

Research Article

Cardiopulmonary exercise test findings in symptomatic mustard gas exposed cases with normal HRCT

Rasoul Aliannejad^{1,2}, Amin Saburi³, and Mostafa Ghanei¹

¹Chemical Injuries Research Center, ³Health Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, ²Respiratory Diseases and TB Research Center, Guilan University of Medical Science, Razi Hospital, Rasht, Iran

ABSTRACT

Many patients with sulfur mustard (SM) exposure present dyspnea in exertion while they have a normal pulmonary function test (PFT) and imaging. The cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) has been used for evaluation of dyspnea in exertion among patients with different pulmonary disorders focusing on assessing gas exchange. We evaluated subjects who were exposed to SM with normal imaging compared to the controls with CPET. A case-control study was carried out on two groups in Tehran, Iran during 2010 to compare the CPET findings. The cases with a history of SM exposure and complaint of exertional dyspnea while they had normal physical examination, chest X-ray, PFT, and nonsignificant air trapping in lung high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) were included. A group of sex- and age-matched healthy people were considered as controls. One hundred fifty-nine male patients (aged 37 ± 4.3 years) were enrolled as a case group and ten healthy subjects (aged 35 ± 5.9 years) as the control group. There was no significant difference in the demographic and baseline PFT characters between the two groups ($P > 0.05$). Only peak VO_2/kg , VO_2 -predicted, and RR peak were statistically different between cases and controls ($P < 0.05$). Despite the fact that abnormal gas exchange may be present in our cases, it does not explain the low VO_2 in CPET. Also, impaired cell O_2 consumption could be a hypothesis for low VO_2 in these cases. It seems that routine assessment of lung structure cannot be effectively used for discrimination of the etiology of dyspnea in low-dose SM exposed cases.

Key Words: cardiopulmonary exercise test, mustard gas, oxygen metabolism, dyspnea

Inhalation of sulfur mustard (SM) causes injury of the respiratory system and long-term pulmonary complications. High-dose exposure results in death due to respiratory failure, secondary pneumonia, and occasional hemorrhagic pulmonary edema, especially in the acute phase;^[1] however, low-dose exposure could cause insidious onset of exertional dyspnea after many years.^[2] Imaging and pathological studies indicate that individuals with SM exposure have suffered by either bronchiolitis obliterans (BO) or bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia (BOOP).^[2,3] Ghanei et al.^[2] demonstrated that "exposure to SM was responsible for the occurrence of the BO syndrome observed in exposed patients."

While BO was indicated as one of the most frequent pulmonary sequels of SM exposure, a chronic lung disorder

named mustard lung (ML) was too abstruse to understand clearly. Many clinical signs and symptoms were not consistent with the extent of the injury in ML. Therefore, various mechanisms such as oxidant-antioxidant imbalance, chronic inflammatory process, and genomic mutations were recommended to justify the pathophysiology of the disorder, but none of them were definite.^[2,3]

Many clinical manifestations cannot be explained using the previously-mentioned mechanisms. Due to involvement of small bronchioles, it is expected that these patients may be affected by a restricted cardiopulmonary function. While low-dose exposure to SM often presents with mild dyspnea,

Address correspondence to:

Prof. Mostafa Ghanei

Chemical Injuries Research Center
Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences
Mollasadra St, Vanak Sq, Tehran, Iran
Email: mghaneister@gmail.com

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website: www.pulmonarycirculation.org

DOI: 10.4103/2045-8932.113174

How to cite this article: Aliannejad R, Saburi A, Ghanei M. Cardiopulmonary exercise test findings in symptomatic mustard gas exposed cases with normal HRCT. *Pulm Circ* 2013;3:414-8.

the constantly-exposed patients did not, in fact, express significant clinical symptoms.

