

Effect on methane production of source of carbohydrate, and processing/variety of cassava leaf supplement, in an *in vitro* rumen incubation

Vor Sina and T R Preston

Center for Livestock and Agriculture Development (CelAgrid) PO box 2423,
Phnom Penh, Cambodia

sina_vor@yahoo.com

¹ Centro para la Investigaci3n en Sistemas Sostenibles, de Producci3n
Agropecuaria (CIPAV), Carrera 25 No 6-62 Cali, Colombia

Abstract

The aim of this study was to compare effect of two source of protein varieties and process of cassava foliage and two sources of carbohydrate on methane production in an *in vitro* incubation medium inoculated with rumen fluid and using cassava foliage, cassava pulp and molasses for subtract. The design was a 2*2*2 factorial arrangement of the treatments with 4 replications. The quantity of substrate in each fermentation bottle was 12g DM to which was added 240 ml of rumen fluid (from slaughtered cattle) and 960 ml of buffer solution. The incubations were done in a simple *in vitro* incubation using one-liter bottles with gas collection by water displacement and methane measured by an infra-red methane gas detector. The incubation was for 24hours with measurements of total gas production and methane percentage in the gas at intervals of 6, 12, 18 and 24hours.

Methane production was lower when the substrate contained: cassava pulp rather than molasses as carbohydrate source; leaves from bitter rather than from sweet cassava variety; and cassava leaves that were in fresh form rather sun-dried. The methane percentage in the gas increased linearly with the length of the incubation.

Key words: bitter, fresh, molasses, propionate, starch, sugar, sun-dried, sweet

Introduction

Methane resulting from fermentative digestion of organic matter in the rumen represents a loss of dietary energy to the animal and is a significant contributor to world greenhouse gas emissions (Steinfeld et al 2006). These factors have led to a global search for strategies to mitigate methane emissions from ruminants.

In ruminants, hydrogen produced in the pathways of fermentation of organic matter to VFA with growth of cells is normally removed by the reduction of CO₂ to form methane. The net production of methane can be varied by: (i) introducing nitrate salts into the rumen as an alternative sink for the hydrogen, giving rise to ammonia instead of methane (Inthapanya et al 2011); (ii) increasing the escape of protein and fibrous carbohydrate from the rumen as when these are digested in the intestines (the protein) and/or fermented in the cecum-colon (the fibre) there is no production of

methane (Demeyer 1991); (iii) promoting the proportion of propionate in the rumen VFA as this captures more of the hydrogen with concomitant reduction in methane (Whitelaw et al 1984; Sangkhom et al 2017). Substrates rich in starch result in higher proportions of propionate in rumen VFA compared with those rich in sugars as in molasses (Preston 1993).

Cassava leaves are known to contain variable levels of condensed tannins which at moderate levels are known to have positive effects on the nutritive value of the feed by forming insoluble complexes with dietary protein, resulting in "escape" of the protein from the rumen fermentation (Barry 1999). Tannins are also reported to decrease methane production (Waghorn et al 2002), apparently through a direct toxic effect on methanogens. However, the other explanation is that increasing the rumen escape of protein leads to a shift of fermentation from the rumen to the cecum-colon with a concomitant reduction in methane (Phonethiep et al 2016).

In a recent study, Preston et al (2013) showed major effects of protein solubility on methane production in an *in vitro* incubation. Methane production was reduced by almost 50% when the protein source was fish meal (protein solubility 16.6%) compared with groundnut meal (protein solubility 76.2%) when sodium nitrate was the source of NPN and was 25% less when urea was the source of NPN. Cassava leaves from "bitter" varieties contain protein of lower solubility, and give rise to more HCN in the rumen fermentation, than leaves from "sweet" varieties (Phuong et al 2012).

Cassava pulp is a by-product of cassava starch production and accounts for 10 to 15% of the original weight of fresh roots (Sriroth et al 2000). Recent research shows that its nutritive value is only slightly inferior to that of the original cassava root (Phanthavong et al 2014) and that it can be used as the basal diet for fattening cattle (Phanthavong et al 2016).

The purpose of the present study was: (i) to measure methane production in an *in vitro* rumen incubation with cassava pulp or molasses as the source of carbohydrate; and (ii) to confirm the reduction in methane production previously observed when the protein source was leaves from bitter rather than sweet cassava (Phuong et al 2012; Phanthavong et al 2015), in fresh form rather than as sun-dried meal (Phommasack et al 2011).

Materials and methods

Location and duration

The experiment was carried out in the laboratory of the Research and Technology Transfer Center in Nong Lam University (NLU), Vietnam from May to July, 2015.

