



The Upper Triassic of northern Middle Siberia: stratigraphy and palynology

Natalya V. Ilyina & Alexander Y. Egorov

To cite this article: Natalya V. Ilyina & Alexander Y. Egorov (2008) The Upper Triassic of northern Middle Siberia: stratigraphy and palynology, *Polar Research*, 27:3, 372-392, DOI: [10.1111/j.1751-8369.2008.00083.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-8369.2008.00083.x)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-8369.2008.00083.x>



© 2008 The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis.



Published online: 16 Dec 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 14



View related articles [↗](#)



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles [↗](#)

The Upper Triassic of northern Middle Siberia: stratigraphy and palynology

Natalya V. Ilyina¹ & Alexander Y. Egorov²

¹ Institute of Geology, Komi Science Centre, Ural Division of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 54 Pervomayskaya St., RU-167982, Syktyvkar, Russia

² State Research and Production Enterprise Aerogeologiya, 8/2 Akademika Volgina St., RU-117393, Moscow, Russia

Keywords

Carnian; northern Middle Siberia; spore-pollen; Triassic palynology.

Correspondence

Natalya V. Ilyina, Institute of Geology, Komi Science Centre, Ural Division of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 54 Pervomayskaya St., RU-167982, Syktyvkar, Russia.
E-mail: ilyina@geo.komisc.ru

doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.2008.00083.x

Abstract

The Lower Carnian succession in northern Middle Siberia includes continental and marine deposits. Bivalves, nautiloids and ammonites in the marine units provide biostratigraphic control for a palynological study of three important sections. Palynomorph associations from the base of the succession include forms that have previously been reported only from Norian and Rhaetian deposits in the Tethyan and Boreal realms. This suggests that, in comparison with other areas, the palynoflora of Siberia was more uniform throughout the Late Triassic, and that the Carnian and Norian stages have a miospore assemblage that is recognizable in a wide belt through Arctic Canada and northern Eurasia.

Middle Siberia comprises the Eastern Taimyr Mesozoic troughs of the Siberian Platform and the western slope of the Verkhoyansk mega-anticlinorium (Fig. 1). Triassic rocks are distributed throughout the area and are represented by a wide range of facies, from marine to coastal and continental. Rich and diverse assemblages of brachiopods, bivalves, nautiloids, ammonoids and conodonts, as well as plant macrofossils and miospores, occur in these deposits. The abundant marine invertebrate fossils are the basis for a biostratigraphic scheme that is, at present, the most detailed from the Boreal basins (Dagys & Weitschat 1993). The Triassic succession in this area has been reviewed by Dagys & Kazakov (1984), Egorov & Mørk (2000) and Kazakov et al. (2002). The present contribution focuses on the Upper Triassic deposits of the northern part of this region.

All three Upper Triassic stages are developed in northern Middle Siberia. Carnian deposits occur throughout the study area, but those of Norian and Rhaetian age were developed, or are preserved, less extensively. Synsedimentary tectonic control defines several separate facies belts. The detailed stratigraphic chart of the Upper Triassic is based on studies of bivalves, nautiloids and ammonoids (Kazakov et al. 2002). Plant macrofossils also provide important information, and palynological data facilitate the correlation of marine and continental deposits.

Miospores from the Triassic succession in northern Middle Siberia have been studied by Kara-Murza (1951,

1958, 1960), Korotkevič (1966, 1968, 1973), Odincova (1977), Romanovskaja (1989) and Krugovyh & Mogučeva (2000). The results of palynological studies of the Carnian and Norian stages were utilized in the detailed stratigraphic chart of the Triassic of this area compiled by Kazakov et al. (2002). The exact dating of the local lithostratigraphic units has been disputed, but the age of most of the Carnian deposits is adequately controlled by invertebrate fossils. The potential of miospores for correlating the Late Triassic deposits has been investigated at three reference sections. Palynological studies of the sections at Cape Tsvetkov, near the village of Stannakh-Khocho, and at Cape Chekurovsky (Fig. 1) have attempted to integrate the results with the biostratigraphic data from the accurately dated marine units. For the Cape Tsvetkov section, the data of Romanovskaja (1989) and Krugovyh (in Krugovyh & Mogučeva 2000) have been included. These previous studies have resulted in different interpretations of the age of the formations and of the extent of the stratigraphic gaps in the Upper Triassic succession (Fig. 2).

Kazakov et al. (2002) described the Osipa, Nemtsov and Tumul formations at Cape Tsvetkov (Fig. 2). They interpreted the Osipa Formation as being of early Carnian age. The formation erosionally overlies Middle Triassic deposits, with a small stratigraphical gap. Previously, it was suggested that this gap equated approximately with the Stolleyites tenuis Zone (Dagys & Kazakov 1984).




Fig. 1 Tectonic structures in northern Middle Siberia, and location of the sections studied: (1) Cape Tsvetkov section, (2) the section near the village of Stannakh-Khocho and (3) Cape Chekurovsky section. The figure has been modified from Egorov & Mørk (2000).

Later, the presence of deposits of the tenuis Zone in the section at Cape Tsvetkov was established on the basis of finds of the bivalve *Zittelhalobia zitteli* (Kurušin 1991).

The Osipa Formation is composed of marine shales, with siltstones in the upper part: it is characterized throughout by marine invertebrate fossils that are indicative of the tenuis and “Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum zones. The Nemtsov Formation overlies the Osipa Formation conformably: its age was determined as early Carnian–early Norian (Kazakov et al. 1982; Dagis & Kazakov 1984; Kazakov & Kurušin 1992; Kazakov et al. 2002). The formation includes alternating coastal-marine, lagoonal and terrestrial sandstones, with subordinate beds of shaly siltstones and shales, and, in the upper part, coals. Marine fossils are found only in the lower part of the formation. Wood debris and plant

macrofossils are common in the upper part of the formation. Foraminifers, bivalves, nautiloids and ammonoids indicate that the base of the formation corresponds with the upper part of the omkutchanicum Zone (Kazakov et al. 2002). The Tumul Formation succeeds the Nemtsov Formation above an erosion surface. It comprises coastal marine sandstones with interbedded argillaceous siltstones in the upper part. At Cape Tsvetkov it lacks marine fossils, but a middle Norian–Rhaetian age is indicated by comparison with the formation stratotype at Cape Tumul. In the stratotype, bivalves indicative of the Middle Norian *Otapiria ussuriensis* Zone occur at the base of the formation. At 10 m above the base, bivalves indicative of the Rhaetian *Tosapecten efimovae* Zone and foraminifers are found (Dagis & Kazakov 1984; Kazakov & Kurušin 1992;




Fig. 2 Upper Triassic stratigraphy of the sections studied, comparing interpretations by Dagis & Kazakov (1984) and Kazakov et al. (2002) (columns marked 1) with interpretations by Egorov & Mørk (2000) and the present study (columns marked 2).

Kazakov et al. 2002). The formation is overlain by Lower Jurassic deposits.

In the section near the village of Stannakh-Khocho, the Osipa, Chaidakh and Tumul formations are all present. Here, the tenuis Zone is missing at the base of the Osipa Formation, and the Chaidakh Formation is largely a spatial and time equivalent of the Nemtsov Formation; the Tumul Formation consists only of deposits of presumed Rhaetian age, equivalent to its upper part at Cape Tsvetkov (Dagis & Kazakov 1984; Kazakov et al. 2002). At Cape Chekurovsky, only the Osipa and Chaidakh formations are present. The tenuis Zone is missing at the base of the Osipa Formation, and the Chaidakh Formation consists only of deposits of Carnian age (Dagis & Kazakov 1984; Kazakov et al. 2002).

In the present study a different interpretation of the stratigraphy in these sections—that of Egorov & Mørk (2000)—has been adopted (Fig. 2). At Cape Tsvetkov (Fig. 3), marine, coastal marine, lagoonal and continental terrigenous deposits of the Osipa and Nemtsov formations are exposed, but the Tumul Formation has not been found. The Osipa Formation contains brachiopods, bivalves, nautiloids and ammonoids indicative of an Early Carnian age, but there is no evidence of the presence of the complete tenuis Zone. In the section near the village of Stannakh-Khocho, the Osipa and Chaidakh formations are present. At Cape Chekurovsky, the exposed but condensed Ebitiem Formation contains fauna assigned to the early Carnian omkutchanicum Zone, and presumably to the seimkanense Zone (Figs. 3–5).


The Upper Triassic deposits in the three sections studied (Fig. 2) are thought to represent an entire second-order Carnian transgressive–regressive cycle (Egorov & Mørk 2000). The Osipa Formation and the lower part of the Ebitiem Formation represent the basal transgressive part of this sequence. The Nemtsov and Chaidakh formations conformably overlie the Osipa Formation: they are much thicker, and, with the upper part of the Ebitiem Formation, represent the regressive part of the sequence. Lower Jurassic deposits rest on an erosion surface above these formations (Egorov & Mørk 2000).

Palaeobotany

Plant megafossils occur irregularly in the Upper Triassic of northern Middle Siberia, and have only been found in the Osipa and Nemtsov formations at Cape Tsvetkov.

The sandstones in the Osipa Formation contain wood debris, and stem and rhizome remains of equisetalean plants; the calcareous concretions contain the remains of equisetaleans (*Schizoneura grandifolia* Kryshchovitch & Prynada) and ferns (*Danaeopsis* sp.).