On the other hand, the cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) with measuring gas exchange has been used for evaluation of dyspnea on exertion in patients with different pulmonary disorders.^[4] There are many disorders that mimic ML and a diagnostic test can be helpful for them;^[5] furthermore, understanding the diagnostic protocols helps the entire field in proper and rapid diagnosed.

We have seen many cases with low-dose exposure to SM who have complained of exertional dyspnea while completing normal pulmonary function tests (PFT) and normal full expiratory chest high resolution computed tomography (HRCT). To our knowledge, this is the first study about the role CPET plays on evaluation of low-dose SM exposed cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

During 2010, a case-control study was carried out in Tehran, Iran (barometric pressure is 670 mmHg) to compare the CPET results between two groups: (1) Cases who were exposed to SM 25 years ago and complained of exertional dyspnea with normal general physical examination, normal chest X-ray, PFT, and nonsignificant air trapping in pulmonary HRCT (regarding acute symptoms, all cases have typical symptoms of exposure such as dermatitis, dyspnea, acute bronchitis, and conjunctivitis after exposure that was healed with treatment); and (2) The control group included sex- and age-matched healthy people which were asymptomatic and had a normal physical examination, chest X-ray, and PFT. Written informed consent was taken from subjects (case and control) who had enrolled for this study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Chemical Injuries Research Center at Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences.

One hundred fifty-nine patients were recruited in our case group with the following inclusion criteria: (1) A well-documented SM exposure by military health services; (2) no history of medical disorders which can affect the CPET results; (3) a complaint of dyspnea on exertion; (4) normal chest X-ray and PFT; and (5) near normal or nonsignificant findings in full expiratory chest HRCT. Low-dose exposure in this study was defined as "exposure to SM that did not cause acute respiratory symptoms at the time of exposure." Our subjects were selected among all those who were in chemically contaminated areas with SM and had been registered for an annual checkup.

Ten male individuals in the control group were selected with no history of SM exposure or other confounding medical

disorders. Volunteers in the control group have not had dust exposure, history of smoking, history of recent respiratory infection or disease, drug uses, or other chronic diseases. Both groups were similarly active.

CPET

The incremental exercise test was performed according to the protocol of Wasserman on an electrically-braked cycle ergometer (SensorMedics 2900). After a three-minute rest and a three-minute period of unloaded pedaling at a cycling speed of 60 rpm, the load was progressively increased by 15 W/min. Cardiopulmonary data was collected breath by breath, metabolic unit (CPX) over the entire period allowing for the measurement of heart rate (HR), ventilation (VE), VO_2 , VCO_2 , and determination of maximal heart rate (HR max), peak VE, peak VO_2 , peak VCO_2 , and peak oxygen pulse (VO_2/HR). Arterial oxygen saturation for hemoglobin was measured by pulse oximeter. Subjects performed exercise test as safely as possible and did not stop as long as they felt breathless.

Predicted HR max was calculated as $220 - \text{age in years} \times 0.65$. Maximal ventilatory capacity (MVC) was estimated as forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV_1) $\times 40$.^[6] The dyspnea index, expressed in percent, was calculated by dividing the minute ventilation by maximal voluntary ventilation (VE/MVV). Ventilatory reserve was defined as the difference in liters between MVV and VE. The anaerobic threshold (AT) was determined by the V-slope method as described by Beaver et al.^[7]

Calibration of gas analyzers before each test was achieved by the use of a tank of standard gas provided by the manufacturer (SensorMedics). VE was expressed in BTPS, while VO_2 and VCO_2 were expressed in STPD. All airflow and gas measurements were corrected for ambient temperature, barometric pressure, and water vapor, and are expressed in BTPS units.

