

ON THE INVERSE LAPLACE-STIELTJES TRANSFORM OF A-STABLE RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

MIHÁLY KOVÁCS AND FRANK NEUBRANDER

(Received December 2006)

Abstract. Let r be an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential function of order $q \geq 1$ and let $t > 0$. It is shown that the inverse Laplace-Stieltjes transforms $\alpha_n : s \rightarrow \alpha_n^{n*}(\frac{ns}{t})$ of $r_n(z) := r^n(\frac{tz}{n})$ converge in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to the Heaviside function H_t with a rate of $t^{1/p}n^{-1/2p}(\ln(n+1))^{1-1/p}$. Moreover, for $0 \leq k \leq q$, the k -th antiderivatives of α_n converge in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to the k -th antiderivative of the Heaviside function with a speed that increases with k . In particular, the q -th antiderivatives of α_n converge in $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to the q -th antiderivative of the Heaviside function H_t with the optimal rate of $t(\frac{t}{n})^q$. In addition to the L^p -estimates, bounds on the total variation and supremum norms of α_n are given. Via the Hille-Phillips functional calculus for operator semigroups, the results have immediate applications to the error analysis of rational time discretization methods for evolution equations.

1. Introduction

Let $r_n(z) := \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha_n(s)$ converge pointwise to $v(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ ($z < 0$), where α, α_n are functions of bounded total variation. Does this imply the convergence of α_n to α and, if yes, in what sense? Moreover, if it is known how fast r_n converges to v , what can be said about the speed of convergence of α_n to α in various norms? Motivated by applications to time discretization methods, of particular interest are cases where $r_n(z) := r^n(\frac{tz}{n}) \rightarrow e^{tz}$ for some rational function r with

- (a) $r(z) = e^z + O(z^{q+1})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- (b) $|r(z)| \leq 1$ for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$.

Such functions r are called A-stable rational approximations of the exponential of order q . Each such r is the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of a function α with finite total variation. Moreover,

$$r^n(\frac{tz}{n}) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha_{n,t}(s) \rightarrow e^{tz} = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} dH_t(s)$$

($z < 0, t > 0, n \rightarrow \infty$), where $\alpha_n := \alpha_{n,t}$ is the n -th Stieltjes convolution power $s \rightarrow \alpha^{n*}(\frac{ns}{t})$ and H_t is the Heaviside function with jump at t . By translating technical arguments of [4] and [8] into a Laplace-Stieltjes transform setting, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 it is shown that the total variation of $\alpha_{n,t}$ may grow at most like \sqrt{n} . Hence, in general, the functions $\alpha_{n,t}$ will not converge towards H_t with respect to

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 44A10. Secondary: 26C15.

M. Kovács was partially supported by postdoctoral grant No. 623-2005-5078 of the Swedish Research Council and research grant (CZN-14/2005) of the Science and Technology Foundation. Frank Neubrander gratefully acknowledges the support received through a 2004 William Evans Fellowship from the University of Otago.

the total variation norm. In Theorem 3.6 it is established that the L_∞ -norm of $\alpha_{n,t}$ cannot increase faster than $\ln(n+1)$. With this result one obtains convergence in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ once the convergence in $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is established. In Section 4, based on the complex inversion formula for the Laplace-Stieltjes transform and analytical techniques developed by P. Brenner and V. Thomée in [4], the convergence of $\alpha_{n,t}$ and its k -th antiderivatives ($0 \leq k \leq q$) in $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is established together with convergence rate estimates that improve with increased k . In Theorem 4.5, combining the L_1 -result with the logarithmic L_∞ -growth bound, L_p -error estimates are given for $\alpha_{n,t} - H_t$ and its k -th antiderivatives $I^{(k)}(\alpha_{n,t} - H_t)$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Using the Hille–Phillips functional calculus, these estimates yield convergence estimates for rational approximation schemes for strongly continuous semigroups¹(see [4], [9], [12], [14]). Let X be a Banach space and let $A : X \supset \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ generate a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators $T(\cdot)$ bounded by $M \geq 1$ (for details, see [1]). For A -stable rational approximations r of the exponential of order q the operators

$$r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}A\right)x = \int_0^\infty T(s)x d\alpha_{n,t}(s)$$

are well defined (where $\alpha_{n,t}$ is as above; for details see, for example, [10, Chapter XV] and [13]). It is immediate from the definition that for any $\tau \geq 0$ we have $\|f(\tau A)\| \leq MV_\alpha(\infty)$ which gives the estimate $\|r^n(\tau A)\| \leq K\sqrt{n}$ by Theorem 3.1. For sufficiently smooth initial data one can integrate by parts k -times ($k = 1, 2, \dots, q+1$) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}A\right)x - T(t)x \\ = \int_0^\infty T(s)x d[\alpha_{n,t}(s) - H_t(s)] = (-1)^k \int_0^\infty I^{(k-1)}(\alpha_{n,t} - H_t)(s) \frac{d^k T(s)x}{ds^k} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, L_p -estimates of $I^{(k-1)}(\alpha_{n,t} - H_t)$ result in error estimates for $r^n(\frac{t}{n}A)x - T(t)x$ for those x with appropriately regular orbits $s \mapsto T(s)x$ (for details, see [14]).

