

ON THE INVERSE LAPLACE-STIELTJES TRANSFORM OF A-STABLE RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

MIHÁLY KOVÁCS AND FRANK NEUBRANDER

(Received December 2006)

Abstract. Let r be an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential function of order $q \geq 1$ and let $t > 0$. It is shown that the inverse Laplace-Stieltjes transforms $\alpha_n : s \rightarrow \alpha^{n*}(\frac{ns}{t})$ of $r_n(z) := r^n(\frac{tz}{n})$ converge in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to the Heaviside function H_t with a rate of $t^{1/p}n^{-1/2p}(\ln(n+1))^{1-1/p}$. Moreover, for $0 \leq k \leq q$, the k -th antiderivatives of α_n converge in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to the k -th antiderivative of the Heaviside function with a speed that increases with k . In particular, the q -th antiderivatives of α_n converge in $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to the q -th antiderivative of the Heaviside function H_t with the optimal rate of $t(\frac{t}{n})^q$. In addition to the L^p -estimates, bounds on the total variation and supremum norms of α_n are given. Via the Hille-Phillips functional calculus for operator semigroups, the results have immediate applications to the error analysis of rational time discretization methods for evolution equations.

1. Introduction

Let $r_n(z) := \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha_n(s)$ converge pointwise to $v(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ ($z < 0$), where α, α_n are functions of bounded total variation. Does this imply the convergence of α_n to α and, if yes, in what sense? Moreover, if it is known how fast r_n converges to v , what can be said about the speed of convergence of α_n to α in various norms? Motivated by applications to time discretization methods, of particular interest are cases where $r_n(z) := r^n(\frac{tz}{n}) \rightarrow e^{tz}$ for some rational function r with

- (a) $r(z) = e^z + O(z^{q+1})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- (b) $|r(z)| \leq 1$ for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$.

Such functions r are called A-stable rational approximations of the exponential of order q . Each such r is the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of a function α with finite total variation. Moreover,

$$r^n\left(\frac{tz}{n}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha_{n,t}(s) \rightarrow e^{tz} = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} dH_t(s)$$

($z < 0, t > 0, n \rightarrow \infty$), where $\alpha_n := \alpha_{n,t}$ is the n -th Stieltjes convolution power $s \rightarrow \alpha^{n*}(\frac{ns}{t})$ and H_t is the Heaviside function with jump at t . By translating technical arguments of [4] and [8] into a Laplace-Stieltjes transform setting, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.4 it is shown that the total variation of $\alpha_{n,t}$ may grow at most like \sqrt{n} . Hence, in general, the functions $\alpha_{n,t}$ will not converge towards H_t with respect to

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 44A10. Secondary: 26C15.

M. Kovács was partially supported by postdoctoral grant No. 623-2005-5078 of the Swedish Research Council and research grant (CZN-14/2005) of the Science and Technology Foundation. Frank Neubrander gratefully acknowledges the support received through a 2004 William Evans Fellowship from the University of Otago.

the total variation norm. In Theorem 3.6 it is established that the L_∞ -norm of $\alpha_{n,t}$ cannot increase faster than $\ln(n+1)$. With this result one obtains convergence in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ once the convergence in $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is established. In Section 4, based on the complex inversion formula for the Laplace-Stieltjes transform and analytical techniques developed by P. Brenner and V. Thomée in [4], the convergence of $\alpha_{n,t}$ and its k -th antiderivatives ($0 \leq k \leq q$) in $L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is established together with convergence rate estimates that improve with increased k . In Theorem 4.5, combining the L_1 -result with the logarithmic L_∞ -growth bound, L_p -error estimates are given for $\alpha_{n,t} - H_t$ and its k -th antiderivatives $I^{(k)}(\alpha_{n,t} - H_t)$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Using the Hille–Phillips functional calculus, these estimates yield convergence estimates for rational approximation schemes for strongly continuous semigroups¹(see [4], [9], [12], [14]). Let X be a Banach space and let $A : X \supset \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$ generate a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators $T(\cdot)$ bounded by $M \geq 1$ (for details, see [1]). For A -stable rational approximations r of the exponential of order q the operators

$$r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}A\right)x = \int_0^\infty T(s)x \, d\alpha_{n,t}(s)$$

are well defined (where $\alpha_{n,t}$ is as above; for details see, for example, [10, Chapter XV] and [13]). It is immediate from the definition that for any $\tau \geq 0$ we have $\|f(\tau A)\| \leq MV_\alpha(\infty)$ which gives the estimate $\|r^n(\tau A)\| \leq K\sqrt{n}$ by Theorem 3.1. For sufficiently smooth initial data one can integrate by parts k -times ($k = 1, 2, \dots, q+1$) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}A\right)x - T(t)x \\ = \int_0^\infty T(s)x \, d[\alpha_{n,t}(s) - H_t(s)] = (-1)^k \int_0^\infty I^{(k-1)}(\alpha_{n,t} - H_t)(s) \frac{d^k T(s)x}{ds^k} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, L_p -estimates of $I^{(k-1)}(\alpha_{n,t} - H_t)$ result in error estimates for $r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}A\right)x - T(t)x$ for those x with appropriately regular orbits $s \mapsto T(s)x$ (for details, see [14]).