HRCT evaluation

HRCT examinations were obtained on one scanner (HiSpeed Advantage, General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisc.). Each HRCT examination consisted of five 1.0-mm collimation images obtained during both deep inspiration and full expiration, respectively, with the patient lying in a supine position. Noncontrast images were obtained at the levels of the aortic arch, midway between the aortic arch and tracheal carina, at the tracheal carina, midway between the tracheal carina and the right hemidiaphragm, and 1 cm above the right hemidiaphragm. All images were reconstructed using a high spatial resolution algorithm and displayed at standard (level 700; width 1,500) and narrow (level 700; width 1,000) lung window settings. The HRCT scans were reviewed by two radiologists and two pulmonologists. A quick read form was developed by the group's consensus

for ease and uniformity of reporting. The only data available to the HRCT reviewers were patient's age, sex, and history of exposure to SM. The interobserver agreement (kappa coefficients) for air trapping, bronchiectasis, and mosaic parenchymal attenuation were 0.76 (95% CI: 0.58–0.94), 0.84 (95% CI: 0.69–0.99), and 0.68 (95% CI: 0.48–0.88), respectively. Inspiratory images were read before expiratory ones and images displayed at standard windows before narrow window settings.

Expiratory images were displayed at standard and narrow window settings. These were directly compared to determine differences in the conspicuity of air trapping. The criteria used to diagnose the presence of air trapping were alteration of normal anteroposterior lobar attenuation gradients and/or lack of homogeneous increase in lung attenuation resulting in persistent areas of decreased attenuation.^[8]

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 16 was used for the data analysis. PFT and CPET data were expressed as mean values with one standard deviation (\pm SD) above and below the mean. The continuous variables for both tests were compared using student's *t*-test. A value of $P < 0.05$ was defined as the level of significance.

RESULTS

One hundred fifty-nine patients (aged 37 ± 4.3 years) in the case group and ten participants (aged 35 ± 5.9 years) in the control group were evaluated. All cases and controls were male. This study was conducted at the Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, a referral center for chemical warfare injuries. In all cases, at least 20 years had passed after dealing with mustard gas, and all were exposed to SM once without any protective devices. All cases had initial symptoms of exposure such as dermatitis, dyspnea, acute bronchitis, and conjunctivitis, which were healed with treatment. All 159 cases were included among more than 530 cases that have been referred to this medical center. Demographic and PFT data of cases and healthy controls are presented in Table 1. There is no significant difference in the demographic and baseline PFT characters between the two groups.

Regarding the CPET findings expressed in Table 2, only WR max, Peak VO_2/kg , VO_2 predicted, and RR peak were statistically different between cases and controls ($P < 0.05$). Peak VO_2 and VO_2 predicted in the case group were 23 ± 4.4 mL/kg/min and 87 ± 12.9 L/min, while in the control group ($P = 0.02$ and <0.000) these indices were observed as 28 ± 4.3 mL/kg/min and 105 ± 16.9 lit/min, respectively. Also, the mean of WR max in the cases was

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients ($n = 159$) and healthy controls ($n = 10$)

	Patients	Controls	P value
Age	37 ± 4.3	35 ± 5.9	0.06
BMI	27 ± 3.3	25 ± 1.8	0.16
Weight (kg)	87 ± 10	83 ± 5.2	0.19
Height (cm)	177 ± 15	178 ± 7.1	0.457
FVC (% of pred)	95 ± 11.3	100 ± 13	0.22
FEV1 (% of pred)	96 ± 11.8	102 ± 20	0.22
FEV1/FVC	79 ± 5.7	79 ± 8.2	0.93

BMI: body mass index; **FVC:** forced vital capacity; **FEV1:** forced expiratory volume in the first second

Table 2: Incremental exercise data of patients ($n = 159$) and healthy controls ($n = 10$)