A rich and diverse macroflora occurs in the upper part of the Nemtsov Formation; according to Krugoviyh & Mogucheva (2000) and Kazakov et al. (2002), this is dominated by the fern *Cladophlebis* (represented by 14 species) and the conifers *Podozamites* and *Yuccites* (represented by three and four species, respectively). The remainder of the flora comprises remains of equisetalean plants (*Annulariopsis inopinata* Zeiller, *Neocalamites carrerei* [Zeiller] Halle), ferns (*Dictyophyllum*



sp. and *Kugartenia irregularis* Sixel), peltasperms (*Rhaphidopteris* sp. and *Scytophyllum pinnatum* [Sixel] Dobruskina), cycadophytes (*Sphenozamites surakaicus* Prynada and *Taeniopteris* sp.) and ginkgophytes (*Czekanowskia mogutchevae* Kiritchkova & Samylina).

The plants in this assemblage are characteristic of the Late Triassic flora of the Siberian palaeofloristic region, and indicate that moderately warm, humid conditions

occurred at that time. The macroflora is only indicative of a general Late Triassic age (Dagis & Kazakov 1984; Mogučeva 1991, 1996; Krugovyh & Mogučeva 2000; Kazakov et al. 2002). However, according to the revised stratigraphy of the Cape Tsvetkov section (Egorov & Mørk 2000), the beds of the Nemtsov Formation containing the macroflora are of a later Carnian, post-seimkanense Zone, age (Fig. 2).




Fig. 4 Litho- and biostratigraphy, lithology and sample levels for the section near the village of Stannakh-Khocho. Legend as in Fig. 3.




Fig. 5 Litho- and biostratigraphy, lithology and sample levels for the section at Cape Chekurovsky. Legend as in Fig. 3.

Palynology

Miospores occur in samples from all three of the sections studied. Specimens in different states of preservation have been recovered from deposits of the tenuis and omkutchanicum zones, and also from overlying beds that are devoid of marine fossils, but which may be referable to the seimkanense Zone.

At the Cape Tsvetkov section, samples were collected from the part of the Osipa Formation referred to the tenuis Zone, but only one sample yielded miospores (Fig. 3). Sixteen samples from the sections near the village of Stannakh-Khocho and Cape Chekurovsky represent the omkutchanicum Zone and the above-lying bed, lacking fauna, but referred to the seimkanense Zone (Figs. 4, 5). Five of the samples yielded diverse palynofloras. The palynological associations are of nearly the same composition, and, for practical purposes, have been regarded as one assemblage. Within this assemblage this paper distinguishes four stratigraphic groups of miospores, based on correlation with independently dated Triassic successions (Jarošenko 1978; Fisher 1979; Visscher & Brugman 1981; Van der Eem 1983; Hochuli et al. 1989; Vigran et al. 1998).

The first group (Table 1) comprises taxa that range throughout the Triassic: the quantitative distribution of the main groups of these taxa is shown in Fig. 6.

The reason for assigning some of the taxa to this long-ranging category is as follows: spores of the genus *Polycingulatisporites* are characteristic of the Upper Triassic, and are also widely distributed in Jurassic and Cretaceous sediments. However, in northern Eurasia, in the Finnmark Platform, their first occurrence is in the basal Triassic (Mangerud 1994). They are also a characteristic component of the *Pechorosporites disertus* assemblage, which is of presumed Late Griesbachian–Dienerian age, in the Timan–northern Urals region (Jarošenko et al. 1991). In northern Middle Siberia, species of *Polycingulatisporites* are present up to the top of the Olenekian (Il’ina 2001), and their next main record is in the Upper Triassic. *Camarozonosporites rudis* and *Lycopodiacidites kuepperi* occur almost everywhere in the Upper Triassic. They are placed in the long-ranging group because, in northern Eurasia, their first appearance is in the Upper Olenekian, and they are consistently present in Middle Triassic assemblages (Jarošenko et al. 1991; Mangerud & Rømuld 1991; Vigran et al. 1998; Il’ina 2001); *L. kuepperi* is also recorded from the Spathian in Arctic Canada (Fisher 1979). The smooth triangular spores

Table 1 Distribution chart of miospores with wide stratigraphic ranges recorded from the Carnian in northern Middle Siberia. Compilation of palynological data from (1) Romanovskaja (1989), (2) Krugoviyh in Krugoviyh & Mogučeva (2000) and (3) this study. Taxa set in bold comprise miospore group 1 of this study.

Stolleyites tenuis Zone		“Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum Zone		Beds referred to the Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense Zone		Nemtsov Formation, upper part with plant megafossils		Taxa
1	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	
					•			<i>Verrucosisporites applanatus</i>
			•		•			<i>Verrucosisporites narmianus</i>
•			•		•			<i>Cyclotriletes oligogranifer</i>
•					•			<i>Cyclotriletes triassicus</i>
			•					<i>Nevesisporites fossulatus</i>
•	•	•	•	•	•		•	<i>Nevesisporites limatulus</i>
					•			<i>Nevesisporites macrogranulatus</i>
	•				•			<i>Nevesisporites pokrovskajae</i>
		•		•				<i>Discisporites psilatus</i>
		•						<i>Aratrisporites coryliseminis</i>
			•		•			<i>Aratrisporites fischeri</i>
					•			<i>Aratrisporites flexibilis</i>
		•	•		•			<i>Aratrisporites granulatus</i>
			•		•			<i>Aratrisporites paenulatus</i>
					•			<i>Aratrisporites palettiae</i>
•			•					<i>Aratrisporites paraspinosus</i>
			•					<i>Aratrisporites parvispinosus</i>
			•		•			<i>Aratrisporites scabratus</i>
•					•			<i>Aratrisporites virgatus</i>
								<i>Spinotriletes echinoides</i>
	•				•			<i>Apiculatisporis parvispinosus</i>
			•			•		<i>Anapiculatisporites spiniger</i>
			•	•	•		•	<i>Anapiculatisporites telephorus</i>
			•		•			<i>Carnisporites mesozoicus</i>
					•			<i>Todisporites major</i>
			•		•			<i>Todisporites minor</i>
					•			<i>Camptotriletes cerebriformis</i>
			•					<i>Polycingulatisporites cf. circulus</i>
			•		•			<i>Polycingulatisporites crenulatus</i>
					•			<i>Polycingulatisporites dejerseyi</i>
	•		•		•			<i>Polycingulatisporites densatus</i>
•			•	•	•		•	<i>Lycopodiacidites kuepperi</i>
•			•	•	•		•	<i>Camarozonosporites rudis</i>
•				•			•	<i>Osmundacidites senectus</i>
	•		•	•	•	•	•	<i>Dictyophyllidites mortoni</i>
			•			•		<i>Dictyophyllum nilssoni</i>
	•		•		•	•		<i>Dictyophyllum rugosum</i>
	•		•	•	•	•	•	<i>Dictyophyllum vulgare</i>
	•		•	•	•		•	<i>Concavisporites crassexinius</i>
	•		•		•			<i>Concavisporites toralis</i>
					•			<i>Auritulinasporites scanicus</i>
•					•			<i>Cyathidites coniopteroides</i>
•			•					<i>Cyathidites nigrans</i>
					•			<i>Cyathidites triangularis</i>
	•		•		•	•		<i>Alisporites australis</i>
	•		•		•			<i>Alisporites landianus</i>
				•			•	<i>Alisporites grauvogeli</i>
			•	•				<i>Alisporites cf. grauvogeli</i>
				•				<i>Alisporites magnus</i>
			•		•			<i>Alisporites parvus</i>

Table 1 Continued

Stolleyites tenuis Zone		“Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum Zone		Beds referred to the Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense Zone		Nemtsov Formation, upper part with plant megafossils		Taxa
1	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	
			•					<i>Alisporites</i> cf. <i>parvus</i>
			•					<i>Alisporites</i> <i>perlucidus</i>
			•					<i>Alisporites</i> cf. <i>aequalis</i>
			•					<i>Alisporites</i> cf. <i>cymbatus</i>
•	•		•					<i>Platysaccus</i> <i>queenslandi</i>
		•						<i>Platysaccus</i> <i>niger</i>
			•	•				<i>Platysaccus</i> <i>leschiki</i>
			•					<i>Falcisporites</i> <i>stabilis</i>
			•					<i>Falcisporites</i> <i>snopkovae</i>
			•					<i>Falcisporites</i> cf. <i>snopkovae</i>
			•					<i>Chordasporites</i> <i>singulichorda</i>
•		•	•					<i>Chordasporites</i> cf. <i>volziaformis</i>
			•					<i>Chordasporites</i> <i>australiensis</i>
•		•	•					<i>Sulcatisporites</i> <i>institatus</i>
			•					<i>Sulcatisporites</i> <i>kraeuseli</i>
			•	•				<i>Striatoabieites</i> <i>aytugii</i>
			•	•				<i>Striatoabieites</i> <i>balmei</i>
			•					<i>Striatoabieites</i> <i>multistriatus</i>
			•					<i>Cordaitina</i> <i>gunyalensis</i>
	•		•				•	<i>Vitreisporites</i> <i>pallidus</i>
•	•	•	•	•				<i>Vitreisporites</i> <i>reductus</i>
			•	•				<i>Ginkgocycadophytus</i>
		•						<i>Gnetaceaepollenites</i> <i>steevesi</i>




Fig. 6 Quantitative distribution of the miospore genera with wide stratigraphic ranges recorded from the Carnian in northern Middle Siberia.

of *Concavisporites*, *Cyathidites*, *Deltoidospora* and *Dictyophyllidites* may also be included in the long-ranging group (Kručinina & Romanovskaja 1980). In northern Middle Siberia, sparse representatives of these genera occur in the

Lower Triassic. In the Middle Triassic their species diversity increases, and in the Ladinian their abundance also increases sharply, up to 27% in some assemblages. In the Upper Triassic they comprise 25–48% of the spores present.

