

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Univalent functions in the Banach algebra of continuous functions

Yong Chan Kim<sup>1</sup> and Jae Ho Choi<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
choijh@dnue.ac.kr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Education, Daegu National  
University of Education, Daegu,  
705-715, Korea  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate several interesting properties of a composition operator defined on the open unit ball  $B_0$  of the Banach algebra  $C(T)$ . We also consider the Noshiro-Warschawski theorem in the Banach algebra of continuous functions.

**MSC:** Primary 30C45; secondary 46J10

**Keywords:** analytic function; univalent function; Banach algebra; Noshiro-Warschawski theorem

## 1 Introduction and definitions

Throughout this paper,  $C(T)$  denotes the Banach algebra, with sup norm, of continuous complex-valued functions defined on a compact metric space  $T$ . Let  $B(f : r)$  be an open ball in  $C(T)$  centered at  $f \in C(T)$  with radius  $r$ . In particular, for the sake of brevity, we use the simplified notation  $B_0$  instead of  $B(0 : 1)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $\varphi(z)$  of the form

$$\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Also, let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the class of all functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  which are *univalent* in the unit disk  $\mathcal{U}$ . A function  $\varphi(z)$  belonging to the class  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *convex* in  $\mathcal{U}$  if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the class of all functions in  $\mathcal{S}$  which are convex in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Corresponding to the function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define a composition operator  $F_\varphi : B_0 \rightarrow C(T)$  by

$$F_\varphi(f) = \varphi \circ f = f + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n f^n. \quad (1.2)$$

We denote by  $S_C$  the class of all functions  $F_\varphi$  which are injective in the open unit ball  $B_0$ . We note that Nikić ([1], Definition 2) defined a similar class  $S_C$  without using the function  $\varphi$ . In this case, we cannot ensure the convergence of the series

$$f + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n f^n.$$

Now we let  $G$  be an open nonempty subset of  $C(T)$ . A function  $F : G \rightarrow C(T)$  is said to be *L-differentiable* at a point  $f \in G$  if there exists  $\lambda \in C(T)$  and a map  $\eta$  defined in a ball  $B(0 : r)$  with values in  $C(T)$  such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta(h)}{\|h\|} = 0$$

and such that

$$F(f+h) - F(f) = \lambda h + \eta(h)$$

for all  $h \in B(0 : r)$ . We call  $\lambda$  the *L-derivative* of  $F$  at  $f$  and denote it by  $F'(f)$ . From [1], we see that

$$F'_\varphi(f) = \varphi' \circ f, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\varphi'$  is a derivative of  $\varphi$ .

In the present paper, we investigate several geometric properties of the class  $S_C$  associated with the theory of univalent functions.

## 2 Geometric properties of the composition operator $F_\varphi$

We begin by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 1**  $F_\varphi \in S_C$  if and only if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $F_\varphi(f) = F_\varphi(g)$  for the functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $B_0$ . Then it means that

$$\varphi(f(t)) = \varphi(g(t))$$

for all  $t \in T$ . Since  $\varphi$  is univalent,  $f(t) = g(t)$  for all  $t \in T$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\varphi(z_1) = \varphi(z_2)$  for  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . If we take the constant functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $f = z_1$  and  $g = z_2$ , then it is obvious that

$$f \in B_0 \quad \text{and} \quad g \in B_0.$$

Furthermore, from (1.2) it is easy to see that

$$F_\varphi(f) = F_\varphi(g).$$

Since  $F_\varphi$  is injective, we have  $f = g$ . Hence we get  $z_1 = z_2$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

By using Brange's theorem [2], we obtain the following.

**Corollary 1** *If*

$$F_{\varphi}(f) = f + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n f^n \in S_C,$$

*then*

$$|a_n| \leq n.$$

Now we prove the Noshiro-Warschawski theorem ([3], Theorem 2.16) in the Banach algebra  $C(T)$ .

**Theorem 2** *If the  $L$ -derivative  $F'_{\varphi}(f)$  has a positive real part for all  $f \in B_0$ , then*

$$F_{\varphi} \in S_C.$$

*Proof* If  $f_1 \in B_0, f_2 \in B_0$  and  $f_1 \neq f_2$ , then there exists  $t \in T$  such that

$$f_1(t) \neq f_2(t). \quad (2.1)$$

By the hypothesis,

$$\Re\{F'_{\varphi}(f)\} > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $f \in B_0$ . It follows from (1.3) that

$$\Re\{\varphi'(f(t))\} > 0 \quad (f \in B_0 : t \in T). \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\varphi(f_2(t)) - \varphi(f_1(t)) = \int_{f_1(t)}^{f_2(t)} \varphi'(x) dx = (f_2(t) - f_1(t)) \int_0^1 \varphi'(\lambda f_2(t) + (1 - \lambda)f_1(t)) d\lambda$$

and

$$\lambda f_2(t) + (1 - \lambda)f_1(t) \in B_0,$$

equations (2.1) and (2.3) imply that

$$\varphi(f_2(t)) \neq \varphi(f_1(t)).$$

Hence

$$F_{\varphi}(f_1(t)) \neq F_{\varphi}(f_2(t))$$

at  $t \in T$ , which shows that  $F_{\varphi}$  is injective.  $\square$

**Remark** Since  $T$  is compact,  $\{f(t) : t \in T\}$  is a closed proper subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence the condition (2.2) does not imply

$$\Re\{\varphi'(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Next we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3** *Let*