2. Preliminaries and Basic Inequalities

A bounded variation function $\alpha : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is in NBV_R if it is *normalized*; i.e., $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(u) = \frac{\alpha(u+) + \alpha(u-)}{2}$ ($u \in (0, R)$). The space $NBV_{loc} := \bigcap_{R>0} NBV_R$ is an algebra with multiplication defined by the *Stieltjes convolution* ($\alpha * \beta$)(t) = $\int_0^t \alpha(t-u) \, d\beta(u) = \int_0^t \beta(t-u) \, d\alpha(u)$ ($t \notin P_{\alpha+\beta}$), where $P_{\alpha+\beta} := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t = t_\alpha + t_\beta, t_\alpha \in P_\alpha, t_\beta \in P_\beta\}$, and where P_α (and similarly P_β) denotes the countable set of discontinuity points of α . If P_α or P_β is empty, then $P_{\alpha+\beta}$ is defined to be the empty set. If $\alpha, \beta \in NBV_R$, then $\gamma := \alpha * \beta$ exists on $[0, R] \setminus P_{\alpha+\beta}$ and γ may be defined on $P_{\alpha+\beta}$ so that it becomes normalized (see [16, Thms 11.1 and 11.2a]). Let $V_\alpha(\infty)$ denote the total variation of $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ on $[0, \infty)$. Then $NBV := \{\alpha \in NBV_{loc} : V_\alpha(\infty) < +\infty\}$ is a Banach algebra with norm $\|\alpha\| := V_\alpha(\infty)$. Let $\mathcal{G} := \{f_\alpha : f_\alpha(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zt} \, d\alpha(t) \text{ if } \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0, \alpha \in NBV\}$. Next, we show that A -stable rational functions belong to \mathcal{G} (see, also, [10, p. 441]).

Proposition 2.1. *If a rational function r is bounded for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, then $r \in \mathcal{G}$.*

¹For convergence estimates for distribution or C -regularized semigroups, see [11]

Proof. Clearly, constant functions and the functions $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{a-z}$ belong to the algebra \mathcal{G} for $\operatorname{Re} a > 0$. Developing r into partial fractions, we see that $r \in \mathcal{G}$. \square

The proof of the following inequality is a straightforward modification of the proof of [9, Lemma 5] and is provided for convenience².

Proposition 2.2 (Carlson's Inequality). *Assume that $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $s \mapsto sf(s) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)| ds \leq 2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \neq 0$ and note that $\|f\|_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{-c^2} |s|^{-1} |sf(s)| ds + \int_{-c^2}^0 1 \cdot |f(s)| ds + \int_0^{c^2} 1 \cdot |f(s)| ds + \int_{c^2}^{\infty} s^{-1} |sf(s)| ds$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_1 &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-c^2} |s|^{-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-c^2} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c \left(\int_{-c^2}^0 |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c \left(\int_0^{c^2} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{c^2}^{\infty} s^{-2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{c^2}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The choice $c := \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(s)|^2 ds \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |sf(s)|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ yields the desired result. \square

It is noted that the above inequality remains true if we replace the constant 2 by $\sqrt{\pi}$ as shown by Carlson in [7] with equality for $f(s) = \frac{1}{1+s^2}$.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that $f, f' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_1 \leq 2\|f\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. Parseval's identity yields $\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_2 = \|f\|_2$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s\mathcal{F}(f)(s)|^2 ds = \|f'\|_2^2$. Now the result follows immediately from Proposition 2.2. \square

Throughout the paper the following inversion formula for the Laplace-Stieltjes transform will be useful (see, for example, [16, Chapter II, Thm 7a]).

Proposition 2.4 (Complex Inversion Formula). *Let $f(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ for $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ and $\operatorname{Re} z < \sigma$. Then, for $c > \max(-\sigma, 0)$,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-iR}^{c+iR} \frac{f(-z)}{z} e^{zs} dz = \begin{cases} \alpha(s) & \text{if } s > 0 \\ \frac{\alpha(0+)}{2} & \text{if } s = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

A consequence of the Complex Inversion Theorem is a crucial estimate of $V_{\alpha}(\infty)$ using information of the behavior of its Laplace-Stieltjes transform on the imaginary axis. A related statement with a different proof can be found in [4, Lemma 2].

²For a more general version, see [1, Lemma 8.2.1]

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$, $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, where $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ with $\alpha(0+) = 0$ and define $f_0(s) := f(is)$. Assume that f has an analytic extension to a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$. If $f_0, f'_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then α is absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ and*