2. Preliminaries and Basic Inequalities

A bounded variation function $\alpha : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is in NBV_R if it is *normalized*; i.e., $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(u) = \frac{\alpha(u+) + \alpha(u-)}{2}$ ($u \in (0, R)$). The space $NBV_{loc} := \cap_{R>0} NBV_R$ is an algebra with multiplication defined by the *Stieltjes convolution* $(\alpha * \beta)(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(t-u) d\beta(u) = \int_0^t \beta(t-u) d\alpha(u)$ ($t \notin P_{\alpha+\beta}$), where $P_{\alpha+\beta} := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t = t_\alpha + t_\beta, t_\alpha \in P_\alpha, t_\beta \in P_\beta\}$, and where P_α (and similarly P_β) denotes the countable set of discontinuity points of α . If P_α or P_β is empty, then $P_{\alpha+\beta}$ is defined to be the empty set. If $\alpha, \beta \in NBV_R$, then $\gamma := \alpha * \beta$ exists on $[0, R] \setminus P_{\alpha+\beta}$ and γ may be defined on $P_{\alpha+\beta}$ so that it becomes normalized (see [16, Thms 11.1 and 11.2a]). Let $V_\alpha(\infty)$ denote the total variation of $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ on $[0, \infty)$. Then $NBV := \{\alpha \in NBV_{loc} : V_\alpha(\infty) < +\infty\}$ is a Banach algebra with norm $\|\alpha\| := V_\alpha(\infty)$. Let $\mathcal{G} := \{f_\alpha : f_\alpha(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zt} d\alpha(t) \text{ if } \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0, \alpha \in NBV\}$. Next, we show that A -stable rational functions belong to \mathcal{G} (see, also, [10, p. 441]).

Proposition 2.1. *If a rational function r is bounded for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, then $r \in \mathcal{G}$.*

¹For convergence estimates for distribution or C -regularized semigroups, see [11]

Proof. Clearly, constant functions and the functions $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{a-z}$ belong to the algebra \mathcal{G} for $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$. Developing r into partial fractions, we see that $r \in \mathcal{G}$. \square

The proof of the following inequality is a straightforward modification of the proof of [9, Lemma 5] and is provided for convenience².

Proposition 2.2 (Carlson's Inequality). *Assume that $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $s \mapsto sf(s) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)| ds \leq 2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \neq 0$ and note that $\|f\|_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{-c^2} |s|^{-1} |sf(s)| ds + \int_{-c^2}^0 1 \cdot |f(s)| ds + \int_0^{c^2} 1 \cdot |f(s)| ds + \int_{c^2}^{\infty} s^{-1} |sf(s)| ds$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_1 &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-c^2} |s|^{-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-c^2} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \left(\int_{-c^2}^0 |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \left(\int_0^{c^2} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{c^2}^{\infty} s^{-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{c^2}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The choice $c := \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ yields the desired result. \square

It is noted that the above inequality remains true if we replace the constant 2 by $\sqrt{\pi}$ as shown by Carlson in [7] with equality for $f(s) = \frac{1}{1+s^2}$.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that $f, f' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_1 \leq 2\|f\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. Parseval's identity yields $\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_2 = \|f\|_2$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s\mathcal{F}(f)(s)|^2 ds = \|f'\|_2^2$. Now the result follows immediately from Proposition 2.2. \square

Throughout the paper the following inversion formula for the Laplace-Stieltjes transform will be useful (see, for example, [16, Chapter II, Thm 7a]).

Proposition 2.4 (Complex Inversion Formula). *Let $f(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ for $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ and $\operatorname{Re} z < \sigma$. Then, for $c > \max(-\sigma, 0)$,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-iR}^{c+iR} \frac{f(-z)}{z} e^{zs} dz = \begin{cases} \alpha(s) & \text{if } s > 0 \\ \frac{\alpha(0+)}{2} & \text{if } s = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

A consequence of the Complex Inversion Theorem is a crucial estimate of $V_{\alpha}(\infty)$ using information of the behavior of its Laplace-Stieltjes transform on the imaginary axis. A related statement with a different proof can be found in [4, Lemma 2].

²For a more general version, see [1, Lemma 8.2.1]