Table 2 Distribution chart of miospores ranging from the Middle Triassic to the Carnian in northern Middle Siberia. Compilation of palynological data from (1) Romanovskaja (1989), (2) Krugoviyh in Krugoviyh & Mogučeva (2000) and (3) this study. Taxa set in bold comprise miospore group 2 of this study.

Stolleyites tenuis Zone		“Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum Zone		Beds referred to the Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense Zone		Nemtsov Formation, upper part with plant megafossils		Taxa
1	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	
			•	•	•		•	Duplexisporites gyratus
•			•		•			<i>Duplexisporites scanicus</i>
			•		•			Duplexisporites problematicus
•			•					<i>Duplexisporites toratus</i>
			•		•			Baculatisporites baculatus
			•		•			Baculatisporites comaumensis
			•		•			Baculatisporites verus
	•		•		•			Converrucosisporites cameroni
			•					Converrucosisporites conferteornatus
			•					Converrucosisporites luebbenensis
					•		•	Concentricisporites nevesi
	•	•	•		•			Microcahyridites doubingeri
•	•		•		•			Microcahyridites sittleri
			•		•			Microcahyridites fastidiosus
			•		•	•	•	Minutosaccus potonie
	•		•		•			Minutosaccus schizeatus
	•		•					Protodiploxypinus gracilis
						•	•	<i>Brachysaccus neomundanus</i>
			•		•			Florinites pseudostriatus
	•		•		•			Florinites walchius
•			•		•			<i>Latosaccus latus</i>
•			•		•			Podocarpidites keuperianus
•			•		•	•		Voltziaceasporites heteromorpha
			•		•			Voltziaceasporites cf. globosus
							•	<i>Triadispora aurea</i>
•		•					•	<i>Triadispora crassa</i>
		•		•				<i>Triadispora staplini</i>
				•				<i>Triadispora falcata</i>
				•				<i>Triadispora obscura</i>
•					•			Stellapollenites thiergartii

The second group (Table 2) comprises taxa that are common constituents of Middle Triassic assemblages, and range upwards from that level. Their quantitative distribution is shown in Fig. 7. The pollen *Florinites pseudostriatus* (Fig. 8.4) and *Florinites walchius* (Fig. 9.26) were described from Upper Triassic deposits of western Kazakhstan in 1963 (Kopytova 1963: 65–69, pl. III, figs. 1–6). In Europe, such forms have been recorded as *Illinites chitonoides* Klaus, 1964, which is considered here to be a junior synonym of *F. pseudostriatus*.

The third group of miospores comprises taxa that have their earliest records at different levels in the Anisian and Ladinian of northern Eurasia, and range into the Upper Triassic (Table 3, Figs. 10, 11).

The fourth group of miospores comprises taxa considered to appear at different stages of the Late Triassic, and requires a revision of views concerning the appearance

levels. The miospore associations apparently marking the beginning of the Carnian, Norian and Rhaetian stages (Table 4) are based on data from Europe, Arctic Canada and the Barents Sea (Schulz 1967; Morbey 1975; Bjærke 1977; Bjærke & Manum 1977; Lund 1977; Schuurman 1977, 1979; Fisher 1979; Visscher & Brugman 1981; Van der Eem 1983; Hochuli et al. 1989; Warrington et al. 1995; Warrington 1996, 1997; Hochuli & Frank 2000, 2006; Roghi 2004).

Carnian: *Kraeuselisporites reissingeri*, *Kyrtomispors gracilis*, *Kyrtomispors laevigatus* and *Zebrasporites corneolus*, and the pollen *Corollina meyeriana*, *Granuloperculatipollis rudis*, *Lagenella martinii*, *Lunatisporites rhaeticus*, *Paracirculina maljawkinae*, *Paracirculina quadruplicis*, *Ricciisporites tuberculatus* and *Vallasporites ignacii*, and perhaps *Duplicisporites dispersitius*.

Norian: *Cingulizonates rhaeticus*, *Kyrtomispors speciosus*, *Limboisporites lundbladii*, *Semiretisporis gothae*, *Trianco-*




Fig. 7 Quantitative distribution of Middle Triassic genera, which range into the Carnian in northern Middle Siberia.

Fig. 8 Sample Tsv. 99g-91 is from the Stolleyites tenuis Zone from the section at Cape Tsvetkov. Samples 507-62 and 507-62a are from the “Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum Zone, and samples 507-67 and 507-68 are from the beds referred to the Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense Zone. All the aforementioned samples are from the section near the village of Stannakh-Khocho. Sample 502-43 is from the “Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum Zone from the section at Cape Chekurovsky. (1) Lunatisporites rhaeticus, sample 507-68. (2) Ovalipollis lunzensis, sample 507-62a. (3) Samaropollenites speciosus, sample Tsv. 99g-91. (4) Florinites pseudostratus, sample 502-43. (5) Cordaitina gunyalensis, sample 507-62. (8.6) Plicatisaccus badius, sample Tsv. 99g-91. (7) Chasmatosporites hians, sample 507-68. (8) Patinasporites densus, sample 507-67. (9) Praecirculina sp., sample 507-67. (10) Paracirculina cf. quadruplicis, sample 507-67. (11) Alete folded body, sample 507-62a. (12) Chasmatosporites apertus, sample 507-62a. (13) Microcachrydites sittleri, sample 507-62. (14) Vallasporites ignacii, sample 507-68. (15) Minutosaccus potonie, sample 507-68. (16) Camerosporites secatus, sample 507-62a. (17) Eucommiidites sp., sample 502-43. (18) Accinctisporites cf. ligatus, sample 507-68. (19) Microcachrydites doubingeri, sample 507-62a. (20) Minutosaccus sp., sample 507-67. (21) Microcachrydites with four sacci, sample 507-68. (22) Protodiploxypinus gracilis, sample 502-43. (23) Micrhystridium breve, sample 502-43. (24) Micrhystridium cf. setasessitante, sample 502-43. (25) Wilsonastrum colonicum, sample Tsv. 99g-91.


raesporites ancorae and Zebrasporites laevigatus, and the pollen Rhaetipollis germanicus.

Rhaetian: Semiretisporis maljawkinae, Triancoraesporites reticulatus and perhaps Camarozonosporites golzowensis and Retitriteles semimuris (Table 4).

The view that this sucession of Late Triassic palynomorphs is universal is contradicted by the data from northern Middle Siberia (Table 5). “Norian–Rhaetian” indicators like Camarozonosporites cf. golzowensis, K. speciosus and S. gothae are all found in the basal Carnian (i.e., in the tenuis Zone). The following taxa are also present in the omkutchanicum and seimkanense zones: C. cf. golzowensis, L. lundbladii, R. semimuris, R. germanicus, S. gothae and Z. laevigatus, together with other spores, including Camarozonosporites laevigatus, K. laevigatus, Lycopodiacidites rugulatus, Tigrisporites halleinis, Zebrasporites interscriptus and Zebrasporites kahleri, and pollen including D. dispersi-

tus, L. rhaeticus, Paracirculina cf. quadruplicis, Patinasporites densus, R. tuberculatus and V. ignacii (Table 5).

In the uppermost part of the sections studied, the abundant osmundaceous fern spores may comprise up to 19% of the miospores. Most samples have also yielded acritarchs and algae, including Baltisphaeridium sp., Cymatiosphaera sp., Micrhystridium breve, Micrhystridium cf. inconspicuum, Micrhystridium cf. setasessitante, Micrhystridium triassicum and Pterospermopsimorpha sp. Reworked Early Triassic and older miospores include common Crustaesporites globosus, Klausipollenites sp., Kraeuselisporites apiculatus, Kraeuselisporites cuspidus, Lundbladisporea willmottii, Pechorosporites coronatus, Punctatisporites fungosus, Taeniaesporites noviaulensis, Taeniaesporites novimundi, Taeniaesporites pellucidus and the algae Tympanicysta stoschiana and Wilsonastrum colonicum.