$$V_\alpha(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. The integral in (2.1) can be replaced by $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} \frac{f(-z)}{z} e^{zs} dz$, where $c > \varepsilon > 0$, $\gamma_\varepsilon(u) = \varepsilon e^{iu}$, $u \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\Gamma_\varepsilon^R(u) = iu$, $u \in [-R, -\varepsilon] \cup [\varepsilon, R]$. This follows from Cauchy's theorem and the fact that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{\pm R, c}} \frac{f(-z)}{z} e^{zs} dz \right| \leq \frac{c}{R} e^{cs} \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0} |f(-z)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\Gamma_{\pm R, c}(u) = \pm iR + u$, $u \in [0, c]$. Fix $s_0 \geq 0$. Since $\alpha(0+) = 0$ and $z \mapsto f(-z)e^{zs}$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} \frac{f(-z)}{z} (e^{zs_0} - 1) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} \int_0^{s_0} f(-z) e^{zs} ds dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{s_0} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^R \cup \gamma_\varepsilon} f(-z) e^{zs} dz ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{s_0} \int_{-iR}^{iR} f(-z) e^{zs} dz ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{s_0} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-iR}^{iR} f(-z) e^{zs} dz ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{s_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R f_0(v) e^{-ivs} dv ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim^{(2)}$ denotes the limit in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. To see that we can interchange the limit and the integral above, let $f_R(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R f_0(v) e^{-ivs} dv$. Since $f_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ it follows that $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} f_R := \mathcal{F}(f_0)$ exists and defines a uniquely determined function in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see, for example, [6, p.210]). Therefore, $f_R \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(f_0)$ weakly as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\chi_{[0, s_0]}$ denote the characteristic function of $[0, s_0]$. Then,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{s_0} f_R(s) ds = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_R, \chi_{[0, s_0]} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}(f_0), \chi_{[0, s_0]} \rangle = \int_0^{s_0} \mathcal{F}(f_0)(s) ds.$$

This proves that we can interchange the limit and the integral above, that α is absolutely continuous since

$$\alpha(s_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{s_0} \mathcal{F}(f_0)(s) ds, \quad (2.3)$$

and that $V_\alpha(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1$. Since $f_0, f'_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that $\mathcal{F}(f_0) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1 \leq 2\|f_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore,

$$V_\alpha(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0)\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *Let $f(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$ and $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$. If f extends analytically to a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$ and $f_0 - f(-\infty), f'_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\alpha \in NBV$. In particular, if $f(-\infty) := \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha(\infty) &= |f(-\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}(f_0 - f(-\infty))(s)| ds \\ &\leq |f(-\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0 - f(-\infty)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $f(-\infty) = \alpha(0+)$ exists for $\alpha \in NBV_{loc}$ (see [16, Cor. 1c]), define

$$f(z) - f(-\infty) := \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d[\alpha(s) - f(-\infty)H_0(s)].$$

Then $f - f(-\infty)$ and $\alpha - f(-\infty)H_0$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.5 and $V_\alpha(\infty) = V_{f(-\infty)H_0}(\infty) + V_{\alpha - f(-\infty)H_0}(\infty) = |f(-\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}(f_0 - f(-\infty))\|_1 \leq |f(-\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|f_0 - f(-\infty)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|f'_0\|_{\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

3. Bounds on the Convolution Powers of the Determining Function

3.1. NBV-bounds. By Proposition 2.1, an A-stable rational function r can be represented by $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$ ($\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$) for some $\alpha \in NBV$. In this section, the total variation of the convolution powers α^{n*} will be estimated.

Employing techniques due to P. Brenner and V. Thomée ([4] [5, Ch. 2]), the following partition of unity is needed. Let $0 \leq \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset (-2, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \phi(2^{-j}s) = 1$ for $|s| > 2$. Define $\phi_j(s) := \phi(2^{-j}s)$ for $j > 0$ and $\phi_0 = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^\infty \phi_j$. Note that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_j) \subset (-2^{j+1}, -2^{j-1}) \cup (2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1})$ for $j > 0$. The proof of the next theorem follows [4, Theorem 1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, be an A-stable rational function. Then there is a constant $K > 0$ such that*

$$V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \leq K\sqrt{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Since r is an A-stable rational function it follows that $r(\infty) := \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} r(z)$ exists. By Corollary 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) &\leq |r^n(\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}(r_0^n - r^n(\infty))(s)| ds \\ &= |r^n(\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}[(r_0^n - r^n(\infty)) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^\infty \phi_k](s)| ds \\ &\leq |r^n(\infty)| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}[\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))](s)| ds \\ &\leq |r^n(\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))]\|'_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

where we use Corollary 2.3 for the last inequality. Since r is A-stable and rational, there exist polynomials p, q with $\deg(p) < \deg(q)$ such that $r(z) - r(\infty) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$.

Thus, by the binomial formula (and using C to denote a constant whose value may change from line to line),

$$|r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)| = |r(is) - r(\infty)| \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r^k(is) r^{n-k}(\infty) \right| \leq C \frac{n}{1+|s|}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The A-stability of r also implies that $|r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)| \leq 2$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$|r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)| \leq C \min\left(1, \frac{n}{1+|s|}\right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.3)$$

There are polynomials p_1, q_1 with $\deg(p_1) < \deg(q_1) - 1$ such that $r' = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$. Thus,

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds}(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)) \right| = |nr^{n-1}(is)r'(is)| \leq C \frac{n}{1+|s|^2}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi_k(s)(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty))|^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k+1}} \min\left(1, \frac{n^2}{(1+|s|)^2}\right) ds \leq C \min(2^k, n^2 2^{-k}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

if $k > 0$. Since $|r_0^n - r^n(\infty)| \leq 2$ it follows that $\|\phi_0 \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^2 \leq C$. Therefore, (3.5) holds for $k \geq 0$. Notice that from the definition of ϕ_j it follows that