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$, $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, where $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ with $\alpha(0+) = 0$ and define $f_0(s) := f(is)$. Assume that f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$. If $f_0, f'_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then α is absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ and*

$$V_\alpha(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. The integral in (2.1) can be replaced by $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} \frac{f(-z)}{z} e^{zs} dz$, where $c > \varepsilon > 0$, $\gamma_\varepsilon(u) = \varepsilon e^{iu}$, $u \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\Gamma_\varepsilon^R(u) = iu$, $u \in [-R, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, R]$. This follows from Cauchy's theorem and the fact that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{\pm R, c}} \frac{f(-z)}{z} e^{zs} dz \right| \leq \frac{c}{R} e^{cs} \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0} |f(-z)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\Gamma_{\pm R, c}(u) = \pm iR + u$, $u \in [0, c]$. Fix $s_0 \geq 0$. Since $\alpha(0+) = 0$ and $z \mapsto f(-z)e^{zs}$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} \frac{f(-z)}{z} (e^{zs_0} - 1) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} \int_0^{s_0} f(-z) e^{zs} ds dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{s_0} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} f(-z) e^{zs} dz ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{s_0} \int_{-iR}^{iR} f(-z) e^{zs} dz ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{s_0} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \int_{-iR}^{iR} f(-z) e^{zs} dz ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{s_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \int_{-R}^R f_0(v) e^{-ivs} dv ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim^{(2)}$ denotes the limit in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. To see that we can interchange the limit and the integral above, let $f_R(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R f_0(v) e^{-ivs} dv$. Since $f_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ it follows

that $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} f_R := \mathcal{F}(f_0)$ exists and defines a uniquely determined function in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see, for example, [6, p.210]). Therefore, $f_R \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(f_0)$ weakly as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\chi_{[0, s_0]}$ denote the characteristic function of $[0, s_0]$. Then,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{s_0} f_R(s) ds = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_R, \chi_{[0, s_0]} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}(f_0), \chi_{[0, s_0]} \rangle = \int_0^{s_0} \mathcal{F}(f_0)(s) ds.$$

This proves that we can interchange the limit and the integral above, that α is absolutely continuous since

$$\alpha(s_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{s_0} \mathcal{F}(f_0)(s) ds, \quad (2.3)$$

and that $V_\alpha(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1$. Since $f_0, f'_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that $\mathcal{F}(f_0) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1 \leq 2\|f_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore,

$$V_\alpha(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *Let $f(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$ and $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$. If f extends analytically to a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$ and $f_0 - f(-\infty), f'_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\alpha \in NBV$. In particular, if $f(-\infty) := \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha(\infty) &= |f(-\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}(f_0 - f(-\infty))(s)| ds \\ &\leq |f(-\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0 - f(-\infty)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $f(-\infty) = \alpha(0+)$ exists for $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ (see [16, Cor. 1c]), define

$$f(z) - f(-\infty) := \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d[\alpha(s) - f(-\infty)H_0(s)].$$

Then $f - f(-\infty)$ and $\alpha - f(-\infty)H_0$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.5 and $V_\alpha(\infty) = V_{f(-\infty)H_0}(\infty) + V_{\alpha - f(-\infty)H_0}(\infty) = |f(-\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0 - f(-\infty))\|_1 \leq |f(-\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0 - f(-\infty)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

3. Bounds on the Convolution Powers of the Determining Function

3.1. NBV-bounds. By Proposition 2.1, an A-stable rational function r can be represented by $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ ($\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$) for some $\alpha \in NBV$. In this section, the total variation of the convolution powers α^{n*} will be estimated.

Employing techniques due to P. Brenner and V. Thomée ([4] [5, Ch. 2]), the following partition of unity is needed. Let $0 \leq \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset (-2, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \phi(2^{-j}s) = 1$ for $|s| > 2$. Define $\phi_j(s) := \phi(2^{-j}s)$ for $j > 0$ and $\phi_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^\infty \phi_j$. Note that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_j) \subset (-2^{j+1}, -2^{j-1}) \cup (2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1})$ for $j > 0$. The proof of the next theorem follows [4, Theorem 1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, be an A-stable rational function. Then there is a constant $K > 0$ such that*

$$V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \leq K\sqrt{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Since r is an A-stable rational function it follows that $r(\infty) := \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} r(z)$ exists. By Corollary 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) &\leq |r^n(\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}(r_0^n - r^n(\infty))(s)| ds \\ &= |r^n(\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}[(r_0^n - r^n(\infty)) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^\infty \phi_k](s)| ds \\ &\leq |r^n(\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}[\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))](s)| ds \\ &\leq |r^n(\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))']\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

where we use Corollary 2.3 for the last inequality. Since r is A-stable and rational, there exist polynomials p, q with $\deg(p) < \deg(q)$ such that $r(z) - r(\infty) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$.

Thus, by the binomial formula (and using C to denote a constant whose value may change from line to line),

$$|r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)| = |r(is) - r(\infty)| \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r^k(is) r^{n-k}(\infty) \right| \leq C \frac{n}{1+|s|}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The A-stability of r also implies that $|r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)| \leq 2$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$|r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)| \leq C \min \left(1, \frac{n}{1+|s|} \right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.3)$$

There are polynomials p_1, q_1 with $\deg(p_1) < \deg(q_1) - 1$ such that $r' = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$. Thus,

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} (r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)) \right| = |nr^{n-1}(is) r'(is)| \leq C \frac{n}{1+|s|^2}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi_k(s)(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty))|^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k+1}} \min \left(1, \frac{n^2}{(1+|s|)^2} \right) ds \leq C \min(2^k, n^2 2^{-k}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

if $k > 0$. Since $|r_0^n - r^n(\infty)| \leq 2$ it follows that $\|\phi_0 \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^2 \leq C$. Therefore, (3.5) holds for $k \geq 0$. Notice that from the definition of ϕ_j it follows that