The *in vitro* system

The *in vitro* system (Photos 1 and 2) was based on the components and procedure reported by Inthapanya et al (2011).

Experimental design

Three factors were studied in a 2*2*2 factorial design with four replications. The factors were:

Carbohydrate source:

- Molasses
- Ensiled cassava pulp

Protein source: processing of cassava leaf

- Sun-dried leaves
- Fresh leaves

Protein source: variety of cassava

- Leaves from bitter cassava
- Leaves from sweet cassava

Procedure

Fresh leaves from sweet and bitter cassava varieties were harvested from plots in the experimental area of the Research Center in Nong Lam University. One portion of the leaves was dried in the shade for 24h then ground through a 1 mm sieve; the other portion was ground in the fresh state. Cassava pulp and molasses were sourced from cassava and sugar factories in Ho Chi Minh City. Urea (fertilizer grade) was obtained locally. All components were mixed together according to the proportions shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The proportions of the ingredients in the substrate (DM, g)

	Molasses				Cassava pulp			
	Sweet cassava		Bitter cassava		Sweet cassava		Bitter cassava	
	Dried	Fresh	Dried	Fresh	Dried	Fresh	Dried	Fresh
Cassava leaf	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.86	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60
Molasses	8.97	8.97	8.97	8.97				
Cassava pulp					8.15	8.15	8.15	8.15
Urea	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25

Total DM	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
----------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

The substrates (12g DM) were put in the incubation bottle to which were added 960ml of buffer solution (Table 3) and 40ml of rumen fluid. The rumen fluid had been taken at 10-11pm in the city abattoir from a buffalo immediately after the animal was killed. A representative sample of the rumen contents (including feed residues) was put in a vacuum flask and stored until 8-9am the following morning when the contents were filtered through one layer of cloth before being added to the incubation bottle. The gas space inside the bottle was then flushed with carbon dioxide prior to the incubation at 38Â°C for 24h.

Table 2. Ingredients of the buffer solution (Tilly and Terry 1963).

	CaCl2	NaHPO4.12H2O	NaCl	KCl	MgSO4.7H2O	NaHCO3	Cyst
(g/liter)	0.04	9.3	0.47	0.57	0.12	9.8	0.2

Photo 1. The *in vitro* system

Photo 2. Using “plasticine” to seal the ju
inlet tube
and the bottle used to measure ga
displacemen

Measurements

The gas volume was measured at 6, 12, 18 and 24 by water displacement from the receiving bottle suspended in water which was calibrated at intervals of 50ml. On each occasion, after measuring the volume, the gas was ejected from the receiving bottle though a tube attached to a Crowcom meter (Crowcom Instruments Ltd, UK) fitted with an infra-red sensor to measure methane (Photo 3). Undigested substrate at the end of the incubation was filtered by cloth and was then dried at 100Â° C for 24h to determine residual DM.

Photo 3. Measurement of methane with the Crowcom meter

Photo 4. The substrate residue filtered through cloth

Data analysis

Data were analyzed by the General Linear Model in the ANOVA program of the Minitab software (Minitab 2010). Sources of variation in the model were: replication, carbohydrate source, processing, variety, interaction processing*variety and error.

Results

There were linear trends in gas production with increasing 6h periods of incubation: negative for gas production (Table 3; Figure 1) and positive for content of methane in the gas (Figure 2). Gas production was higher on molasses than on cassava pulp, higher for fresh than sun-dried cassava leaves (Figure 3), and higher for sweet cassava than for bitter cassava variety (Figure 4). The percentage of methane in the gas was lower for fresh than for dry leaves (Figure 5) and for the bitter variety compared with the sweet variety (Figure 6). The DM mineralized was higher for molasses than for cassava pulp, and higher for fresh than for sun-dried cassava leaves, with no apparent difference between cassava varieties. Methane production per unit substrate DM mineralized was lower for cassava pulp than for molasses, lower for fresh than for sun-dried cassava leaves and lower for the bitter than the sweet cassava variety (Figure 7).