VO_2 predicted L/min (%)	87 ± 12.9	105 ± 16.9	0.000
AT%	30 ± 8.7	33 ± 8.7	0.3
Breathing reserve (%)	31 ± 15.9	26 ± 16.8	0.39
VE/VCO_2	38 ± 6.3	34 ± 3.1	0.14
VE/VEO_2	37 ± 6.5	37 ± 5.05	0.96
Saturation baseline (%)	97 ± 1.5	98 ± 0.7	0.14
Saturation decrease (%)	1.3 ± 1.2	1.2 ± 0.7	0.9
VE peak predicted (%)	74 ± 15.3	80 ± 16.4	0.22
fR peak, breaths/min	40 ± 8.6	46 ± 7.9	0.04
VT peak (L)	2.04 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.5	0.16
VD/VT at peak	0.16 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.05	0.4
ETCO_2	32 ± 4.1	33 ± 4.5	0.36
ETO_2	97 ± 4.4	95 ± 4.2	0.3
Maximal HR (% of predicted value)	88 ± 7.02	89 ± 5.6	0.6
HRR	22 ± 20	20 ± 9	0.72
O_2 pulse predicted (%)	101 ± 16.2	116 ± 9.7	0.07
RER	1.1 ± 0.06	1.1 ± 0.07	0.89

WR: the exercise capacity; **VE:** ventilation; **AT:** anaerobic threshold; **ETCO₂:** end tidal CO_2 ; **ETO₂:** end tidal O_2 ; **RER:** respiratory exchange ratio; **HRR:** heart rate recovery; **VD/VT:** ventilation desaturation/ventilation threshold; **fR:** respiratory frequency

76 ± 11.3 W versus 116 ± 52.3 W in the controls ($P = 0.05$). Finally, the mean of peak RR in the cases and controls was 40 ± 8.6 and 46 ± 7.9 breaths/min, respectively ($P = 0.04$).

DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study are as follows: (1) The VO_2 (peak and predicted) reduced in SM exposed groups in comparison with control groups; and (2) Respiratory and cardiac response to exercise did not differ significantly in both groups. The measurement of VO_2 max or VO_2 peak remains the best available index for the assessment of exercise capacity. Peak oxygen consumption (VO_2 peak) should be measured directly because its estimation from resting indices, work rate, or exercise protocols is unreliable.^[9] VO_2 peak could be expressed in absolute values (L/minute) and as predicted percent, or ideally for fat-free mass (mL/kg/minute).^[10]

Peak VO_2 was reduced in these cases, in both value

expressions: absolute and fat free mass. A reduced VO_2 peak is the starting point in the evaluation of reduced exercise capacity. Also, a reduced O_2 peak response to exercise reflects problems with oxygen delivery (heart, lung, systemic and pulmonary circulation, and blood) and/or peripheral abnormalities (i.e., reduced oxygen use or muscle dysfunction).^[11-14] A reduced O_2 peak also may reflect poor effort.

The essential step for interpretation of CPET is evaluation of patient effort. Heart rate reserve (HRR), breathing reserve (BR), and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were normal and indicated that poor effort was not a reason for low VO_2 .

Cardiac response to exercise in these cases was normally observed. These findings were attained by normal maximum heart rate, heart rate reserve and O_2 pulse, and they showed that cardiac problem did not lead to low VO_2 . The mean age of our cases (37 years old) and lack of atherosclerosis risk factors corroborate this result of CPET.

Ventilatory response to exercise was evaluated by ventilatory capacity, breathing reserve, breathing pattern, and ventilatory equivalent for VCO_2 and VO_2 , end tidal CO_2 and O_2 , and pulmonary gas exchange. All of ventilatory indices were normal in these cases. Ventilatory equivalent for VCO_2 (VE/VCO_2) did not differ significantly in both groups, while absolute value was higher than normal in the exposed cases. VE/VCO_2 is usually less than 32–34 at or near the AT and less than 36 (rarely 40) at the peak of exercise in normal subjects.^[15] VE/VCO_2 at AT was used as a noninvasive method for measuring ventilation perfusion (V/Q) mismatch;^[16] furthermore, patients with chronic obstructive lung disease, restrictive lung disease, left ventricular failure, and pulmonary vascular diseases usually have V/Q mismatch.