Fig. 9 Sample Tsv. 99g-91 is from the *Stolleyites tenuis* Zone from the section at Cape Tsvetkov. Samples 507-62 and 507-62a are from the “*Protrachyceras*” omkutchanicum Zone, and sample 507-67 is from the beds referred to the *Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense* Zone. All of the aforementioned samples are from the section near the village of Stannakh-Khocho. Sample 502-43 is from the “*Protrachyceras*” omkutchanicum Zone from the section at Cape Chekurovsky. (1) *Camarozonosporites rudis*, sample 507-67. (2) *Camarozonosporites laevigatus*, sample 507-67. (3) *Camarozonosporites* cf. *golzowensis*, sample 507-62a. (4) *Retitriteles semimuris*, sample 502-43. (5) *Kyrtomisporis speciosus*, sample Tsv. 99g-91. (6) *Kyrtomisporis laevigatus*, sample Tsv. 99g-91. (7) *Deltoidospora* sp., sample 507-67. (8) *Zebrasporites* sp., sample 507-67. (9) *Zebrasporites laevigatus*, sample 507-67. (10) *Tigrisporites halleinis*, sample 507-62a. (11) *Kyrtomisporis* sp., sample Tsv. 99g-91. (12) *Concavisporites* cf. *kaiseri*, sample Tsv. 99g-91. (13) *Auritulinasporites scanicus*, sample 507-67. (14) *Styxisporites cooksonae*, sample 507-67. (15) *Lycopodiadites kuepperi*, sample 507-62a. (16) *Lycopodiumsporites* sp., sample 507-67. (17) *Cyathidites coniopteroides*, sample 507-67. (18) *Concavisporites crassexinius*, sample 502-43. (19) *Dictyophyllidites mortoni*, sample 507-62a. (20 and 21) *Annulispota cicatricosa*, sample 507-67. (22) *Dictyophyllum rugosum*, sample 507-67. (23) *Concavisporites juriensis*, sample 507-62a. (24) *Dictyophyllum vulgare*, sample Tsv. 99g-91. (25) *Phlebopteris* type, sample 507-67. (26) *Florinites walchius*, sample 502-43. (27) *Limbosporites lundbladii*, sample 507-62. (28 and 29) *Semiretisporis gothae*, sample 507-62a. (30) *Lundbladispota denmeadi*, sample 507-67.




Fig. 10 Quantitative distribution of miospores that appear during the Anisian and Ladinian in northern Eurasia, and that range into the Carnian in northern Middle Siberia.

The presence of “Norian–Rhaetian” miospores in the Upper Triassic, Carnian, deposits at Cape Tsvetkov is consistent with the results of the earlier palynological studies (Romanovskaja 1989; Krugovyh & Mogučeva 2000), when considering that those authors used the stratigraphic scheme proposed by Dagis & Kazakov (1984) (Fig. 2).

Romanovskaja (1989) recorded three assemblages from the Upper Triassic at Cape Tsvetkov—two from the Osipa Formation and one from the Nemtsov Formation—but the number of productive samples studied was not specified. The miospores identified, and their stratigraphic distribution, are illustrated in Tables 1–3 and 5, which show the consistency between the content of the three assemblages documented by

Romanovskaja (1989) and the palynofloras recorded in the present study. Romanovskaja’s three assemblages include the four groups of miospores recognized in the present study: (1) species with a wide stratigraphic range (Table 1); (2) species that are major constituents of Middle Triassic assemblages, but range into the Upper Triassic (Table 2); (3) species that appear at different levels in the Anisian and Ladinian, and range into the Upper Triassic (Table 3); and (4) species restricted to the Upper Triassic (Table 5).

The oldest of the three assemblages recognized by Romanovskaja (1989) is from the tenuis Zone: although specimens are not abundant, the assemblage is fairly diverse. The most common miospores are *Duplexisporites scanicus*, *Duplexisporites toratus*, *Ginkgocycadophytus* and *L.*

Table 3 Distribution chart of miospores that appear during the Anisian and Ladinian, and that range into the Carnian in northern Middle Siberia. Compilation of palynological data from (1) Romanovskaja (1989), (2) Krugovyh in Krugovyh & Mogučeva (2000) and (3) this study. Taxa set in bold comprise miospore group 3 of this study.

Stolleyites tenuis Zone		"Protrachyceras" omkutchanicum Zone		Beds referred to the Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense Zone		Nemtsov Formation, upper part with plant megafossils		Taxa
1	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	
			•		•			Styxisporites cooksonae
					•			Lundbladispota denmeadi
				•				<i>Zebrasporites interscriptus</i>
			•		•			Zebrasporites kahleri
					•			Punctatisporites leighensis
		•		•			•	<i>Annulispota microannulata</i>
				•			•	<i>Annulispota folliculosa</i>
			•		•			Annulispota cicatricosa
			•	•	•			Stereisporites perforatus
			•		•			Taurocusporites sp. A
					•			Convolutispota cf. microrugulata
			•					Convolutispota sp. A
			•	•	•			Uvaeisporites cf. argenteaeformis
			•	•	•			Polypodiisporites ipsviciensis
			•		•	•	•	Punctatisporites walkomi
			•					Leschikisporites aduncus
			•					Apiculatisporis globosus
			•					Anaplanisporites echinatus
•				•				<i>Porcellispota longdonensis</i>
•	•	•	•		•		•	Neoraistrickia taylora
		•	•		•			Polypodites cladophleboides
			•					Acanthotriletes ilekensis
			•		•			Granulatisporites asper
•								<i>Asterisporites slewecensis</i>
•								<i>Globulisporites primus</i>
					•			Lophotriletes bauchinae
					•			Apiculatisporis lentus
	•				•			Type Phlebopteris
•								<i>Mesostriatites hercynicus</i>
•								<i>Schizosaccus keuperi</i>
	•							Plicatisaccus badius
					•			Protodiploxypinus lacertosus
					•			Accinctisporites cf. ligatus
					•			Heliosaccus dimorphus
			•	•	•			Ovalipollis pseudoalatus
			•					Ovalipollis lunsensis
							•	<i>Ovalipollis cultus</i>
			•		•			Chasmatisporites apertus
			•		•			Chasmatisporites hians
				•			•	<i>Quadraeculina anellaeformis</i>
			•	•	•		•	Camerosporites secatus
					•		•	Praecirculina granifer
			•		•			Enzonalasporites vigens
			•					Enzonalasporites sp. A
							•	<i>Duplicisporites granulatus</i>
							•	<i>Classopollis</i> sp.

Table 4 Miospores, the appearance of which, from published sources, appear to mark the beginning of the Carnian, Norian and Rhaetian stages.

Carnian	Norian	Rhaetian
<i>Corollina meyeriana</i>	<i>Cingulizonates rhaeticus</i>	<i>Camarozonosporites golzowensis</i>
<i>Duplicisporites dispertitus</i>	<i>Kyrtomisoris speciosus</i>	<i>Retitriteles semimuris</i>
<i>Granuloperculatipollis rudis</i>	<i>Limbosporites lundbladii</i>	<i>Semiretisporis maljavkinae</i>
<i>Kraeuselisporites reissingeri</i>	<i>Rhaetipollis germanicus</i>	<i>Triancoraesporites reticulatus</i>
<i>Kyrtomisoris gracilis</i>	<i>Semiretisporis gothae</i>	
<i>Kyrtomisoris laevigatus</i>	<i>Triancoraesporites ancorae</i>	
<i>Lagenella martinii</i>	<i>Zebrasporites laevigatus</i>	
<i>Lunatisporites rhaeticus</i>		
<i>Paracirculina maljavkinae</i>		
<i>Paracirculina quadruplicis</i>		
<i>Ricciisporites tuberculatus</i>		
<i>Vallasporites ignacii</i>		
<i>Zebrasporites corneolus</i>		

Table 5 Distribution chart of selected miospores in the Upper Triassic in northern Middle Siberia. Compilation of palynological data from (1) Romanovskaja (1989), (2) Krugovyh in Krugovyh & Mogučeva (2000) and (3) this study. Taxa set in bold comprise miospore group 4 of this study.

Stolleyites tenuis Zone		"Protrachyceras" omkutchanicum Zone		Beds referred to the Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense Zone		Nemtsov Formation, upper part with plant megafossils		Taxa
1	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	
•	•		•					Semiretisporis gothae
	•	•	•			•		Kyrtomisoris laevigatus
•	•					•	•	Kyrtomisoris speciosus
•		•		•		•	•	<i>Cingulizonates rhaeticus</i>
				•				<i>Cingulizonates tuberosus</i>
				•				<i>Cingulizonates bulbifera</i>
•			•		•			Limbosporites lundbladii
					•			Zebrasporites laevigatus
•					•			Lycopodiacidites rugulatus
•								<i>Triancoraesporites reticulatus</i>
			•					Retitriteles semimuris
					•			Camarozonosporites laevigatus
	•		•		•			Camarozonosporites cf. golzowensis
					•			Convolutispora cf. microfoveolata
					•			Polypodiisporites polymicroforatus
			•					Ischyosporites cf. marburgensis
					•			Klukisporites cf. granosifenestellatus
			•					Tigrisporites halleinis
	•		•		•			Concavisporites juriensis
	•				•			Concavisporites cf. kaiseri
			•	•	•			Lunatisporites rhaeticus
			•					Callialasporites dampieri
	•		•					Vallasporites ignacii
					•			Paracirculina cf. quadruplicis
						•		<i>Chasmatosporites elegans</i>
							•	<i>Chasmatosporites major</i>
						•		<i>Chasmatosporites minor</i>
				•			•	<i>Corollina meyeriana</i>
•								<i>Corollina torosus</i>
							•	<i>Ricciisporites tuberculatus</i>
			•		•			Pseudenzonalasporites summus
					•			Patinasporites densus
							•	<i>Patinasporites funiculus</i>
					•			Duplicisporites dispertitus
					•			Rhaetipollis germanicus