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \phi_k(s) \right| = |2^{-k} \phi'(2^{-k}s)| \leq C 2^{-k} \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $k > 0$. By the product rule and the inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{ds} [\phi_k(s)(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty))] \right|^2 &\leq 2 \left(|2^{-k} \phi'(2^{-k}s)(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty))|^2 + \left| \phi_k(s) \frac{d}{ds} (r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)) \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))]\|_2^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d}{ds} [\phi_k(s)(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty))] \right|^2 ds \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k+1}} 2^{-2k} \min \left(1, \frac{n^2}{(1+|s|)^2} \right) ds + \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{n^2}{(1+|s|^2)^2} ds \right) \\ &\leq C \min(2^{-k}, n^2 2^{-3k}) + C n^2 2^{-3k} \leq C(2^{-k} + n^2 2^{-3k}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note, that the final estimate in (3.6) holds also for $k = 0$ by (3.3) and (3.4). Finally, from (3.5) and (3.6) it follows that

$$\|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))]\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \sqrt{n} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Hence, by (3.2), the final estimate of $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty)$ is

$$|r_0^n(\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))]\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K \sqrt{n}.$$

□

If r_0 satisfies additional conditions at ∞ and at 0, then the estimate (3.1) can be improved by an order up to $\frac{1}{2}$ (see [4]). For example, the inverse Laplace-Stieltjes transform α of $r(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ is monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = \alpha(0+) = 0$, $\alpha(\infty) = 1$, and hence $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \leq [V_\alpha(\infty)]^n = 1$. However, in general, (3.1) is sharp as will be shown in Theorem 3.4. Although crucial technical details are adopted from [8] and [3], our approach does not use Fourier multipliers and operator semigroups. A few preliminary lemmas are needed.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathcal{F}(g) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. If $f(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{ist} d\alpha(t)$ for some $\alpha \in NBV$, then $\|\mathcal{F}(gf)\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}(g)\|_1 V_\alpha(\infty)$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward using Fubini's theorem for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral [16, Theorem 15c, p. 25]. □

The next lemma is one of the basic tools when estimating oscillatory integrals (for the proof, see [5, Lemma 5.1, p. 24]).

Lemma 3.3 (Van der Corput). *If $\phi \in C^2[a, b]$ is real with $|\phi''| \geq \delta > 0$ on $[a, b]$, then $|\int_a^b e^{i\phi(s)} ds| \leq 8\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.*

The following result shows the sharpness of Theorem 3.1 when the A-stable rational function r satisfies $|r(is)| = |r_0(s)| = 1$.³

Theorem 3.4. *Let r be an A-stable rational function given by $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zt} d\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, with $|r(is)| = 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a constant $K > 0$ such that $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \geq K\sqrt{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Since $|r(is)| = 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ it follows that $r(is) = e^{i\psi(s)}$ for some $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Since r is rational, ψ can not be linear; i.e., $\psi'' \not\equiv 0$. Hence, there is $\delta > 0$ and a C^∞ -function g with compact support such that $|\psi''| \geq \delta > 0$ on $\operatorname{supp}(g)$. By Parseval's identity, Hölder's inequality, and $|r_0(s)| = |r(is)| = 1$ it follows that

$$\|g\|_2^2 = \|gr_0^n\|_2^2 = \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_1 \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_\infty. \quad (3.7)$$

To see that the last two norms in (3.7) are finite, first observe that Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}(g)\|_1 V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty). \quad (3.8)$$

Using Lemma 3.3, an upper estimate for $\|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_\infty$ can be obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_\infty &= \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(t) e^{in\psi(t) - isr} dt \right| \\ &= \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty g'(t) \int_{t_0}^t e^{in\psi(r) - isr} dr dt \right| \leq \|g'\|_1 8(\delta n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore, by (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9), it follows that

$$V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \geq \frac{\|g\|_2^2}{\|\mathcal{F}(g)\|_1} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} 8(\delta n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|g'\|_1} = K\sqrt{n}.$$

□

³For example, the function $r(z) = \frac{2+z}{2-z}$ satisfies this property.

3.2. L_∞ -bound. In this section it is shown that $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K \ln(n+1)$ (although there is numerical evidence that supports the conjecture that, in fact, $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K$). The L_∞ -estimate shows that the possible \sqrt{n} -growth of $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty)$ is generated by strengthening oscillations rather than from the growth in absolute value. The logarithmic growth bound is essential in Theorem 4.5 whose proof does not go through using a \sqrt{n} -growth bound of the L_∞ -norm (this fact is an immediate consequence of the \sqrt{n} -growth bound on the total variation).

Lemma 3.5. *If a rational function r is A -stable, then there are positive constants $\varepsilon, m, \omega, L, C$ such that $|r(z)| \leq e^{C|z|}$ for $|z| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|r(z)| \leq e^{L|z|^{-m}}$ for $|z| \geq \omega \geq 1$.*

For the proof we refer to [15, Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3].