Regarding our previous studies, we confirmed that many exposed cases are suffering from small airway pathology in spite of nonsignificant findings in laboratory data,^[3] but in this study we wanted to assess the pure effect of SM in patients regardless of considerable pulmonary consequences because CPET results can be affected by pulmonary disorders such as bronchiolitis. High VE/VCO_2 at AT in our cases could be a marker for V/Q mismatch and abnormal gas exchange. The major limitation of this study is lack of noninvasive assessment of uneven V/Q.

Abnormal changes in P (alveolar-arterial) O_2 and P (arterial-end tidal) CO_2 are the most sensitive markers of lung diseases. Airway diseases are ruled out with normal values since these measurements are almost always abnormal in these disorders.^[4] Calculation of VD/VT according to

PETCO₂ will underestimate the severity of gas exchange found in patients having elevated VD/VT, and will overestimate VD/VT as seen in patients with normal gas exchange.^[17]

Despite the fact that abnormal gas exchange may be present in our cases, it cannot be a good explanation for low VO_2 in CPET. Also, impaired cell O_2 consumption could be a hypothesis for low VO_2 in these cases. Some animal studies investigated the impact of SM exposure on cell NAD⁺ storage and it was declared that NAD⁺ depletion and glycolysis inhibition occurred in acute exposure; however, no study has been observed on these abnormalities in late complications of SM poisoning.^[18-20] Also, given that there were no apparent differences of the pulmonary parenchyma consequences, SM can affect the peripheral musculature and the ability to utilize O_2 (resulting in a decreased VO_2 max). Accordingly, Fedeles et al. conducted an investigation on oxygen consumption capacity in tissue exposed with an antitumor agent 11b as mustard derivate. They finally concluded that “11b inhibited oxygen consumption both in intact HeLa cells (a cancerous cell) and in isolated mitochondria.”^[21] They additionally stated, “11β blocked uncoupled oxygen consumption when mitochondria were incubated with complex I substrates, but it had no effect on oxygen consumption driven by substrates acting downstream of complex I in the mitochondrial electron transport chain.”^[21] This finding supports our mentioned hypothesis about inhibitory effects of SM on cellular O_2 metabolism. On the other hand, Fedeles et al. hypothesized that this inhibitory effect of SM on oxygen metabolism may be due to enhanced ROS generation and antioxidant imbalance that is concordant with the previous findings about oxidative-antioxidant imbalance in these patients.^[21]

The post-test RR peak was significantly higher than in controls. Increase in RR may be due to increased demand of insufficient supply. It seems that among SM exposed patients who have normal imaging and also normal PFT, the suspected mechanism was increased in demand because there are evidences about sufficient oxygen supply observed in this study (such as proper O_2 saturation in CPET in SM exposed cases versus controls).^[22] Also, regarding our findings about WR max and VO_2 predicted and max, it seems that cell oxygen consumption in exposed cases was lower than in the healthy cases.

In conclusion, although CPET was recommended for symptomatic cases with normal imaging, apparently CPET cannot be considered as a useful method for discrimination of small airway lesion in symptomatic low-dose SM exposed cases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to state their warm gratitude for the participants of this study.