Fig. 11 Sample 507-62a is from the “Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum Zone, and samples 507-67 and 507-68 are from the beds referred to the Neoprotrachyceras seimkanense Zone. All the aforementioned samples are from the section near the village of Stannakh-Khocho. Sample 502-43 is from the “Protrachyceras” omkutchanicum Zone from the section at Cape Chekurovsky. (1) *Duplexisporites gyratus*, sample 502-43. (2) *Convolutispora* cf. *microrugulata*, sample 507-67. (3) *Uvaesporites* cf. *argenteaeformis*, sample 502-43. (4) *Polypodiisporites* sp., sample 507-67. (5) *Aratrisporites fischeri*, sample 507-62a. (6) *Converrucosporites* sp. 3 “chagrenate”, sample 507-62a. (7) *Converrucosporites cameroni*, sample 507-68. (8) *Granulatisporites asper*, sample 507-62a. (9) *Acanthotriletes ilekensis*, sample 507-62a. (10) *Concentricisporites nevesi*, sample 507-68. (11) *Todisporites minor*, sample 507-68. (12) *Converrucosporites* sp. 5 “granulatus”, sample 507-67. (13) *Converrucosporites luebbenensis*, sample 507-62a. (14) *Neoraistrickia taylori*, sample 507-62a. (15) *Baculatisporites comaumensis*, sample 507-67. (16) *Camptotriletes cerebriiformis*, sample 507-67. (17) *Carnisporites mesozoicus*, sample 507-67. (18) *Stereisporites perforatus*, sample 507-67. (19) *Anapiculatisporites telephorus*, sample 507-67. (20) *Leschikisporites aduncus*, sample 507-62a. (21) *Nevesisporites pokrovskajae*, sample 507-68. (22) *Polycingulatisporites dejerseyi*, sample 507-67. (23) *Polycingulatisporites densatus*, sample 507-62a. (24) *Converrucosporites* sp. 2 “smooth”, sample 507-62a. (25) *Converrucosporites conferteornatus*, sample 507-62a. (26) *Apiculatisporis parvispinosus*, sample 507-67. (27) *Anapiculatisporites spiniger*, sample 507-62a. (28) *Nevesisporites macrogranulatus*, sample 507-67. (29) *Nevesisporites limatulus*, sample 507-67.

kuepperi, and sometimes *S. gothae*. The second assemblage is from the omkutchanicum Zone, and is poorer than the first in terms of spore diversity and the abundance of pollen. *Annulispora microannulata*, *Ginkgocycadophytus* and *Gnetaceaepollenites steevesi* are quantitatively prominent. Both assemblages include acritarchs, and their ages are constrained as Carnian by the associated marine faunas (Romanovskaja 1989). The third and youngest assemblage is not stratigraphically constrained in the same way: it was recovered from a part of the Nemtsov Formation that lacks age-conclusive faunal evidence, but has been provisionally regarded as Norian (Dagis & Kazakov 1984). Species diversity is even poorer than that from the omkutchanicum Zone: representatives of *Dictyophyllum* and *Kyrtomisporis* dominate.

The fourth group of miospores, comprising taxa restricted to the Late Triassic, is important because of the “Norian–Rhaetian” elements present. In the assemblage from the tenuis Zone, Romanovskaja (1989) recognized five Norian–Rhaetian taxa (*C. rhaeticus*, *K. speciosus*, *L. lundbladii*, *S. gothae* and *T. reticulatus*); *C. rhaeticus* also occurs in the second and third assemblages (from the omkutchanicum Zone and the Nemtsov Formation, respectively), and *K. speciosus* occurs in the third assemblage (Romanovskaja 1989).

Krugovyh studied 19 samples from the Nemtsov Formation of the Cape Tsvetkov section, and recognized two palynological assemblages: VIII and IX (Krugovyh & Mogučeva 2000). Assemblage VIII was recovered from the omkutchanicum Zone and beds correlated with the seimkanense Zone, and, on this basis, is assigned a Carnian age. Assemblage IX characterizes the plant-bearing highest part of the Nemtsov Formation, which lacks conclusive faunal evidence of age. Krugovyh followed the Upper Triassic stratigraphic scheme of Dagis & Kazakov (1984), and adopted a Norian age for this part of the formation.

Assemblages VIII and IX of Krugovyh (in Krugovyh & Mogučeva 2000), although not diverse, include miospores from each of the four groups recognized in this study (Tables 1–3, 5); dominant forms are *Annulispora*, *Duplexisporites* and a group of smooth triangular spores. Most samples also yielded reworked acritarchs (*Veryhiachium* sp. and *Michrhystridium* sp.) and Upper Palaeozoic miospores. Krugovyh recorded *C. rhaeticus* in the omkutchanicum and seimkanense zones, and *K. speciosus* in the highest part of the Nemtsov Formation. Krugovyh’s assemblage IX accordingly corresponds with the third assemblage of Romanovskaja (1989).

In the first three Upper Triassic miospore groups the records of Romanovskaja and Krugovyh reveal that the species content is consistent throughout the interval studied. We therefore consider it practical to combine the three assemblages of Romanovskaja (1989) and the two of Krugovyh (in Krugovyh & Mogučeva 2000) into one that characterizes the whole Upper Triassic. The appearance of a large number of Norian–Rhaetian taxa as early as the tenuis Zone, and their development in the omkutchanicum Zone and beds correlated with the seimkanense Zone, cast doubt on the correlated Norian age of the assemblage from the upper part of the Nemtsov Formation.

The present study of the sections near the village of Stannakh-Khocho and Cape Chekurovsky recorded a richer miospore assemblage that expands the previous characteristics of the Siberian Late Triassic palynoflora (Romanovskaja 1989; Krugovyh & Mogučeva 2000). The list of “Norian” species present in an independently dated early Carnian assemblage includes *C. rhaeticus*, *K. speciosus*, *L. lundbladii*, *R. germanicus*, *S. gothae* and *Z. laevigatus*, but not *T. ancorae*. Also present are the “Rhaetian” species *C. cf. golzowensis*, *R. semimuris* and *T. reticulatus*. These results suggest that the boundary between the Carnian and Norian stages in the Boreal basin cannot be recognized on the basis of palynology (Tables 1–3, 5).

Conclusions

In the present study of sections in northern Middle Siberia, miospores that are considered to be indicative of the Norian or Rhaetian stages have been found to appear in beds dated by marine invertebrates as Carnian. These records alter the stratigraphic range of these miospores, which are now considered as components of a group of taxa that characterizes the whole of the Late Triassic. The Carnian palynoflora from northern Middle Siberia seems more uniform than that in other regions. Therefore, global correlation by miospores may be possible only at the level of the Upper Triassic as a whole, through recognition of a unified palynological assemblage. For more detailed subdivision, the priority should be given to marine biota.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Organizing Committee for the invitation to attend the Boreal Triassic Conference, and for supporting their participation. Atle Mørk and Geoffrey Warrington are thanked for fruitful discussions, and many important suggestions on earlier versions of this paper; critical comments by Michael Shishkin in the initial stages of the work are also acknowledged. Appreciation is expressed to Peter Hochuli, Atle Mørk, Gunn Mangerud, Guido Roghi, Jorunn Os Vigran and Geoffrey Warrington, and many other colleagues, for help with the literature. We particularly thank Geoffrey Warrington for reviewing this manuscript.