Theorem 3.6. *If r is an A -stable rational function given by $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, then $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K \ln(n+1)$ for some $K > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $s > 0$ since α^{n*} is normalized with $\alpha^{n*}(0) = 0$. It is not difficult to see that the path of integration in the complex inversion formula (Proposition 2.4) can be replaced by the contour integral, oriented counter-clockwise,

$$\alpha^{n*}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R \cup \gamma(R) \cup \gamma(\frac{\varepsilon}{n})} \frac{r^n(z)}{z} e^{-zs} dz + 1 \quad (3.10)$$

where $\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \leq |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq R, \operatorname{Re} z = 0\}$, $\gamma(R) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R, \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$ and $\gamma(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \frac{\varepsilon}{n}, \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$. Here, R and ε are chosen so that the singularities of the integrand lie inside the path of integration except the one at $z = 0$. Note that the additional constant 1 comes from the residue of the integrand at $z = 0$. For the purpose of this proof, $\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R$ is defined by $R := \omega n^{\frac{1}{m}}$ where ω (large enough), ε (small enough), and m are as in Lemma 3.5. Then

$$\alpha^{n*}(s) - 1 = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(R)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(\frac{\varepsilon}{n})} \right) \frac{r^n(z)}{z} e^{-zs} dz := I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

By Lemma 3.5, $|I_1| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{\omega n^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{m+1}{m} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\omega^{\frac{m}{m+1}} n}{\varepsilon} \right)$, $|I_2| \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{L/\omega^m}$, and $|I_3| \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{C\varepsilon}$. Thus, $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K \ln(n+1)$ for some $K > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

4. Convergence of the Determining Functions Induced by the Convergence of Their Laplace-Stieltjes Transforms

If r is an A -stable rational function, then

$$r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha_n(s), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\alpha_n(s) := \alpha^{n*}\left(\frac{n}{t}s\right)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > 0$, and $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$. Note that in fact $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n,t}$ but the dependence on t will be suppressed in the notation for simplicity. If, in addition, r is a rational approximation of the exponential of order q (i.e., $r(z) = e^z + O(z^{q+1})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$), then, for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$,

$$\left| r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right) - e^{tz} \right| = \left| r\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right) - e^{\frac{t}{n}z} \right| \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right)^{n-1-k} e^{\frac{tk}{n}z} \right| \leq M t^{q+1} \frac{1}{n^q} |z^{q+1}|.$$

Since $r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) \rightarrow e^{tz} = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} dH_t(s)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$, $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$), one may expect that α_n converges to H_t in some sense as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 it will be shown, among others, that indeed α_n converges to H_t in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ with a rate proportional to $n^{-1/2p}(\ln(n+1))^{1-1/p}$. The proofs use a modified version of the complex inversion formula for the differences $\alpha_n - H_t$ and their k -th antiderivatives

$$I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) := \int_0^s \dots \int_0^{s_3} \int_0^{s_2} (\alpha_n - H_t)(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 \dots ds_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let r be an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $t > 0$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t] = \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}}\right], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, q$$

on $(0, \infty)$. For $k = 0$ the equality holds pointwise almost everywhere on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $k = 0$ and $t, s > 0$. By Proposition 2.4,

$$\alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-iR}^{c+iR} \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z} e^{zs} dz. \quad (4.3)$$

Since r is an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q it follows that $z \mapsto \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z}$ is analytic at 0 and in a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$. Moreover,

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{\pm R, c}} \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z} e^{zs} dz \right| \leq \frac{2c}{R} 2e^{ct} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\Gamma_{\pm R, c} = \{z : z = \pm iR + s, s \in [0, c]\}$. Therefore, by Cauchy's theorem, one can integrate along the imaginary axis in (4.3) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-iR}^{iR} \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z} e^{zs} dz \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v} e^{-ivs} dv = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} f_R(s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $f_R(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v} e^{-ivs} dv$. Since $v \mapsto \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\stackrel{(2)}{\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}} f_R = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} i} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)}\right] \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$$

(see, for example, [6, p. 209]). By (4.4), f_R converges also pointwise and hence the pointwise limit is a.e. the same as the L_2 -limit. Thus,

$$\alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} i} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)}\right](s).$$

This proves the claim for $k = 0$. Assume that the claim holds for $0 \leq k < q$. Define

$$f_R^{[k]}(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+1}} e^{-ivs} dv.$$

With the same weak convergence argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(k+1)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}}\right](\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} f_R^{[k]}(\tau)\right] d\tau = \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^s f_R^{[k]}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s f_R^{[k]}(\tau) d\tau &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \int_0^s \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+1}} e^{-iv\tau} d\tau dv \\ &= \frac{-1}{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+2}} (e^{-ivr} - 1) dv. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Next, it will be shown that $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+2}} dv = 0$. Since r is an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $k+2 \leq q+1$, it follows that $z \rightarrow \frac{r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}}{z^{k+2}} dz$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $\{z : \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0\}$. By Cauchy's theorem and $|r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}| \leq 2$ for $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0$,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-iR}^{iR} \frac{r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}}{z^{k+2}} dz = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}}{z^{k+2}} dz = 0,$$

where $\Gamma_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = Re^{is}, s \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]\}$. Thus, from (4.5) and (4.6) one obtains

$$I^{(k+1)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f_R^{[k+1]}(s).$$

Finally, since $v \rightarrow \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+2}} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ it follows that

$$I^{(k+1)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) = \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+2}}\right](s) \text{ for all } s > 0.$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *Let r be an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $t > 0$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, q$.*