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \phi_k(s) \right| = |2^{-k} \phi'(2^{-k}s)| \leq C 2^{-k} \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $k > 0$. By the product rule and the inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{d}{ds} [\phi_k(s)(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty))] \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(|2^{-k} \phi'(2^{-k}s)(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty))|^2 + \left| \phi_k(s) \frac{d}{ds}(r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)) \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d}{ds} [\phi_k(s)r^n(is) - r^n(\infty)] \right|^2 ds \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k+1}} 2^{-2k} \min\left(1, \frac{n^2}{(1+|s|)^2}\right) ds + \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{n^2}{(1+|s|^2)^2} ds \right) \\ &\leq C \min(2^{-k}, n^2 2^{-3k}) + C n^2 2^{-3k} \leq C(2^{-k} + n^2 2^{-3k}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note, that the final estimate in (3.6) holds also for $k = 0$ by (3.3) and (3.4). Finally, from (3.5) and (3.6) it follows that

$$\|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \sqrt{n} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Hence, by (3.2), the final estimate of $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty)$ is

$$|r_0^n(\infty)| + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_k \cdot (r_0^n - r^n(\infty))\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K \sqrt{n}.$$

□

If r_0 satisfies additional conditions at ∞ and at 0, then the estimate (3.1) can be improved by an order up to $\frac{1}{2}$ (see [4]). For example, the inverse Laplace-Stieltjes transform α of $r(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ is monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ with $\alpha(0) = \alpha(0+) = 0$, $\alpha(\infty) = 1$, and hence $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \leq [V_\alpha(\infty)]^n = 1$. However, in general, (3.1) is sharp as will be shown in Theorem 3.4. Although crucial technical details are adopted from [8] and [3], our approach does not use Fourier multipliers and operator semigroups. A few preliminary lemmas are needed.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathcal{F}(g) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. If $f(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{ist} d\alpha(t)$ for some $\alpha \in NBV$, then $\|\mathcal{F}(gf)\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}(g)\|_1 V_\alpha(\infty)$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward using Fubini's theorem for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral [16, Theorem 15c, p. 25]. □

The next lemma is one of the basic tools when estimating oscillatory integrals (for the proof, see [5, Lemma 5.1, p. 24]).

Lemma 3.3 (Van der Corput). *If $\phi \in C^2[a, b]$ is real with $|\phi''| \geq \delta > 0$ on $[a, b]$, then $|\int_a^b e^{i\phi(s)} ds| \leq 8\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.*

The following result shows the sharpness of Theorem 3.1 when the A-stable rational function r satisfies $|r(is)| = |r_0(s)| = 1$.³

Theorem 3.4. *Let r be an A-stable rational function given by $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zt} d\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, $Re z \leq 0$, with $|r(is)| = 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a constant $K > 0$ such that $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \geq K\sqrt{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Since $|r(is)| = 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ it follows that $r(is) = e^{i\psi(s)}$ for some $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Since r is rational, ψ can not be linear; i.e., $\psi'' \not\equiv 0$. Hence, there is $\delta > 0$ and a C^∞ -function g with compact support such that $|\psi''| \geq \delta > 0$ on $\text{supp}(g)$. By Parseval's identity, Hölder's inequality, and $|r_0(s)| = |r(is)| = 1$ it follows that

$$\|g\|_2^2 = \|gr_0^n\|_2^2 = \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_1 \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_\infty. \quad (3.7)$$

To see that the last two norms in (3.7) are finite, first observe that Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}(g)\|_1 V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty). \quad (3.8)$$

Using Lemma 3.3, an upper estimate for $\|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_\infty$ can be obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}(gr_0^n)\|_\infty &= \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(t) e^{in\psi(t) - ist} dt \right| \\ &= \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty g'(t) \int_{t_0}^t e^{in\psi(r) - isr} dr dt \right| \leq \|g'\|_1 8(\delta n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore, by (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9), it follows that

$$V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \geq \frac{\|g\|_2^2}{\|\mathcal{F}(g)\|_1} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} 8(\delta n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|g'\|_1} = K\sqrt{n}.$$

□

³For example, the function $r(z) = \frac{2+z}{2-z}$ satisfies this property.

3.2. L_∞ -bound. In this section it is shown that $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K \ln(n+1)$ (although there is numerical evidence that supports the conjecture that, in fact, $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K$). The L_∞ -estimate shows that the possible \sqrt{n} -growth of $V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty)$ is generated by strengthening oscillations rather than from the growth in absolute value. The logarithmic growth bound is essential in Theorem 4.5 whose proof does not go through using a \sqrt{n} -growth bound of the L_∞ -norm (this fact is an immediate consequence of the \sqrt{n} -growth bound on the total variation).

Lemma 3.5. *If a rational function r is A -stable, then there are positive constants $\varepsilon, m, \omega, L, C$ such that $|r(z)| \leq e^{C|z|}$ for $|z| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|r(z)| \leq e^{L|z|^{-m}}$ for $|z| \geq \omega \geq 1$.*

For the proof we refer to [15, Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3].