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}.$$

*Then*

$$\{F_\varphi(f) : f \in B_0\}$$

*is a convex subset in  $C(T)$ .*

*Proof* Assume that

$$\alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha + \beta = 1.$$

For the functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $B_0$ , we let

$$u(t) \equiv \alpha F_\varphi(f(t)) + \beta F_\varphi(g(t))$$

and

$$v(t) \equiv \frac{u(t)}{1+u(t)}.$$

Then we have

$$u(t) = \frac{v(t)}{1-v(t)} = F_\varphi(v(t)).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - |v(t)|^2 &= 1 - v(t)\overline{v(t)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{u(t)}{1+u(t)} \frac{\overline{u(t)}}{1+\overline{u(t)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+u(t)} (1+u(t) + \overline{u(t)}) \frac{1}{1+\overline{u(t)}} \\ &= \frac{1 + 2\Re\{u(t)\}}{1 + |u(t)|^2} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

the function  $v$  belongs to  $B_0$ . Thus we have

$$u = F_\varphi(v) \in \{F_\varphi(f) : f \in B_0\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

We now recall that the function

$$\varphi_\eta(z) = \frac{z}{1 - \eta z} \quad (\eta \in \mathbb{C}, |\eta| = 1)$$

is the well-known extremal function (see [3]) for the class  $\mathcal{K}$  of convex functions. If we let

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z},$$

then we note that

$$\varphi_\eta(z) = \eta^{-1} \varphi(\eta z). \quad (2.4)$$

Making use of Theorem 3 and (2.4), we can derive the following.

**Corollary 2** *If  $\varphi$  is an extreme point of  $\mathcal{K}$ , then*

$$\{F_\varphi(f) : f \in B_0\}$$

*is a convex subset in  $C(T)$ .*

It is well known that the sharp inequality

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{n!(n + |z|)}{(1 - |z|)^{n+2}} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \quad (2.5)$$

holds for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  (see [3, p.70, Exercise 6]).

In view of the inequality (2.5), we have a generalization of [1, Theorem 2] as follows.

**Theorem 4** *If  $f \in B_0$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , then the  $n$ th  $L$ -derivative of  $F_\varphi$  at  $f$  satisfies*

$$\|F^{(n)}_\varphi(f)\| \leq \frac{n!(n + \|f\|)}{(1 - \|f\|)^{n+2}}.$$

**Remark** The proof would run parallel to that of [1, Theorem 2] because there are many similarities. But, as we have seen in equation (1.2), we find it to be different from the definition of the class  $\mathcal{S}_C$ , which was given by Nikić [1]. So, we include the proof of Theorem 4.

*Proof* Applying (1.2) and (1.3), it is not difficult to show that

$$F^{(n)}_\varphi(f) = \varphi^{(n)} \circ f \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where  $\varphi^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ th derivative of  $\varphi$ . Since

$$F^{(n)}_\varphi(f) \in C(T)$$

and  $T$  is a compact metric space, there exists a point  $\xi \in T$  such that

$$\|F^{(n)}_\varphi(f)\| = |F^{(n)}_\varphi(f(\xi))| = |\varphi^{(n)}(f(\xi))|. \quad (2.6)$$

Since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , from (2.4) we have

$$|\varphi^{(n)}(f(\xi))| \leq \frac{n!(n + |f(\xi)|)}{(1 - |f(\xi)|)^{n+2}} \leq \frac{n!(n + \|f\|)}{(1 - \|f\|)^{n+2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

### 3 Examples

**Example 1** Let the function  $\varphi$  be defined by (1.1). For a fixed radius  $0 < r < 1$ , we let  $T = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\}$ . If we define a continuous function  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f(z) = z$ , then

$$F_\varphi(f) = \varphi$$

on  $T$ .

**Example 2** Setting  $\varphi(z) = z$  in (1.2), we have

$$F_\varphi(f) = f.$$

**Example 3** If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$\Re\{\varphi'(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then the Noshiro-Warschawski theorem implies that  $\varphi$  is univalent. Hence, by Theorem 1, we obtain

$$F_\varphi \in S_C.$$

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Yeungnam University, Gyongsan, 712-749, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Daegu National University of Education, Daegu, 705-715, Korea.

#### Acknowledgements

Dedicated to Professor Hari M Srivastava.

Received: 24 December 2012 Accepted: 7 March 2013 Published: 2 April 2013

#### References

1. Nikić, M: Koebe's and Bieberbach's inequalities in the Banach algebra of continuous functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **199**, 149-156 (1996)
2. de Branges, L: A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. *Acta Math.* **154**, 137-152 (1985)
3. Duren, PL: *Univalent Functions. A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 259. Springer, Berlin (1983)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-145

**Cite this article as:** Kim and Choi: Univalent functions in the Banach algebra of continuous functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:145.