t)) = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

on an arbitrary time scale  $\mathbf{T}$ , where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\gamma \geq 1$  is the quotient of two odd positive integers,  $\rho$  is a positive constant,  $S_k(t, x(t))$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) are as in Eq. (1.3) with  $r_n(t) = 1$ ,  $r_{n-1}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$ ,  $r_{n-2}(t) = \dots = r_1(t) = t$  and  $\tau$  is defined as in (H<sub>4</sub>). If  $\rho > 2^{(n-1)\gamma+1}$ , then every solution of Eq. (4.5) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

*Proof* Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{t_0}^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta s &= \int_{t_0}^\infty \Delta s = \infty, \\
 \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} \Delta s &= \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \Delta s = \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r_i(s)} \Delta s = \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{s} \Delta s = \infty \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

Pick that  $t_* \geq t_0$  such that  $\int_{t_0}^{t_*} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \Delta s > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{r_n(u)} \int_u^\infty q(v) \Delta v \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\
 &= \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \left[ \int_u^\infty \frac{\rho}{v\sigma(v)} \Delta v \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\
 &\geq (\rho)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \left\{ \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{u^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \Delta u \right\} \Delta s \\
 &\geq (\rho)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \int_{t_0}^{t_*} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \Delta s \int_{s_*}^\infty \frac{1}{u^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \Delta u \\
 &= \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using arguments similar to that of (4.4), it is easy to see that  $\vartheta_1(t, T) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1+\frac{1}{\gamma}} (t - 2^{n-1}T)$ . Therefore we have that if  $\rho > 2^{(n-1)\gamma+1}$ , then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_1^\gamma(t, T) \int_t^\infty q(s) \Delta s \geq \rho \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(n-1)\gamma+1} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(t - 2^{n-1}T)^\gamma}{t} \geq \rho \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(n-1)\gamma+1} > 1.$$

Thus conditions  $(H_3)$ , (2.1) and (3.34) are satisfied. By Theorem 3.3 every solution of Eq. (4.5) is either oscillatory or tends to zero if  $\rho > 2^{(n-1)\gamma+1}$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Example 4.4** Consider the following third-order dynamic equation:

$$\left[ (tx^\Delta(t))^\Delta \right]^\Delta + \frac{3t[(4t^2 + 18t + 19)(t + 1) + (4t^2 + 10t + 5)(t + 3)]}{(t + 1)(t + 2)(t + 3)} x(3t) = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

on  $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , where  $n = 2$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $r_2(t) = 1$ ,  $r_1(t) = t$  and  $\tau(t) = 3t$  for any  $t \in \mathbf{N}$ . It is easy to see that conditions  $(H_1)$ - $(H_5)$  are satisfied and  $x(t) = \frac{(-1)^t}{t}$  is an oscillatory solution of Eq. (4.6), which tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and typed, read, and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, P.R. China.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Guangxi College of Finance and Economics, Nanning, Guangxi 530003, P.R. China.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments. This paper is project is supported by NNSF of China (11261005) and NSF of Guangxi (2011GXNSFA018135, 2012GXNSFDA276040) and SF of ED of Guangxi (2013ZD061).

Received: 7 April 2013 Accepted: 1 August 2013 Published: 20 August 2013

#### References

1. Hilger, S: Analysis on measure chains - a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. *Results Math.* **18**, 18-56 (1990)
2. Kac, V, Chueng, P: *Quantum Calculus*. Universitext (2002)
3. Agarwal, RP: *Difference Equations and Inequalities. Theory, Methods and Applications*, 2nd edn. Dekker, New York (2000)
4. Agarwal, RP, Bohner, M, Saker, SH: Oscillation of second order delay dynamic equations. *Can. Appl. Math. Q.* **13**, 1-17 (2005)
5. Bohner, M, Karpuz, B, Ocalan, O: Iterated oscillation criteria for delay dynamic equations of first order. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2008**, Article ID 458687 (2008)
6. Bohner, M, Peterson, A: *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2003)
7. Bohner, M, Saker, SH: Oscillation of second order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **34**, 1239-1254 (2004)
8. Erbe, L: Oscillation results for second-order linear equations on a time scale. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **8**, 1061-1071 (2002)
9. Erbe, L, Peterson, A, Saker, SH: Oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **333**, 505-522 (2007)
10. Grace, SR, Agarwal, RP, Kaymakcalan, B, Sae-Jie, W: On the oscillation of certain second order nonlinear dynamic equations. *Math. Comput. Model.* **50**, 273-286 (2009)
11. Hardy, GH, Littlewood, JE, Polya, G: *Inequalities*, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988)
12. Hassan, TS: Oscillation criteria for half-linear dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **345**, 176-185 (2008)
13. Han, Z, Sun, S, Shi, B: Oscillation criteria for a class of second-order Emden-Fowler delay dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **334**, 847-858 (2007)
14. Han, Z, Shi, B, Sun, S: Oscillation criteria for second-order delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2007**, Article ID 70730 (2007)
15. Sun, T, Xi, H, Peng, X: Asymptotic behavior of solutions of higher-order dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2011**, Article ID 237219 (2011)
16. Sun, T, Xi, H, Peng, X, Yu, W: Nonoscillatory solutions for higher-order neutral dynamic equations on time scales. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2010**, Article ID 428963 (2010)
17. Sun, T, Xi, H, Yu, W: Asymptotic behaviors of higher order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **37**, 177-192 (2011)
18. Wang, Y, Xu, Z: Asymptotic properties of solutions of certain third-order dynamic equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **236**, 2354-2366 (2012)
19. Erbe, L, Peterson, A, Saker, SH: Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a third-order nonlinear dynamic equation on time scales. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **181**, 92-102 (2005)

20. Erbe, L, Peterson, A, Saker, SH: Oscillation and asymptotic behavior a third-order nonlinear dynamic equation. *Can. Appl. Math. Q.* **14**, 129-147 (2006)
21. Erbe, L, Peterson, A, Saker, SH: Hille and Nehari type criteria for third order dynamic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329**, 112-131 (2007)
22. Hassan, TS: Oscillation of third order nonlinear delay dynamic equations on time scales. *Math. Comput. Model.* **49**, 1573-1586 (2009)
23. Hassan, TS: Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217**, 5285-5297 (2011)
24. Sun, T, Yu, W, Xi, H: Oscillatory behavior and comparison for higher order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Appl. Math. Inf.* **30**, 289-304 (2012)
25. Bohner, M, Peterson, A: *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)

doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-248

**Cite this article as:** Wu et al.: Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for higher-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013 2013:248.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---