References

- Bjærke T. 1977. Mesozoic palynology of Svalbard II. Palynomorphs from the Mesozoic sequence of Kong Karls Land. *Norsk Polarinstitutt Årbok* 1976, 83–120.
- Bjærke T. & Manum S.B. 1977. *Mesozoic palynology of Svalbard. I. The Rhaetian of Hopen, with preliminary report on the Rhaetian and Jurassic of Kong Karls Land. Skrifter* 165. Oslo: Norwegian Polar Institute.
- Dagis [Dagys] A.S. & Kazakov A.M. 1984. *Stratigrafija, litologija i cikličnost' triasovyh otloženij severa Srednej Sibiri. (Stratigraphy, lithology and cycles of sedimentation of the Triassic deposits of northern Middle Siberia.)* Novosibirsk: Nauka.
- Dagys A. & Weitschat W. 1993. Correlation of the Boreal Triassic. *Mitteilungen Geologisch-Paläontologisches Institut Universität Hamburg* 75, 249–256.
- Egorov A.Y. & Mørk A. 2000. The East Siberian and Svalbard Triassic successions and their sequence stratigraphical relationships. *Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie, Teil 1*, 1377–1430.
- Fisher M.J. 1979. The Triassic palynofloral succession in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. *American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Contribution Series* 5B, 83–100.
- Hochuli P.A., Colin J.P. & Vigran J.O. 1989. Triassic biostratigraphy of the Barents Sea area. In J.D. Collinson (ed.): *Correlation in hydrocarbon exploration*. Pp. 131–153. London: Graham & Trotman.
- Hochuli P.A. & Frank S.M. 2000. Palynology (dinoflagellate cysts, spore-pollen) and stratigraphy of the Lower Carnian Raibl Group in the Eastern Swiss Alps. *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae* 93, 429–443.
- Hochuli P.A. & Frank S.M. 2006. Palynomorphe und organisches Material aus den Raibler Schichten einer oberostalpinen schuppe der Iberger Klippen. (Palynomorphs and other organic material from the Raibler Layers of the East Iberger Cliffs.) *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae* 99, 131–136.
- Il'ina [Ilyina] N.V. 2001. *Palinostratigrafija srednego triasa Timano-Severoural'skogo regiona. (Palinostratigraphy of the Middle Triassic in the Timan-northern Urals region.)* Ekaterinburg: Russian Academy of Sciences Press.
- Jarošenko O.P. 1978. *Komplekcy miospor i stratigrafija triasa Zapadnogo Kavkaza. (Miospore assemblages and Triassic stratigraphy of the West Caucasus.) Trudy Geologičeskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 324. Moscow: Nauka.
- Jarošenko O.P., Golubeva L.P. & Kalantar I.Z. 1991. *Miospory i stratigrafija nižnego triasa Pečorskoj sineklizy. (Miospores and stratigraphy of the Lower Triassic of the Pechora basin.) Trudy Geologičeskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 470. Moscow: Nauka.
- Kara-Murza E.N. 1951. *Sporovo-pyl'cevyje komplekсы mezozoya severnoj časti Central'noj Sibiri. (Spore-pollen assemblages of the Mesozoic of northern Middle Siberia.) Trudy Naučno-issledovatel'ski Institut Geologii Arktiki* 18. Leningrad: Northern Seaway Publishing House.
- Kara-Murza E.N. 1958. *Sporovo-pyl'cevyje komplekсы triasovyh otloženij v rajone mysy Cvetkova. (Spore-pollen assemblages of the Triassic deposits near Cape Tsvetkov.)* In N.A. Švedov (ed.): *Sbornik statej po paleontologii i biostratigrafii* 8. (Collected papers on palaeontology and biostratigraphy 8.) Pp. 33–65. Leningrad: Institute of Arctic Geology Press.
- Kara-Murza E.N. 1960. *Palinologičeskoe obosnovanie stratigrafičeskogo rasčlenenija mezozoiskih otloženij Hatangskoj vpadiny. (Palynological evidence for stratigraphic subdivision of the Mesozoic Khatanga depression.) Trudy Naučno-issledovatel'ski Institut Geologii Arktiki* 109. Leningrad: Institute of Arctic Geology.
- Kazakov A.M., Dagis [Dagys] A.S. & Karogodin Ju.N. 1982. *Litostratigrafičeskije podrazdelenija triasa severa Srednej Sibiri. (Lithostratigraphic subdivision of the Triassic of northern Middle Siberia.)* In A.S. Dagis (ed.): *Bio- i litostratigrafija triasa Sibiri. (Bio- and lithostratigraphy of the Triassic of Siberia.)* Pp. 5–36. Moscow: Nauka.
- Kazakov A.M., Konstantinov A.G., Kurušin N.I., Mogučeva N.K., Sobolev E.S., Fradkina A.F., Jadrenkin A.V., Devjatov V.P. & Smirnov L.V. 2002. *Stratigrafija neftegazonosnyh bassejnov Sibiri. Triasovaja sistema. (Stratigraphy of the oil- and gas-bearing basins of Siberia. Triassic system.)* Novosibirsk: Russian Academy of Sciences.

- Kazakov A.M. & Kurušin N.I. 1992. Stratigrafija norijskih i rëtskih otloženij severa Srednej Sibiri. (Stratigraphy of Norian and Rhaetian deposits in northern Middle Siberia.) *Geologija i Geofizika* 6, 3–10.
- Klaus W. 1964. Zur sporenstratigraphischen Einstufung von gipsführenden Schichten in Bohrungen. (Playnostratigraphical correlation of gypsum-bearing layers in boreholes.) *Erdoel Zeitschrift* 4, 119–132.
- Kopytova E.A. 1963. Novye vidy spor i pyl'cy is triasovykh otloženij Zapadnogo Kazachstana. (New species of spores and pollen from the Triassic deposits of west Kazakhstan.) In S.V. Semihatova & E.A. Kopytova (eds.): *Sporovo-pyl'cevyh komplekxy i stratigrafija proterozoya, paleozoya i mezozoya Volgo-Ural'skoj oblasti i Srednej Azii. (Spore-pollen assemblages and stratigraphy of the Upper Proterozoic, Paleozoic and Mesozoic of Volga-Uralian province and Central Asia.)* Pp. 65–69. Moscow: State Scientific-Technical Publishing House of Literature on Geology, Geodesy and Conservation of Resources.
- Korotkevič V.D. 1966. Sopostavlenie verhnnetriasovykh sporovo-pyl'cevykh kompleksov Leno-Olenekskogo meždureč'ja i ostrova Zapadnyj Špicbergen. (Correlation of the Late Triassic spore-pollen assemblages of the Lena-Olenek area and western Spitsbergen.) *Učenyje zapiski Naučno-issledovatel'ski Institut Geologii Arktiki. Paleontologija i Biostratigrafija* 12, 81–85.
- Korotkevič V.D. 1968. Palinologičeskaja harakteristika morskikh mezozojских otloženij severnoj časti Leno-Olenekskogo meždureč'ja. (Palynological characteristics of the Mesozoic marine deposits of the northern part of Lena-Olenek area.) In S.R. Samojlovič (ed.): *Paleopalinologičeskij metod v stratigrafii. Materialy II Meždunarodnoj palinologičeskoj konferencii, Gollandija, 1966. (Palaeopalynological method in stratigraphy. Proceedings of the 2nd International Palynological Conference, Utrecht, Netherlands, 1966.)* Pp. 63–70. Leningrad: All-Union Geological Institute Press.
- Korotkevič V.D. 1973. Palinologičeskaja harakteristika triasovykh otloženij central'nogo sektora Sovetskoi Arktiki. (Palynological characteristics of the Triassic deposits of Soviet Arctic area.) In A.F. Hlonova (ed.): *Palinologija Mesofita. Trudy III Meždunarodnoj Palinologičeskoj Konferencii, Novosibirsk, 1971. (Palynology of mesophyte. Proceedings of the 3rd International Palynological Conference, Novosibirsk, 1971.)* Pp. 16–19. Moscow: Nauka.
- Kručinina N.V. & Romanovskaja G.M. 1980. Morfologija spor nekotorykh predstavitelej infraturmy Laevigati. (Morphology of spores of some representatives of the infraturma Laevigati.) In L.A. Panova (ed.): *Paleomikrofitologičeskie issledovanija dlja celej stratigrafii. (Palaeomicrophitological studies for stratigraphy aims.) Trudy Vsesojuznogo Naučno-issledovatel'skogo Geologičeskogo Instituta, New Series* 305, 6–27. Leningrad: All-Union Geological Institute Press.
- Krugovyh V.V. & Mogučeva [Mogucheva] N.K. 2000. Palino- i fitostratigrafija opornogo razreza mysa Cvetkova na Vostočnom Tajmyre (Sibir'). (Palyno- and phytostратigraphy of the Triassic reference section at Cape Tsvetkov, eastern Taimyr [Siberia].) *Geologija i Geofizika* 41, 535–550.
- Kurušin N.I. 1991. Otkrytie zony Zittelihalobia zitteli (Bivalvia) v osnovanii verhnego triasa na Vostočnom Tajmyre. (Recognition of the Zittelihalobia zitteli Zone [Bivalvia] in the lowest Upper Triassic base in eastern Taimyr.) *Geologija i Geofizika* 10, 54–57.
- Lund J.J. 1977. *Rhæt-nedre lias palynologi i det sydøstlige Nordse Bassins landområder. (Rhaetic to Lower Liassic palynology of the onshore south-eastern North Sea Basin.) Danmarks Geologiske Undersøgelse, Series 2, 109.* Copenhagen: Geological Survey of Denmark.
- Mangerud G. 1994. Palynostratigraphy of the Permian and lowermost Triassic succession, Finnmark Platform, Barents Sea. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 82, 317–349.
- Mangerud G. & Rømuld A. 1991. Spathian–Anisian (Triassic) palynology at the Svalis Dome, southwestern Barents Sea. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 70, 199–216.
- Mogučeva [Mogucheva] N.K. 1991. Ètapy razvitiya triasovoj flory Srednej Sibiri. (Stages of development of Triassic flora in East Siberia.) In V.I. Krasnov & A.G. Jadrenkina (eds.): *Stratigrafija i glavnejšie sobytija v geologičeskoj istorii Sibiri. (Stratigraphy and most important events in geological history of Siberia.)* Pp. 132–140. Novosibirsk: Siberian Institute of Geology, Geophysics and Mineral Resources Press.
- Mogučeva N.K. 1996. Evolutionary stages of Triassic flora in Siberia (Angarida). *Palaeobotanist* 45, 329–333.
- Morbey S.J. 1975. The palynostratigraphy of the Rhaetian Stage, Upper Triassic in the Kendelbachgraben, Austria. *Palaeontographica, Abteilung B*, 152, 1–75.
- Odincova M.M. 1977. *Palinologija rannego mezozoya Sibirskoj platformy. (Early Mesozoic palynology of the Siberian Platform.)* Novosibirsk: Nauka.
- Roghi G. 2004. Palynological investigations in the Carnian of the Cave del Predil area (Julian Alps, NE Italy). *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 132, 1–35.
- Romanovskaja G.M. 1989. Palinokomplekxy triasa mysa Cvetkova. (Triassic palynological assemblages from Cape Tsvetkov.) *Palinologičeskie taksony v biostratigrafii. Čast' II. (Palynological taxa in biostratigraphy. Part II.)* Pp. 6–9. Saratov: Saratov State University Press.
- Schulz E. 1967. Sporenpaläontologische Untersuchungen rätoliassischer Schichten im Zentralteil des Germanischen Beckens. (Palynological investigations of the Rhaetian–Liassic Layers in the central part of the Germanic Basin.) *Paläontologische Abhandlungen, Abteilung B*, 2, 427–633.
- Schuurman W.M.L. 1977. Aspects of Late Triassic palynology. 2. Palynology of the “Grès et Schiste à Avicula contorta” and “Argiles de Levallois” (Rhaetian) of northeastern France and southern Luxembourg. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 23, 159–253.
- Schuurman W.M.L. 1979. Aspects of Late Triassic palynology. 3. Palynology of latest Triassic and earliest Jurassic deposits of the northern Limestone Alps in Austria and southern Germany, with special reference to a palynological