Theorem 3.6. *If r is an A -stable rational function given by $r(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha(s)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$, then $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K \ln(n+1)$ for some $K > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $s > 0$ since α^{n*} is normalized with $\alpha^{n*}(0) = 0$. It is not difficult to see that the path of integration in the complex inversion formula (Proposition 2.4) can be replaced by the contour integral, oriented counter-clockwise,

$$\alpha^{n*}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R \cup \gamma(R) \cup \gamma(\frac{\varepsilon}{n})} \frac{r^n(z)}{z} e^{-zs} dz + 1 \quad (3.10)$$

where $\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \leq |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq R, \operatorname{Re} z = 0\}$, $\gamma(R) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R, \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$ and $\gamma(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \frac{\varepsilon}{n}, \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$. Here, R and ε are chosen so that the singularities of the integrand lie inside the path of integration except the one at $z = 0$. Note that the additional constant 1 comes from the residue of the integrand at $z = 0$. For the purpose of this proof, $\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R$ is defined by $R := \omega n^{\frac{1}{m}}$ where ω (large enough), ε (small enough), and m are as in Lemma 3.5. Then

$$\alpha^{n*}(s) - 1 = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\frac{\varepsilon}{n}}^R} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(R)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma(\frac{\varepsilon}{n})} \right) \frac{r^n(z)}{z} e^{-zs} dz := I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

By Lemma 3.5, $|I_1| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{\omega n^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{m+1}{m} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\omega^{\frac{m+1}{\varepsilon}} n}{\varepsilon} \right)$, $|I_2| \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{L/\omega^m}$, and $|I_3| \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{C\varepsilon}$. Thus, $\|\alpha^{n*}\|_\infty \leq K \ln(n+1)$ for some $K > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

4. Convergence of the Determining Functions Induced by the Convergence of Their Laplace-Stieltjes Transforms

If r is an A -stable rational function, then

$$r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} d\alpha_n(s), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\alpha_n(s) := \alpha^{n*}\left(\frac{n}{t}s\right)$, $\alpha \in NBV$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > 0$, and $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$. Note that in fact $\alpha_n = \alpha_{n,t}$ but the dependence on t will be suppressed in the notation for simplicity. If, in addition, r is a rational approximation of the exponential of order q (i.e., $r(z) = e^z + O(z^{q+1})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$), then, for $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$,

$$\left| r^n\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right) - e^{tz} \right| = \left| r\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right) - e^{\frac{t}{n}z} \right| \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r\left(\frac{t}{n}z\right)^{n-1-k} e^{\frac{tk}{n}z} \right| \leq M t^{q+1} \frac{1}{n^q} |z^{q+1}|.$$

Since $r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) \rightarrow e^{tz} = \int_0^\infty e^{zs} dH_t(s)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$, $\operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$), one may expect that α_n converges to H_t in some sense as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 it will be shown, among others, that indeed α_n converges to H_t in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ with a rate proportional to $n^{-1/2p}(\ln(n+1))^{1-1/p}$. The proofs use a modified version of the complex inversion formula for the differences $\alpha_n - H_t$ and their k -th antiderivatives

$$I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) := \int_0^s \dots \int_0^{s_3} \int_0^{s_2} (\alpha_n - H_t)(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 \dots ds_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let r be an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $t > 0$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t] = \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}}\right], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, q$$

on $(0, \infty)$. For $k = 0$ the equality holds pointwise almost everywhere on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $k = 0$ and $t, s > 0$. By Proposition 2.4,

$$\alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-iR}^{c+iR} \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z} e^{zs} dz. \quad (4.3)$$

Since r is an A-stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q it follows that $z \mapsto \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z}$ is analytic at 0 and in a neighborhood of $i\mathbb{R}$. Moreover,

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_{\pm R, c}} \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z} e^{zs} dz \right| \leq \frac{2c}{R} 2e^{ct} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\Gamma_{\pm R, c} = \{z : z = \pm iR + s, s \in [0, c]\}$. Therefore, by Cauchy's theorem, one can integrate along the imaginary axis in (4.3) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-iR}^{iR} \frac{r^n(-\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{-zt}}{z} e^{zs} dz \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v} e^{-ivs} dv = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} f_R(s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $f_R(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v} e^{-ivs} dv$. Since $v \mapsto \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v} \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\stackrel{(2)}{\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} f_R} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} i} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)}\right] \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$$

(see, for example, [6, p. 209]). By (4.4), f_R converges also pointwise and hence the pointwise limit is a.e. the same as the L_2 -limit. Thus,

$$\alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} i} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)}\right](s).$$

This proves the claim for $k = 0$. Assume that the claim holds for $0 \leq k < q$. Define

$$f_R^{[k]}(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+1}} e^{-ivs} dv.$$

With the same weak convergence argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(k+1)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}}\right](\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^s \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} f_R^{[k]}\right](\tau) d\tau = \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^s f_R^{[k]}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s f_R^{[k]}(\tau) d\tau &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \int_0^s \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+1}} e^{-iv\tau} d\tau dv \\ &= \frac{-1}{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+2}} (e^{-ivr} - 1) dv. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Next, it will be shown that $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+2}} dv = 0$. Since r is an A -stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $k+2 \leq q+1$, it follows that $z \rightarrow \frac{r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}}{z^{k+2}} dz$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $\{z : \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0\}$. By Cauchy's theorem and $|r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}| \leq 2$ for $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0$,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-iR}^{iR} \frac{r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}}{z^{k+2}} dz = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_R} \frac{r^n(\frac{t}{n}z) - e^{zt}}{z^{k+2}} dz = 0,$$

where $\Gamma_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = Re^{is}, s \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]\}$. Thus, from (4.5) and (4.6) one obtains

$$I^{(k+1)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} f_R^{[k+1]}(s).$$

Finally, since $v \rightarrow \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+2}} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ it follows that

$$I^{(k+1)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) = \left(\frac{-1}{i}\right)^{k+2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+2}}\right](s) \text{ for all } s > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let r be an A -stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $t > 0$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t](s) = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, q$.*