Table 3. Effect of source of carbohydrate, processing and variety of cassava leaf, on mean values for gas methane production and DM digested

	Carbohydrate		p	Process		p	Variety		p
	Molasse s	Cassava pulp		Sun-dry	Fresh		Bitter	Sweet	
Gas, ml									
0-6h	933	681	<0.001	830	785	0.198	790	832	0.331
6-12h	800	663	<0.001	744	719	0.272	707	756	0.032
12-18h	696	609	<0.001	672	634	0.037	631	675	0.017
18-24h	384	536	<0.001	493	427	<0.001	451	469	0.025
Total	2813	2967	<0.001	2739	2566	0.005	2380	2724	0.016

DMM, % [#]	71.7	55.1	<0.001	61.4	65.4	0.002	63.3	63.5	<0.001
Methane, %									
0-6h	12.2	11.9	0.21	12.5	11.6	<0.001	11.6	12.5	<0.001
6-12h	16.8	15.7	<0.05	17.2	15.3	<0.001	15.2	17.3	<0.001
12-18h	19.9	18	<0.001	19.8	18.1	<0.001	18.1	19.8	<0.001
18-24h	23.5	22.5	<0.001	23.8	21.8	<0.001	22	23.6	<0.001
Methane									
Total, ml	477	380	<0.001	482	409	<0.001	413	479	<0.001
% of total gas	17.0	14.0	<0.05	17.6	15.9	<0.001	16.0	17.5	<0.001
ml/g DMM	61.2	57.7	<0.001	65.4	52.1	<0.001	54.3	62.9	<0.001

#Dry matter mineralized

Figure 1. Effect of carbohydrate source on gas production in an *in vitro* rumen incubation

Figure 3. Effect of processing (fresh or dried) cassava leaves on gas production in an *in vitro* rumen incubation using leaves from a bitter or sweet variety an *in vitro* rumen incubation with molasses or cassava pulp as carbohydrate source

Figure 1

Figure 5. Effect of processing leaves from sweet and bitter cassava on percent methane in the gas in an *in vitro* rumen incubation with molasses or cassava pulp as carbohydrate source

Figure 7. Effect on methane production of source of carbohydrate and processing and variety of cassava leaves

Discussion

The reduction in methane production when the cassava leaves were from the bitter as opposed to the sweet variety of cassava agrees with the findings of Phuong et al (2012) and Phanthavong et al (2015). These authors cited the research of Smith et al (1985) and Cuzin and Labat (1992) which showed a toxic effect of cyanogens on methanogenic bacteria. A similar explanation probably applies to the reduction in methane production when fresh as opposed to dried cassava leaves were the source of protein in the substrate. A reduction in methane production with fresh as opposed to dried cassava leaves was also reported by Phommasack et al (2011). According to Phuc et al (1996), drying of cassava leaves reduced the potential HCN levels by 89% compared with fresh leaves. Similar effects on potential HCN levels from drying cassava leaves were reported by Khieu Borin et al (2005) and Chhay Ty et al (2007).

It is well established that replacing a starch-based feed (maize) with one rich in soluble sugars (molasses) reduces the molar proportions of propionic acid in the rumen fermentation (Preston no date); and that feeds that support increasing proportions of propionic acid in the rumen fermentation result in decreased production of methane (Sangkhom et al 2017; Syahniara et al 2016). We have no data on the molar proportions of the rumen VFA in the present experiment but it is to be expected that propionate levels would have been higher on the cassava pulp treatment and that this would account for the lower methane production when cassava pulp replaced molasses as the carbohydrate source.

Conclusions

- Methane production in an *in vitro* incubation was lower when the substrate contained:
 - Cassava pulp rather than molasses as carbohydrate source
 - Leaves from Bitter rather than from Sweet cassava variety as protein source
 - Fresh rather sun-dried cassava leaves
- Methane percentage in the gas increased linearly with the length of the incubation

Acknowledgements

The authors express their appreciation to the MEKARN II project, funded by Sida, for supporting this research. Thanks are due to the Department of Animal Nutrition laboratory, Faculty of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Nong Lam University, Vietnam for providing the facilities to carry

out this research.

References

Barry T N 1999 The implications of condensed tannins on the nutritive value of temperate forages fed to ruminants British Journal of Nutrition 81(4): 263-72.

Chhay Ty, Preston T R and Borin K 2007 Effect of variety and wilting on HCN content of cassava leaves and on intake, digestibility and N retention by growing pigs. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 19, Article #135. Retrieved January 27, 2012, from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd19/9/chha19135.htm>

Cuzin N and Labat M 1992 Reduction of cyanide levels during anaerobic digestion of cassava. International Journal of Food Science 27, 329-326

Demeyer D 1991 Differences in stoichiometry between rumen and hindgut fermentation. Adv. 1023 Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition 22:50-66

Inthapanya S, Preston T R and Leng R A 2011 Mitigating methane production from ruminants; effect of calcium nitrate as modifier of the fermentation in an in vitro incubation using cassava root as the energy source and leaves of cassava or Mimosa pigra as source of protein. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 23, Article #21. <http://www.org/lrrd.org/lrrd23/2/sang23021.html>

Khieu Borin, Lindberg J E and Ogle R B 2005 Effect of variety and preservation method of cassava leaves on diet digestibility by indigenous and improved pigs. Animal Science 80 (3), 319-324.