- characterization of the Rhaetian Stage in Europe. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 27, 53–76.
- Van der Eem J.G.L.A. 1983. Aspects of Middle and Late Triassic palynology. 6. Palynological investigations in the Ladinian and Lower Karnian of the western Dolomites, Italy. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 39, 189–300.
- Vigran J.O., Mangerud G., Mørk A., Bugge T. & Weitschat W. 1998. Biostratigraphy and sequence stratigraphy of the Lower and Middle Triassic deposits from the Svalis Dome, central Barents Sea, Norway. *Palynology* 22, 89–141.
- Visscher H. & Brugman W.A. 1981. Ranges of selected palynomorphs in the Alpine Triassic of Europe. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 34, 115–128.
- Warrington G. 1996. Chapter 20A. Triassic spores and pollen. In J. Jansonius & D.C. McGregor (eds.): *Palynology: principles and applications*. Vol. 2. Pp. 755–766. College Station, TX: American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation.
- Warrington G. 1997. The Lyme Regis Borehole, Dorset palynology of the Mercia Mudstone, Penarth and Lias groups (Upper Triassic–Lower Jurassic). *Proceedings of the Ussher Society* 9, 153–157.
- Warrington G., Ivimey-Cook H.C., Edwards R.A. & Whittaker A. 1995. The Late Triassic–Early Jurassic succession at Selworthy, west Somerset, England. *Proceedings of the Ussher Society* 8, 426–432.
- ## Appendix
- A list of the taxa referred to in this paper, with references to illustrations in this contribution follows.
- ### Spores
- Acanthotriletes ilekensis* Kopytova, 1963 (Fig. 11.9)
- Anapiculatisporites spiniger* (Leschik, 1955) Reinhardt, 1962 (Fig. 11.27)
- Anapiculatisporites telephorus* (Pautsch, 1958) Klaus, 1960 (Fig. 11.19)
- Anaplanisporites echinatus* Schulz, 1967
- Annulispora cicatricosa* (Rogalska, 1954) Morbey, 1975 (Fig. 9.20, 9.21)
- Annulispora folliculosa* (Rogalska, 1954) de Jersey, 1964
- Annulispora microannulata* (de Jersey, 1962) de Jersey, 1964
- Apiculatisporis globosus* (Leschik, 1955) Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Apiculatisporis lentus* Playford, 1982
- Apiculatisporis parvispinosus* (Leschik, 1955) Schulz, 1962 (Fig. 11.26)
- Aratrisporites coryliseminis* Klaus, 1960
- Aratrisporites fischeri* (Klaus, 1960) Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 11.5)
- Aratrisporites flexibilis* Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Aratrisporites granulatus* (Klaus, 1960) Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Aratrisporites paenulatus* Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Aratrisporites palettae* Klaus, 1960
- Aratrisporites paraspinosus* Klaus, 1960
- Aratrisporites parvispinosus* (Leschik, 1955) Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Aratrisporites scabratus* Klaus, 1960
- Aratrisporites virgatus* (Leschik, 1955) Pautsch, 1971
- Asterisporites slewecensis* Mädlar, 1964
- Auritulinasporites scanicus* Nilsson, 1958 (Fig. 9.13)
- Baculatisporites baculatus* Orłowska-Zwolińska, 1988
- Baculatisporites comaumensis* (Cookson, 1953) Potonié, 1956 (Fig. 11.15)
- Baculatisporites verus* Orłowska-Zwolińska, 1984
- Camarozonosporites* cf. *golzowensis* Schulz, 1967 (Fig. 9.3)
- Camarozonosporites laevigatus* Schulz, 1967 (Fig. 9.2)
- Camarozonosporites rudis* (Leschik, 1955) Klaus, 1960 (Fig. 9.1)
- Camptotriletes cerebriiformis* Naumova, 1958 (Fig. 11.16)
- Carnisporites mesozoicus* (Klaus, 1960) Mädlar, 1964 (Fig. 11.17)
- Cingulizonates bulbifera* Odintsova, 1977
- Cingulizonates rhaeticus* (Reinhardt, 1962) Schulz, 1967
- Cingulizonates tuberosus* Dybová & Jachowicz, 1957
- Concavisporites crassexinius* Nilsson, 1958 (Fig. 9.18)
- Concavisporites juriensis* Balme, 1957 (Fig. 9.23)
- Concavisporites* cf. *kaiseri* Arjang, 1975 (Fig. 9.12)
- Concavisporites toralis* Nilsson, 1958
- Concavisporites* sp. 2 Schuurman, 1977
- Concentricisporites nevesi* Antonescu, 1970 (Fig. 11.10)
- Converrucosisporites cameroni* (de Jersey, 1962) Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 11.7)
- Converrucosisporites conferteornatus* Pautsch, 1971 (Fig. 11.25)
- Converrucosisporites* sp. 3 “chagrenate” (Fig. 11.6)
- Converrucosisporites* sp. 5 “granulatus” (Fig. 11.12)
- Converrucosisporites luebbenensis* Schulz, 1967 (Fig. 11.13)
- Converrucosisporites* sp. 2 “smooth” (Fig. 11.24)
- Convolutispora* sp. A Van der Eem, 1983
- Convolutispora* cf. *microfoveolata* Schulz, 1967 (Fig. 11.2)
- Convolutispora* cf. *microrugulata* Schulz, 1967
- Cyathidites coniopteroides* Romanovskaja, 1980 (Fig. 9.17)
- Cyathidites nigrans* (Bolchovitina, 1953) Romanovskaja, 1980
- Cyathidites triangularis* Romanovskaja, 1980
- Cyclotriletes oligogranifer* Mädlar, 1964
- Cyclotriletes triassicus* Mädlar, 1964
- Deltoidospora* sp. (Fig. 9.7)
- Dictyophyllidites mortoni* (de Jersey, 1959) Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 9.19)
- Dictyophyllum nilsoni* Brongniart, 1828 (Kruchinina, 1980)
- Dictyophyllum rugosum* Lindley & Hutton, 1831 (Kruchinina, 1980) (Fig. 9.22)