Proof. First, let $k = 0$. Since $1 = r^n(0) = r^n(0-) = \alpha_n(\infty)$ it follows that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(s) - H_t(s) = 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k > 0$, then $v \rightarrow \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}v) - e^{itv}}{v^{k+1}} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma and by Proposition 4.1, the claim follows. \square

For the main convergence result of this section another technical lemma is needed. Its elementary proof uses change of variables and is omitted.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > 0$. If $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathcal{F}(f) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_1 = \|\mathcal{F}(f(b \cdot))\|_1 = \|\mathcal{F}(f(\cdot)e^{ia(\cdot)})\|_1. \quad (4.7)$$

Combining analytical tools from the proofs of [4, Theorems 3 and 4] with Proposition 4.1, the main L_1 -convergence result can now be proved. For $q \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\theta_q(k) := \begin{cases} k + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } k < \frac{q-1}{2} \\ (k+1)\frac{q}{q+1} & \text{if } \frac{q-1}{2} \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let r be an A -stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q , $t > 0$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots, q$. Then there is $K > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \begin{cases} K t^{k+1-\theta_q(k)} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\theta_q(k)} & \text{if } k \neq \frac{q-1}{2} \\ K t^{k+1-\theta_q(k)} \left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\theta_q(k)} \ln(n+1) & \text{if } k = \frac{q-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 4.3 with $a = t$ and $b = n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}t$ with Proposition 4.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left[\frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} \right] \right\|_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left[e^{ti(\cdot)} \frac{\left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} ti(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} ti(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}} t(\cdot))^{k+1}} (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1} \right] \right\|_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F} \left[\frac{\left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} i(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} i(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} \right] \right\|_1 (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \mathcal{F}[g_k] \right\|_1 (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $g_k(s) := [(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is))^n - 1]/s^{k+1}$. Using the partition of unity as in the estimate (3.2) and employing Corollary 2.3, one obtains

$$\|\mathcal{F}[g_k]\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\phi_j g_k\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\phi_j g_k]'\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Define $h(s) := e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is)$. Then $|h(s)| \leq 1$ and

$$|h(s)^n - 1| \leq 2 \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, $e^{-z}r(z) - 1 = O(z^{q+1})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ since $r(z) = e^z + O(z^{q+1})$. Thus,

$$h(s) - 1 = e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is) - 1 = O\left((n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s)^{q+1}\right) \text{ as } n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s \rightarrow 0.$$

By the binomial formula,

$$|h(s)^n - 1| = \left| h(s) - 1 \right| \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| \leq C |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|^{q+1} n = C |s|^{q+1} \quad (4.11)$$

for $|n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|$ sufficiently small. Therefore, by (4.10) and (4.11), one obtains for $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|h(s)^n - 1| \leq C \min(|s|^{q+1}, 1), \text{ and} \quad (4.12)$$

$$|g_k(s)| = \left| \frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{k+1}} \right| \leq C \min(|s|^{q-k}, \frac{1}{|s|^{k+1}}). \quad (4.13)$$

To handle the derivatives in (4.9), observe that $h'(s) = n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} [e^{i(\cdot)} r(i \cdot)]'(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s)$. Since $r'(z) = e^z + O(z^q)$ it follows that

$$(e^{-z} r(z))' = r'(z)e^{-z} - r(z)e^{-z} = 1 + O(z^q) - (1 + O(z^{q+1})) = O(z^q) \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Thus,

$$|h'(s)| = n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} |[e^{i(\cdot)} r(i \cdot)]'(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s)| \leq C n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|^q = C \frac{1}{n} |s|^q$$

for $|n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|$ sufficiently small. For $\epsilon \leq |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|$ the inequality holds since $[r(is)]'(s \in \mathbb{R})$ is bounded (see (3.4)) and hence $|[e^{i(\cdot)} r(i \cdot)]'(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} is)| \leq C \epsilon^q \leq C |n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s|^q$. (Remember that C is a universal constant that can change from line to line). Thus,

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} [h(s)^n - 1] \right| = |nh(s)^{n-1} h'(s)| \leq C |s|^q, \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.15)$$

By (4.12), (4.15), and the product rule it follows that

$$|g'_k(s)| = \left| \frac{d}{ds} \frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{k+1}} \right| \leq C(|s|^{q-k-1} + \min\{|s|^{q-k-1}, \frac{1}{|s|^{k+2}}\}) \leq C |s|^{q-k-1}. \quad (4.16)$$

These estimates will be useful if $0 \leq k \leq q-1$. The case $k = q$ requires an additional estimate. Since $w \mapsto \frac{e^{-iw} r(iw) - 1}{w^{q+1}}$ is analytic at the origin and infinitely often differentiable on $i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, it and its derivative are bounded on compact intervals containing the origin. Let $|s| \leq 1$ and $w := n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} s$. Then $|h'(s)| \leq C \frac{1}{n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{q+1}} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s) - 1}{s^{q+1}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| + \left| \frac{h(s) - 1}{s^{q+1}} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right] \right| \\ &= \left| n^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s) - 1}{(sn^{-\frac{1}{q+1}})^{q+1}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| + \left| n^{-1} \frac{h(s) - 1}{(sn^{-\frac{1}{q+1}})^{q+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j h(s)^{j-1} h'(s) \right| \\ &= \left| n^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{e^{-iw} r(iw) - 1}{w^{q+1}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h(s)^j \right| + \left| n^{-1} \frac{e^{-iw} r(iw) - 1}{w^{q+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j h(s)^{j-1} h'(s) \right| \\ &\leq n^{-1} C n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} n + C n^{-1} \frac{(n-1)n}{2} n^{-1} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|g'_q(s)| = \left| \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{h(s)^n - 1}{s^{q+1}} \right) \right| \leq C \min(1, \frac{1}{|s|}). \quad (4.17)$$