REFERENCES

- Ghabili K, Agutter PS, Ghanei M, Ansarin K, Shoja MM. Mustard gas toxicity: The acute and chronic pathological effects. *J Appl Toxicol* 2010;30:627-43
- Ghanei M, Fathi H, Mohammad MM, Aslani J, Nematizadeh F. Long-term respiratory disorders of claimers with subclinical exposure to chemical warfare agents. *Inhal Toxicol* 2004;16:491-5.
- Beheshti J, Mark EJ, Akbaei H, Aslani J, Ghanei M. Mustard lung secrets: Long term clinicopathological study following mustard gas exposure. *Pathol Res Pract* 2006;202:739-44.
- Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, Whipp BJ, Casaburi r. Principles of exercise testing and interpretation: including pathophysiology and clinical application. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Williams; p. 199.p. xv.
- Chan A, Allen R. Bronchiolitis obliterans: An update. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2004;10:133-41.
- Campbell SC. A comparison of the maximum volume ventilation with forced expiratory volume in one second: An assessment of subject cooperation. *J Occup Med* 1982;24:531-3.
- Beaver WL, Wasserman K, Whipp BJ. A new method for detect the anaerobic threshold by gas exchange. *J Appl Physiol* 1986;60:2020-7.
- Hansell DM, Wells AU, Rubens MB, Cole PJ. Bronchiectasis: Functional significance of areas of decreased attenuation at expiratory CT. *Radiology* 1994;193:369-74.
- Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Beasley JW, Bricker JT, Duvernoy WF, Froelicher VF, et al. ACCP/AHA Guidelines for Exercise Testing. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Exercise Testing). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;30:260-311.
- Cotes JE. Lung Function: Assessment and Application in Medicine. 5th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1993. p. 54-8.
- Dempsey JA, Babcock MA. An integrative view of limitations to muscular performance. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1995;384:393-9.
- Hamilton AL, Killian KJ, Summers E, Jones NL. Muscle strength, symptom intensity, and exercise capacity in patients with cardiorespiratory disorders. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;152(6 Pt 1):2021-31.
- Jones NL, Killian KJ. Exercise limitation in health and disease. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:632-41.
- Wagner PD. Determinants of maximal oxygen transport and utilization. *Annu Rev Physiol* 1996;58:21-50.
- American Thoracic Society, American College of Chest Physicians. ATS/ACCP Statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;167:211-77.
- Sun XG, Hansen JE, Garatachea N, Storer TW, Wasserman K. Ventilatory efficiency during exercise in healthy subjects. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;166:1443-8.
- Lewis DA, Sietsema KE, Casaburi R, Sue DY. Inaccuracy of noninvasive estimates of VD/VT in clinical exercise testing. *Chest* 1994;106:1476-80.
- Martens ME, Smith WJ. The role of NAD⁺ depletion in the mechanism of sulfur mustard-induced metabolic injury. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol* 2008; 27:41-53.
- Mol MA, van de Ruit AM, Kluivers AW. NAD⁺ levels and glucose uptake of cultured human epidermal cells exposed to sulfur mustard. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 1989;98:159-65.
- Ghanei M, Harandi AA. Long term consequences from exposure to sulfur mustard: A review. *Inhal Toxicol* 2007;19:451-6.
- Fedeles BI, Zhu AY, Young KS, Hillier SM, Proffitt KD, Essigmann JM, et al. Chemical genetics analysis of an aniline mustard anticancer agent reveals complex I of the electron transport chain as a target. *J Biol Chem* 2011;286:33910-20.
- Saber H, Saburi A, Ghanei M. Clinical and paraclinical guidelines for management of sulfur mustard induced bronchiolitis obliterans; From bench to bedside. *Inhal Toxicol* 2012;24:900-6.

Source of Support: None, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

New features on the journal's website


Optimized content for mobile and hand-held devices

HTML pages have been optimized of mobile and other hand-held devices (such as iPad, Kindle, iPod) for faster browsing speed. Click on **[Mobile Full text]** from Table of Contents page. This is simple HTML version for faster download on mobiles (if viewed on desktop, it will be automatically redirected to full HTML version)

E-Pub for hand-held devices

EPUB is an open e-book standard recommended by The International Digital Publishing Forum which is designed for reflowable content i.e. the text display can be optimized for a particular display device. Click on **[EPub]** from Table of Contents page. There are various e-Pub readers such as for Windows: Digital Editions, OS X: Calibre/Bookworm, iPhone/iPod Touch/iPad: Stanza, and Linux: Calibre/Bookworm.

E-Book for desktop

One can also see the entire issue as printed here in a 'flip book' version on desktops. Links are available from Current Issue as well as Archives pages. Click on  View as eBook