Proof. First, let $k = 0$. Since $1 = r^n(0) = r^n(0-) = \alpha_n(\infty)$ it follows that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) = 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k > 0$, then $v \rightarrow \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+1}} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma and by Proposition 4.1, the claim follows. □

For the main convergence result of this section another technical lemma is needed. Its elementary proof uses change of variables and is omitted.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > 0$. If $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathcal{F}(f) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_1 = \|\mathcal{F}(f(b \cdot))\|_1 = \|\mathcal{F}(f(\cdot)e^{ia(\cdot)})\|_1. \quad (4.7)$$

Combining analytical tools from the proofs of [4, Theorems 3 and 4] with Proposition 4.1, the main L_1 -convergence result can now be proved. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\theta_q(k) := \begin{cases} k + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } k < \frac{q-1}{2} \\ (k+1)\frac{q}{q+1} & \text{if } \frac{q-1}{2} \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let r be an A -stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q , $t > 0$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots, q$. Then there is $K > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \begin{cases} K t^{k+1-\theta_q(k)} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\theta_q(k)} & \text{if } k \neq \frac{q-1}{2} \\ K t^{k+1-\theta_q(k)} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\theta_q(k)} \ln(n+1) & \text{if } k = \frac{q-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 4.3 with $a = t$ and $b = n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}t$ with Proposition 4.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} \right] \right\|_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left[e^{ti(\cdot)} \frac{\left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} ti(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} ti(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} t(\cdot))^{k+1}} (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1} \right] \right\|_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left[\frac{\left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} i(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} i(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} \right] \right\|_1 (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F}[g_k] \right\|_1 (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $g_k(s) := [(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is))^n - 1] / s^{k+1}$. Using the partition of unity as in the estimate (3.2) and employing Corollary 2.3, one obtains

$$\|\mathcal{F}[g_k]\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_j g_k\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\phi_j g_k]'\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Define $h(s) := e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is)$. Then $|h(s)| \leq 1$ and

$$|h(s)^n - 1| \leq 2 \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, $e^{-z}r(z) - 1 = O(z^{q+1})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ since $r(z) = e^z + O(z^{q+1})$. Thus,

$$h(s) - 1 = e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is) - 1 = O\left((n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s)^{q+1}\right) \text{ as } n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s \rightarrow 0.$$

By the binomial formula,

$$|h(s)^n - 1| = \left| h(s) - 1 \right| \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| \leq C |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|^{q+1} n = C |s|^{q+1} \quad (4.11)$$

for $|n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|$ sufficiently small. Therefore, by (4.10) and (4.11), one obtains for $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|h(s)^n - 1| \leq C \min(|s|^{q+1}, 1), \text{ and} \quad (4.12)$$

$$|g_k(s)| = \left| \frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{k+1}} \right| \leq C \min(|s|^{q-k}, \frac{1}{|s|^{k+1}}). \quad (4.13)$$

To handle the derivatives in (4.9), observe that $h'(s) = n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} [e^{i(\cdot)} r(i \cdot)]'(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s)$. Since $r'(z) = e^z + O(z^q)$ it follows that

$$(e^{-z} r(z))' = r'(z) e^{-z} - r(z) e^{-z} = 1 + O(z^q) - (1 + O(z^{q+1})) = O(z^q) \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Thus,

$$|h'(s)| = n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} |[e^{i(\cdot)} r(i \cdot)]'(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s)| \leq C n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|^q = C \frac{1}{n} |s|^q$$

for $|n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|$ sufficiently small. For $\epsilon \leq |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|$ the inequality holds since $[r(is)]'$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$) is bounded (see (3.4)) and hence $[e^{i(\cdot)} r(i \cdot)]'(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is) \leq C \epsilon^q \leq C |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|^q$. (Remember that C is a universal constant that can change from line to line). Thus,

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} [h(s)^n - 1] \right| = |n h(s)^{n-1} h'(s)| \leq C |s|^q, \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.15)$$

By (4.12), (4.15), and the product rule it follows that

$$|g'_k(s)| = \left| \frac{d}{ds} \frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{k+1}} \right| \leq C(|s|^{q-k-1} + \min\{|s|^{q-k-1}, \frac{1}{|s|^{k+2}}\}) \leq C |s|^{q-k-1}. \quad (4.16)$$