The estimate (4.16) shows that the use of a partition of unity is necessary if $k \leq q-1$ since the function that bounds the derivative is not in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. Since the estimates in (4.13), (4.16), and (4.17) are independent of n it follows that

$$\|\phi_0 g_k\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \text{ and } \|[\phi_0 g_k]'\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C.$$

Let $j \geq 1$. Since $\text{supp}(\phi_j) \subset (-2^{j+1}, -2^{j-1}) \cup (2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1})$, by (4.13) there exist constants C (depending on k but not on j) such that

$$\|\phi_j g_k\|_2^2 \leq C \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+1)}} ds \leq C 2^{-2j(k+\frac{1}{2})}. \quad (4.18)$$

From the definition of ϕ_j it follows that $|\frac{d}{ds}\phi_j(s)| = |2^{-j}\phi'(2^{-j}s)| \leq C 2^{-j}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, by (4.13), (4.16), and the product rule,

$$\|[\phi_j g_k]'\|_2^2 \leq C \frac{1}{2^{2j}} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2k+2}} ds + C \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} s^{2(q-k-1)} ds \quad (4.19)$$

$$\leq C 2^{-2j(k+\frac{3}{2})} + C 2^j 2^{2j(q-k-1)}. \quad (4.20)$$

Combining (4.18) and (4.19) yields

$$\|\phi_j g_k\|_2^2 \cdot \|[\phi_j g_k]'\|_2^2 \leq C 2^{-4j(k+1)} + C 2^{4j(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)} \leq C 2^{4j(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)}. \quad (4.21)$$

Therefore, if $k > \frac{q-1}{2}$, then we see from (4.9) and (4.21) that

$$\|\mathcal{F}[g_k]\|_1 \leq C,$$

which finishes the proof for this case in view of (4.8). If $k \leq \frac{q-1}{2}$, then we cannot sum the terms in (4.21) and we need different estimates. In the following we misuse notation by identifying $f(s)$ with the function f . If $j > 0$, then $0 \notin \text{supp}(\phi_j)$. Thus

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j(s) \frac{h(s)^n}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 + \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1. \quad (4.22)$$

Recall that $r^n(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{zu} d\alpha^{n*}(u)$ with $\alpha \in NBV$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} h(s)^n &= e^{n-\frac{q}{q+1}is} r^n(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}is) = \int_0^\infty e^{isu} dH_{n-\frac{q}{q+1}}(u) \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{isu} d\alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}u) \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{isu} d[H_{n-\frac{q}{q+1}}(\cdot) * \alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))](u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j(s) \frac{h(s)^n}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 &\leq \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 V_{H_{n-\frac{q}{q+1}} * \alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))}(\infty) \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 V_{H_{n-\frac{q}{q+1}}}(\infty) V_{\alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))}(\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Since $V_{H_{n-\frac{q}{q+1}}}(\infty) = 1$ and since $V_\alpha(\infty)$ is independent of positive scaling, Theorem 3.1 yields that $V_{\alpha^{n*}(n^{\frac{1}{q+1}}(\cdot))}(\infty) = V_{\alpha^{n*}}(\infty) \leq C\sqrt{n}$. Thus, by (4.22),

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 (C\sqrt{n} + 1) \leq C \|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 \sqrt{n}. \quad (4.24)$$

From Corollary 2.3 it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]\|_1 \leq 2 \|\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}\|_2 \|[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]'\|_2. \quad (4.25)$$

Since $\|\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}\|_2^2 \leq 2 \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+1)}} ds \leq C2^{-2j(k+\frac{1}{2})}$ and

$$\|[\frac{\phi_j(s)}{s^{k+1}}]'\|_2^2 \leq C2^{-2j} \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+1)}} ds + C \int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j+1}} \frac{1}{s^{2(k+2)}} ds \leq C2^{-2j(k+\frac{3}{2})}.$$

it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 \leq C2^{-j(k+1)}\sqrt{n}. \quad (4.26)$$

If n is large enough then choose $j_0 > 0$ such that $2^{j_0} \leq n^{\frac{1}{q+1}} < 2^{j_0+1}$. Then, using (4.21) for $0 \leq j \leq j_0$ and (4.26) for $j_0 < j$ for $k < \frac{q-1}{2}$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j g_k]\|_1 &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} 2^{j(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)} + Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(k+1)} \\ &\leq C \left(2^{j_0(\frac{q-1}{2}-k)} + n^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-(j_0+1)(k+1)} \right) \leq Cn^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{k+1}{q+1}} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement for $k < \frac{q-1}{2}$ in view of (4.8) and (4.9). If $k = \frac{q-1}{2}$ (or, equivalently, $\frac{k+1}{q+1} = \frac{1}{2}$), then similarly to the above one chooses j_0 with $2^{j_0} \leq n^{\frac{1}{q+1}} < 2^{j_0+1}$. This implies that $j_0 \leq C \ln n$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}[\phi_j(g_k)]\|_1 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{j_0} C + Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(k+1)} \\ &\leq Cj_0 + Cn^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-(j_0+1)(k+1)} \leq C \ln n + C \leq C \ln(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.8) and (4.9), this completes the proof of the statement. \square