Minitab 2010 Minitab Statistical Software, Release 16.1.1 for Window. (Minitab Inc. State College PA, USA)

Phanthavong V, Viengsakoun N, Sangkhom I and Preston T R 2014 Cassava pulp as livestock feed; effects of storage in an open pit. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 26, Article #169. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd26/9/phan26169.htm>

Phanthavong V, Viengsakoun N, Sangkhom I and Preston T R 2015 Effect of biochar and leaves from sweet or bitter cassava on gas and methane production in an in vitro rumen incubation using cassava root pulp as source of energy. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 27, Article #72. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd27/4/phan27072.html>

Phanthavong V, Khamla S and Preston T R 2016 Fattening cattle in Lao PDR with cassava pulp. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 28, Article #10. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd28/1/phan28010.html>

Phommasack Outhen, Preston T R and Leng R A 2011 Effect of supplementation with urea or calcium nitrate and cassava leaf meal or fresh cassava leaf in an in vitro fermentation using a basal substrate of sugar cane stalk. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 23, Article #023. Retrieved , from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd23/2/outh23023.htm>

Phonethep P, Preston T R and Leng R A 2016: Effect on feed intake, digestibility, N retention and methane emissions in goats of supplementing foliage of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) and

Tithonia diversifolia with water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*). Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 28, Article #72. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd28/5/pho28072.html>

Phuc B H N, Ogle R B, Lindberg J E and Preston T R 1996 The nutritive value of sun-dried and ensiled cassava leaves for growing pigs. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 8, Article #30. Retrieved October 19, 2017, from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd8/3/phuc83.htm>

Phuong L T B, Preston T R and Leng R A 2012 : Effect of foliage from “sweet” and “bitter” cassava varieties on methane production in in vitro incubation with molasses supplemented with potassium nitrate or urea. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 24, Article #189. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd24/10/phuo24189.htm>

Preston T R No date Tropical Animal Feeding. Ch. 5. Nutrition of ruminants. <http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/v9327e/V9327E05.htm#ch5>.

Preston T R, Do H Q, Khoa T D, Hao T P and Leng R A 2013 Protein solubility of fish meal and groundnut meal and methane production in an in vitro incubation. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 25, Article #16. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd25/1/hqdo25016.htm>

Sangkhom I, Preston T R and Leng R A 2017 Glycerol-treated rice straw releases less methane than untreated straw in an in vitro rumen incubation. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 29, Article #128. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd29/7/sang29128.html>

Sangkhom I, Preston T R, Leng R A, Ngoan L D and Phung L D 2017 Rice distillers' byproduct improved growth performance and reduced enteric methane from “Yellow” cattle fed a fattening diet based on cassava root and foliage (*Manihot esculenta* Cranz). Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 29, Article #131. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd29/7/sang29131.html>

Sriroth K, Chollakup R, Chotineeranat S, Piyachomkwan K and Oates C G 2000 Processing of cassava waste for improved biomass utilization. Bioresource Technology 71(1):63-69.

Smith M R, Lequerica J L and Hart M R 1985 Inhibition of methanogenesis and carbon metabolism in *Methanosarcina* sp. by cyanide, Journal of Bacteriology, 162, 67-71.

Steinfeld H, Gerber P, Wassenaar T, Castel V, Rosales M, de Haan C 2006 Livestock's long shadow: Environmental issues and options. Rome, Italy: FAO.

Syahniara T M, Ridlac M, Samsudind A A A B and Jayanegarac A 2016 Glycerol as an energy source for ruminants: a meta-analysis of in vitro experiments. Media Peternakan, 39(3):189-194 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5398/medpet.2016.39.3.189> Available online at <http://medpet.journal.ipb.ac.id/>

Tilley J M A and Terry R A 1963 A two stage technique for the in vitro digestion of forage crops. Journal of the British Grassland Society 18: 104.

Waghorn G C, Tavendale M H and Woodfield D R 2002 Methanogenesis from forages fed to sheep. Proceedings of the New Zealand Grassland Association 64, 167–171.

Whitelaw F G, Eadie W J, Bruce L A and Shand W A 1984 Methane formation in faunated and ciliate-free cattle and its relationship with rumen volatile fatty acid proportions. British Journal of Nutrition 52:261.options. Rome, Italy: FAO.