- Dictyophyllum vulgaris* Maljavkina, 1949 (Kruchinina, 1980) (Fig. 9.24)
- Discisporites psilatus* de Jersey, 1964
- Duplexisporites gyratus* Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 11.1)
- Duplexisporites problematicus* (Couper, 1958) Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Duplexisporites scanicus* (Nilsson, 1958) Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Duplexisporites toratus* (Weyland & Greifeld, 1953) Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Globulisporites primus* Mädlar, 1964
- Granulatisporites asper* (Nilsson, 1958) Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 11.8)
- Ischyosporites* cf. *marburgensis* de Jersey, 1963
- Klukisporites* cf. *granosifenestellatus* Fisher & Dunay, 1984
- Kraeuselisporites apiculatus* Jansonius, 1962
- Kraeuselisporites cuspidus* Balme, 1963
- Kraeuselisporites reissinger* (Harris, 1957) Morbey, 1975
- Kyrtomisporis gracilis* Bjaerke & Manum, 1977
- Kyrtomisporis laevigatus* Mädlar, 1964 (Fig. 9.6)
- Kyrtomisporis speciosus* Mädlar, 1964 (Fig. 9.5)
- Kyrtomisporis* sp. (Fig. 9.11)
- Leschikisporites aduncus* (Leschik, 1955) Potonié, 1958 (Fig. 11.20)
- Lophotriletes bauchiniae* de Jersey & Hamilton, 1967
- Limbosporites lundbladii* Nilsson, 1958 (Fig. 9.27)
- Lundbladisporella denmeadi* (de Jersey, 1962) Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 9.30)
- Lundbladisporella willmottii* Balme, 1963
- Lycopodiacidites kuepperi* Klaus, 1960 (Fig. 9.15)
- Lycopodiacidites rugulatus* (Couper, 1955) Schulz, 1967
- Lycopodiumsporites* sp. (Fig. 9.16)
- Neoraistrickia taylori* Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 11.14)
- Nevesisporites fossulatus* Balme, 1970
- Nevesisporites limatulus* Playford, 1965 (Fig. 11.29)
- Nevesisporites macrogranulatus* Romanovskaja, 1979 (Fig. 11.28)
- Nevesisporites pokrovskajae* Romanovskaja, 1979 (Fig. 11.21)
- Osmundacidites senectus* Balme, 1963
- Osmundacidites wellmani* Couper, 1953
- Pechorosporites coronatus* Yaroshenko & Golubeva, 1984
- Polycingulatisporites* cf. *circulus* Simoncsics & Kedves, 1963
- Polycingulatisporites crenulatus* Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Polycingulatisporites dejerseyi* Helby ex. de Jersey, 1979 (Fig. 11.22)
- Polycingulatisporites densatus* (de Jersey, 1959) Playford & Dettmann, 1965 (Fig. 11.23)
- Polypodiisporites ipsviciensis* (de Jersey, 1962) Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Polypodiisporites polymicroforatus* (Orłowska-Zwolińska, 1966) Lund, 1977
- Polypodiisporites* sp. (Fig. 11.4)
- Polypodites cladophleboides* Brick, 1958
- Porcellispora longdonensis* (Clarke, 1965) Morbey, 1975
- Punctatisporites fungosus* Balme, 1963
- Punctatisporites leighensis* Playford & Dettmann, 1965
- Punctatisporites walkomi* de Jersey, 1962
- Retitriteles semimuris* (Danzé-Corsin & Laveine, 1963) McKellar, 1974 (Fig. 9.4)
- Semiretisporis gothae* Reinhardt, 1962 (Fig. 9.28, 9.29)
- Semiretisporis maljavkinae* Schulz, 1967
- Spinotriletes echinoides* Mädlar, 1964
- Stereisporites perforatus* Leschik, 1955 (Fig. 11.18)
- Styxisporites cooksonae* Klaus, 1960 (Fig. 9.14)
- Taurocusporites* sp. A Morbey, 1975
- Tigrisporites halleinis* Klaus, 1960 (Fig. 9.10)
- Todisporites major* Couper, 1958
- Todisporites minor* Couper, 1958 (Fig. 11.11)
- Triancoraesporites ancorae* (Reinhardt, 1961) Schulz, 1967
- Triancoraesporites reticulatus* Schulz, 1962
- Uvaesporites* cf. *argenteaeformis* (Bolchovitina, 1953) Schulz, 1967 (Fig. 11.3)
- Verrucosisporites applanatus* Mädlar, 1964
- Verrucosisporites narmianus* Balme, 1970
- Zebrasporites corneolus* (Leschik, 1955) Klaus, 1960
- Zebrasporites interscriptus* (Thiergart, 1949) Klaus, 1960 (Fig. 9.8)
- Zebrasporites kahleri* Klaus, 1960
- Zebrasporites laevigatus* (Schulz, 1962) Schulz, 1967 (Fig. 9.9)
- Zebrasporites* sp. (Fig. 9.8)
- Pollen
- Accinctisporites* cf. *ligatus* (Leschik, 1955) Clarke, 1965 (Fig. 8.18)
- Alisporites australis* de Jersey, 1962
- Alisporites* cf. *aequalis* Mädlar, 1964
- Alisporites* cf. *cymbatus* Venkatachala, Beju & Kar, 1967–1968
- Alisporites grauvogeli* Klaus, 1964
- Alisporites landianus* Balme, 1970
- Alisporites magnus* Jain, 1968
- Alisporites parvus* de Jersey, 1962
- Alisporites perlucidus* (Pautsch, 1971) Pautsch, 1973
- Brachysaccus neomundanus* (Leschik, 1955) Mädlar, 1964
- Callialasporites dampieri* (Balme, 1957) Dev, 1961
- Camerosporites secatus* Leschik, 1955 (Fig. 8.16)
- Chasmatisporites apertus* (Rogalska, 1954) Schulz, 1967 (Fig. 8.12)
- Chasmatisporites elegans* Nilsson, 1958
- Chasmatisporites hians* Nilsson, 1958 (Fig. 8.7)
- Chasmatisporites major* (Nilsson, 1958) Schulz, 1967

- Chasmatosporites minor* Nilsson, 1958
Chordasporites australiensis de Jersey, 1962
Chordasporites singulichorda Klaus, 1960
Chordasporites cf. *voltziaformis* Visscher, 1966
Cordaitina gunyalensis (Pant & Srivastava, 1964) Balme, 1970 (Fig. 8.5)
Corollina meyeriana (Klaus, 1960) Venkatachala & Góczán, 1964
Corollina torosus (Reissinger, 1958) Klaus, 1960
Crustasporites globosus Leschik, 1956
Duplicisporites dispersitatus (Leschik, 1955) Klaus, 1960
Duplicisporites granulatus (Leschik, 1955) Scheuring, 1970
Enzonalasporites sp. A Van der Eem, 1983
Enzonalasporites vigens (Leschik, 1955) Scheuring, 1970
Eucommiidites sp. (Fig. 8.17)
Falcisporites snopkova Visscher, 1966
Falcisporites stabilis Balme, 1970
Florinites pseudostriatus Kopytova, 1963 (Fig. 8.4)
Florinites walchius Kopytova, 1963 (Fig. 9.26)
Gnetaceapollentes steevesi Jansonius, 1962
Granuloperculatipollis rudis Venkatachala & Góczán, 1964
Heliosaccus dimorphus Mädlar, 1964
Lagenella martinii (Leschik, 1955) Klaus, 1960
Latosaccus latus Mädlar, 1964
Lueckisporites triassicus Clarke, 1965
Lunatisporites rhaeticus (Schulz, 1967) Warrington, 1974 (Fig. 8.1)
Mesostriatites hercynicus Mädlar, 1964
Microcachryidites doubingeri Klaus, 1964 (Fig. 8.19)
Microcachryidites fastidiosus (Jansonius, 1962) Klaus, 1964
Microcachryidites sittleri Klaus, 1964 (Fig. 8.13)
Microcachryidites sp. with four sacchi (Fig. 8.21)
Minutosaccus potonie Mädlar, 1964 (Fig. 8.15)
Minutosaccus schizeatus Mädlar, 1964
Minutosaccus sp. (Fig. 8.20)
Ovalipollis cultus Scheuring, 1970
Ovalipollis lunsensis Klaus, 1960 (Fig. 8.2)
Ovalipollis pseudoalatus (Thiergart, 1949) Schuurman, 1976
Paracirculina maljawkinae Klaus, 1960
Paracirculina cf. *quadriplicis* Scheuring, 1970 (Fig. 8.10)
Patinasporites densus (Leschik, 1955) Scheuring, 1970 (Fig. 8.8)
Patinasporites funiculus Leschik, 1955
Platysaccus leschiki Hart, 1960
Platysaccus niger Mädlar, 1964
Platysaccus queenslandi de Jersey, 1962
Plicatisaccus badius Pautsch, 1971 (Fig. 8.6)
Podocarpidites keuperianus (Mädlar, 1964) Schuurman, 1977
Praecirculina granifer (Leschik, 1955) Scheuring, 1970
Praecirculina sp. (Fig. 8.9)
Protodiploxypinus gracilis Scheuring, 1970 (Fig. 8.22)
Protodiploxypinus lacertosus Fisher & Dunay, 1984
Pseudenzonalasporites summus Scheuring, 1970
Quadraeculina anellaeformis (Maljavkina, 1949) Iljina, 1985
Rhaetipollis germanicus Schulz, 1967
Ricciisporites tuberculatus Lundblad, 1954
Samaropollenites speciosus (Goubin, 1965) Dolby & Balme, 1976 (Fig. 8.3)
Schizosaccus keuperi Mädlar, 1964
Stellapollenites thiergartii (Mädlar, 1964) Clement-Westerhof et al., 1974
Striatoabieites aytugii Visscher, 1966
Striatoabieites balmei Klaus, 1964
Striatoabieites multistriatus (Balme & Hennelly, 1955) Hart, 1964
Sulcatisporites institatus Balme, 1970
Sulcatisporites kraeuseli Mädlar, 1964
Taeniaesporites noviaulensis Leschik, 1956
Taeniaesporites novimundi Jansonius, 1962
Taeniaesporites pellucidus (Goubin, 1965) Balme, 1970
Triadispora aurea Scheuring, 1970
Triadispora crassa Klaus, 1964
Triadispora falcata Klaus, 1964
Triadispora obscura Scheuring, 1970
Triadispora staplini (Jansonius, 1962) Klaus, 1964
Vallasporites ignacii (Leschik, 1955) Scheuring, 1970 (Fig. 8.14)
Vitreisporites pallidus (Reissinger, 1950) Nilsson, 1958
Vitreisporites reductus (Mädlar, 1964) Yaroshenko, 1978
Voltziaceasporites cf. *globosus* Fisher & Dunay, 1984
Voltziaceasporites heteromorpha Klaus, 1964

Algae/Acristarchs
Michrhystridium breve Jansonius, 1962 (Fig. 8.23)
Michrhystridium triassicum Jansonius, 1962
Michrhystridium cf. *setasessitante* Jansonius, 1962 (Fig. 8.24)
Michrhystridium cf. *inconspicuum* Deflandre, 1935
Wilsonastrum colonicum Jansonius, 1962 (Fig. 8.25)
Baltisphaeridium sp.
Cymatiosphaera sp.
Pterospermopsimorpha sp.
Tympanicysta stoschiana Balme, 1980