These estimates will be useful if $0 \leq k \leq q-1$. The case $k = q$ requires an additional estimate. Since $w \mapsto \frac{e^{-iw} r(iw) - 1}{w^{q+1}}$ is analytic at the origin and infinitely often differentiable on $i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, it and its derivative are bounded on compact intervals containing the origin. Let $|s| \leq 1$ and $w := n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s$. Then $|h'(s)| \leq C \frac{1}{n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{q+1}} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s) - 1}{s^{q+1}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| + \left| \frac{h(s) - 1}{s^{q+1}} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right] \right| \\ &= \left| n^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s) - 1}{(sn^{-\frac{1}{q+1}})^{q+1}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| + \left| n^{-1} \frac{h(s) - 1}{(sn^{-\frac{1}{q+1}})^{q+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j h(s)^{j-1} h'(s) \right| \\ &= \left| n^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{e^{-iw} r(iw) - 1}{w^{q+1}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| + \left| n^{-1} \frac{e^{-iw} r(iw) - 1}{w^{q+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j h(s)^{j-1} h'(s) \right| \\ &\leq n^{-1} C n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n + C n^{-1} \frac{(n-1)n}{2} n^{-1} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|g'_q(s)| = \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{q+1}} \right) \right| \leq C \min(1, \frac{1}{|s|}). \quad (4.17)$$

The estimate (4.16) shows that the use of a partition of unity is necessary if $k \leq q-1$ since the function that bounds the derivative is not in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Since the estimates in (4.13), (4.16), and (4.17) are independent of n it follows that

$$\|\phi_0 g_k\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \text{ and } \|[\phi_0 g_k]'\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C.$$

Let $j \geq 1$. Since $\text{supp}(\phi_j) \subset (-2^{j+1}, -2^{j-1}) \cup (2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1})$, by (4.13) there exist constants C (depending on k but not on j) such that

$$\|\phi_j g_k\|_2^2 \leq C \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+1)}} ds \leq C 2^{-2j(k+\frac{1}{2})}. \quad (4.18)$$

From the definition of ϕ_j it follows that $|\frac{d}{ds}\phi_j(s)| = |2^{-j}\phi'(2^{-j}s)| \leq C 2^{-j}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by (4.13), (4.16), and the product rule,

$$\|[\phi_j g_k]'\|_2^2 \leq C \frac{1}{2^{2j}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2k+2}} ds + C \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} s^{2(q-k-1)} ds \quad (4.19)$$

$$\leq C 2^{-2j(k+\frac{3}{2})} + C 2^j 2^{2j(q-k-1)}. \quad (4.20)$$

Combining (4.18) and (4.19) yields

$$\|\phi_j g_k\|_2^2 \cdot \|[\phi_j g_k]'\|_2^2 \leq C 2^{-4j(k+1)} + C 2^{4j(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)} \leq C 2^{4j(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)}. \quad (4.21)$$

Therefore, if $k > \frac{q-1}{2}$, then we see from (4.9) and (4.21) that

$$\|\mathcal{F}[g_k]\|_1 \leq C,$$

which finishes the proof for this case in view of (4.8). If $k \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$, then we cannot sum the terms in (4.21) and we need different estimates. In the following we misuse notation by identifying $f(s)$ with the function f . If $j > 0$, then $0 \notin \text{supp}(\phi_j)$. Thus

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j(s) \frac{h(s)^n}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 + \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1. \quad (4.22)$$

Recall that $r^n(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zu} d\alpha^{n*}(u)$ with $\alpha \in NBV$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} h(s)^n &= e^{n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}is} r^n(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}is) = \int_0^\infty e^{isu} dH_{n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}}(u) \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{isu} d\alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}u) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{isu} d[H_{n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}}(\cdot) * \alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))](u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j(s) \frac{h(s)^n}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 &\leq \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 V_{H_{n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}} * \alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))}(\infty) \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 V_{H_{n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}}}(\infty) V_{\alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))}(\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Since $V_{H_{n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}}}(\infty) = 1$ and since $V_\alpha(\infty)$ is independent of positive scaling, Theorem 3.1 yields that $V_{\alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))}(\infty) = V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \leq C\sqrt{n}$. Thus, by (4.22),

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 (C\sqrt{n} + 1) \leq C \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 \sqrt{n}. \quad (4.24)$$

From Corollary 2.3 it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 \leq 2 \|\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}\|_2 \|[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]'\|_2. \quad (4.25)$$

Since $\left\| \frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}} \right\|_2^2 \leq 2 \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+1)}} ds \leq C 2^{-2j(k+\frac{1}{2})}$ and

$$\left\| \left[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}} \right]' \right\|_2^2 \leq C 2^{-2j} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+1)}} ds + C \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+2)}} ds \leq C 2^{-2j(k+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

it follows that

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k] \right\|_1 \leq C 2^{-j(k+1)} \sqrt{n}. \quad (4.26)$$