If r_0 satisfies additional conditions at ∞ and 0 , then the estimate in Theorem 4.4 can be improved by an order up to $\frac{1}{2}$ for $k < \frac{q-1}{2}$. To do so one uses an improved estimate on $V_{\alpha_{n*}}(\infty)$. With the additional conditions, this estimate can be sharpened by an order up to $\frac{1}{2}$ as was already noted after Theorem 3.1. See [3] for details. Also note that the proof of the optimal convergence order of $I^{(q)}(\alpha_n - H_t)$ is relatively simple as it neither uses the partition of unity nor the estimate on $V_{\alpha_{n*}}(\infty)$.

Using the L_1 -estimates and the L_∞ -bounds yields the following L_p -convergence results for $\alpha_n - H_t$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let r be an A -stable rational approximation of the exponential of order q and $t > 0$. Then⁴, for $1 < q < \infty$, there is $K > 0$ such that*

$$\|\alpha_n - H_t\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq Kt^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{-\frac{1}{2p}} (\ln(n+1))^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.27)$$

If $k = 1, \dots, q$, $k \neq \frac{q-1}{2}$, then⁵ there is a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq Kt^{\frac{k+1}{p}} n^{-\frac{\theta_q(k)}{p}} (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{(1-\frac{1}{p})k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.28)$$

⁴If $q = 1$, then a factor of $(\ln(n+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ has to be added to the estimate; see Theorem 4.4.

⁵If $k = \frac{q-1}{2}$, then a factor of $(\ln(n+1))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ has to be added in the estimate; see Theorem 4.4.

Proof. If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq (\|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)})^{\frac{1}{p}} (\|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)})^{1-\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (4.29)$$

Thus, (4.27) follows immediately from Theorem 3.6, Theorem 4.4 and (4.29). To show (4.28) it suffices to demonstrate that for $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$,

$$\|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq K(tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

in view of Theorem 4.4 and (4.29). By Proposition 4.1, (4.13) and a change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^{(k)}[\alpha_n - H_t]\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \frac{r^n(i\frac{t}{n}(\cdot)) - e^{it(\cdot)}}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &= \left\| e^{ti(\cdot)} \frac{\left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}ti(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}ti(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(n^{-\frac{q}{q+1}}t(\cdot))^{k+1}} (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^{k+1} \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &= \left\| \frac{\left(e^{-n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}i(\cdot)} r(n^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}i(\cdot)) \right)^n - 1}{(\cdot)^{k+1}} (tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C(tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min(|s|^{q-k}, \frac{1}{|s|^{k+1}}) ds \leq C(tn^{-\frac{q}{q+1}})^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, q, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] W. Arendt, Ch. Batty, M. Hieber and F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace Transforms and Cauchy Problems*, Monographs in Mathematics Vol. 96, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2001.
- [2] H. E. Bray, *Elementary properties of the Stieltjes integral*, Ann. Math. **20** (1919), 177–186.
- [3] P. Brenner and V. Thomée, *Stability and convergence rates in L_p for certain difference schemes*, Math. Scand. **27** (1970), 5–23.
- [4] P. Brenner and V. Thomée, *On rational approximation of semigroups*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **16** (1979), 683–694.
- [5] P. Brenner, V. Thomée and L. B. Wahlbin, *Besov Spaces and Applications to Difference Methods for Initial Value Problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **434**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [6] P. L. Butzer and R. J. Nessel, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Academic Press, 1971.
- [7] F. Carlson, *Une Inégalité*, Ark Mat. **25B** (1935), 1–5.
- [8] M. Crouzeix, S. Larsson, S. Piskarev and V. Thomée, *The stability of rational approximations of analytic semigroups*, BIT **33** (1993), 74–84.
- [9] R. Hersh and T. Kato, *High-accuracy stable difference schemes for well posed initial-value problems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **16** (1979), 671–682.

- [10] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Colloquium Publications **31**, American Mathematical Society, 1957.
- [11] P. Jara, Dissertation, Louisiana State University, 2007 (in preparation).
- [12] M. Kovács, *On qualitative properties and convergence of time-discretization methods for semigroups*, Dissertation, Louisiana State University, 2004. For an electronic version, see <http://etd.lsu.edu/docs/available/etd-07082004-143318/>
- [13] M. Kovács, *On positivity, shape, and norm-bound preservation of time-stepping methods for semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **304** (2005), 115–136.
- [14] M. Kovács, *On the convergence of rational approximations of semigroups on intermediate spaces*, Math. Comp. **76** (2007), 273–286.
- [15] V. Thomée, *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Springer Berlin, 1997.
- [16] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, 1946.

Mihály Kovács
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Otago
Dunedin
New Zealand
and
Department of Mathematics
Chalmers/Göteborg University
Göteborg
Sweden
mkovacs@maths.otago.ac.nz

Frank Neubrandner
Department of Mathematics
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge
USA
neubrand@math.lsu.edu