If n is large enough then choose $j_0 > 0$ such that $2^{j_0} \leq n^{\frac{1}{q+1}} < 2^{j_0+1}$. Then, using (4.21) for $0 \leq j \leq j_0$ and (4.26) for $j_0 < j$ for $k < \frac{q-1}{2}$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| \mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k] \right\|_1 &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{j(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)} + C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(k+1)} \\ &\leq C \left(2^{j_0(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)} + n^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-(j_0+1)(k+1)} \right) \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k+1}{q+1}} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement for $k < \frac{q-1}{2}$ in view of (4.8) and (4.9). If $k = \frac{q-1}{2}$ (or, equivalently, $\frac{k+1}{q+1} = \frac{1}{2}$), then similarly to the above one chooses j_0 with $2^{j_0} \leq n^{\frac{1}{q+1}} < 2^{j_0+1}$. This implies that $j_0 \leq C \ln n$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| \mathcal{F}[\phi_j(g_k)] \right\|_1 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} C + C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(k+1)} \\ &\leq C j_0 + C n^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-(j_0+1)(k+1)} \leq C \ln n + C \leq C \ln(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.8) and (4.9), this completes the proof of the statement. \square

If r_0 satisfies additional conditions at ∞ and 0 , then the estimate in Theorem 4.4 can be improved by an order up to $\frac{1}{2}$ for $k < \frac{q-1}{2}$. To do so one uses an improved estimate on $V_{\alpha_{n*}}(\infty)$. With the additional conditions, this estimate can be sharpened by an order up to $\frac{1}{2}$ as was already noted after Theorem 3.1. See [3] for details. Also note that the proof of the optimal convergence order of $I^{(q)}(\alpha_n - H_t)$ is relatively simple as it neither uses the partition of unity nor the estimate on $V_{\alpha_{n*}}(\infty)$.

Using the L_1 -estimates and the L_∞ -bounds yields the following L_p -convergence results for $\alpha_n - H_t$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let r be an A -stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $t > 0$. Then⁴, for $1 < q < \infty$, there is $K > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \alpha_n - H_t \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq K t^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{-\frac{1}{2p}} (\ln(n+1))^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.27)$$

If $k = 1, \dots, q$, $k \neq \frac{q-1}{2}$, then⁵ there is a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\left\| I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t] \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq K t^{\frac{k+1}{p}} n^{-\frac{\theta_q(k)}{p}} (t n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{(1-\frac{1}{p})k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.28)$$

⁴If $q = 1$, then a factor of $(\ln(n+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ has to be added to the estimate; see Theorem 4.4.

⁵If $k = \frac{q-1}{2}$, then a factor of $(\ln(n+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ has to be added in the estimate; see Theorem 4.4.

Proof. If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq (\|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)})^{\frac{1}{p}} (\|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)})^{1-\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (4.29)$$

Thus, (4.27) follows immediately from Theorem 3.6, Theorem 4.4 and (4.29). To show (4.28) it suffices to demonstrate that for $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$,

$$\|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq K(tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

in view of Theorem 4.4 and (4.29). By Proposition 4.1, (4.13) and a change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= \left\| \frac{e^{ti(\cdot)} \left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} ti(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} ti(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} t(\cdot))^{k+1}} (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1} \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &= \left\| \frac{\left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} i(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} i(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C(tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min(|s|^{q-k}, \frac{1}{|s|^{k+1}}) ds \leq C(tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, q, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] W. Arendt, Ch. Batty, M. Hieber and F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Monographs in Mathematics Vol. 96, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2001.
- [2] H. E. Bray, *Elementary properties of the Stieltjes integral*, Ann. Math. **20** (1919), 177–186.
- [3] P. Brenner and V. Thomée, *Stability and convergence rates in L_p for certain difference schemes*, Math. Scand. **27** (1970), 5–23.
- [4] P. Brenner and V. Thomée, *On rational approximation of semigroups*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **16** (1979), 683–694.
- [5] P. Brenner, V. Thomée and L. B. Wahlbin, *Besov Spaces and Applications to Difference Methods for Initial Value Problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **434**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [6] P. L. Butzer and R. J. Nessel, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Academic Press, 1971.
- [7] F. Carlson, *Une Inégalité*, Ark. Mat. **25B** (1935), 1–5.
- [8] M. Crouzeix, S. Larsson, S. Piskarev and V. Thomée, *The stability of rational approximations of analytic semigroups*, BIT **33** (1993), 74–84.
- [9] R. Hersh and T. Kato, *High-accuracy stable difference schemes for well posed initial-value problems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **16** (1979), 671–682.

- [10] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Colloquium Publications **31**, American Mathematical Society, 1957.
- [11] P. Jara, Dissertation, Louisiana State University, 2007 (in preparation).
- [12] M. Kovács, *On qualitative properties and convergence of time-discretization methods for semigroups*, Dissertation, Louisiana State University, 2004. For an electronic version, see <http://etd.lsu.edu/docs/available/etd-07082004-143318/>
- [13] M. Kovács, *On positivity, shape, and norm-bound preservation of time-stepping methods for semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **304** (2005), 115–136.
- [14] M. Kovács, *On the convergence of rational approximations of semigroups on intermediate spaces*, Math. Comp. **76** (2007), 273–286.
- [15] V. Thomée, *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Springer Berlin, 1997.
- [16] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, 1946.

Mihály Kovács
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Otago
Dunedin
New Zealand
and
Department of Mathematics
Chalmers/Göteborg University
Göteborg
Sweden
mkovacs@maths.otago.ac.nz

Frank Neubrander
Department of Mathematics
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge
USA
neubrand@math.lsu.edu