



# CENTER FOR HEALTH AND SAFETY IN THE WORKPLACE

A study by the RAND Institute for Civil Justice

[THE ARTS](#)

[CHILD POLICY](#)

[CIVIL JUSTICE](#)

[EDUCATION](#)

[ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT](#)

[HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE](#)

[INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS](#)

[NATIONAL SECURITY](#)

[POPULATION AND AGING](#)

[PUBLIC SAFETY](#)

[SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY](#)

[SUBSTANCE ABUSE](#)

[TERRORISM AND  
HOMELAND SECURITY](#)

[TRANSPORTATION AND  
INFRASTRUCTURE](#)

[WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE](#)

[Jump down to document ▾](#)

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world.

## Support RAND

[Purchase this document](#)

[Browse Books & Publications](#)

[Make a charitable contribution](#)

## For More Information

Visit RAND at [www.rand.org](http://www.rand.org)

Explore the [RAND Center for Health and Safety in the Workplace](#)

[View document details](#)

## Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Unauthorized posting of RAND PDFs to a non-RAND Web site is prohibited. RAND PDFs are protected under copyright law. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please see [RAND Permissions](#).

This product is part of the RAND Corporation technical report series. Reports may include research findings on a specific topic that is limited in scope; present discussions of the methodology employed in research; provide literature reviews, survey instruments, modeling exercises, guidelines for practitioners and research professionals, and supporting documentation; or deliver preliminary findings. All RAND reports undergo rigorous peer review to ensure that they meet high standards for research quality and objectivity.

# TECHNICAL REPORT

---

## Mandatory Workplace Safety and Health Programs

Implementation, Effectiveness, and  
Benefit-Cost Trade-Offs

Tom LaTourrette, John Mendeloff

Sponsored by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania



CENTER FOR HEALTH AND SAFETY  
IN THE WORKPLACE

This study was sponsored by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and was conducted in the RAND Center for Health and Safety in the Workplace.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

LaTourrette, Tom, 1963-

Mandatory workplace safety and health programs : implementation, effectiveness, and benefit-cost trade-offs / Tom LaTourrette, John Mendeloff.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 978-0-8330-4557-7 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. United States. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. 2. Occupational health services—Standards—United States. 3. Medical policy—United States. 4. Industrial safety—United States. I. Mendeloff, John M. II. Rand Corporation. III. Title.

[DNLM: 1. United States. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. 2. Safety Management—standards—United States. 3. Accidents, Occupational—prevention & control—United States. 4. Health Policy—United States. 5. Occupational Diseases—prevention & control—United States. 6. Occupational Health Services—standards—United States. WA 485 L361w 2008]

RC968.L38 2008

363.11—dc22

2008034475

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors.

RAND® is a registered trademark.

© Copyright 2008 RAND Corporation

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form by any electronic or mechanical means (including photocopying, recording, or information storage and retrieval) without permission in writing from RAND.

Published 2008 by the RAND Corporation

1776 Main Street, P.O. Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138

1200 South Hayes Street, Arlington, VA 22202-5050

4570 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2665

RAND URL: <http://www.rand.org>

To order RAND documents or to obtain additional information, contact

Distribution Services: Telephone: (310) 451-7002;

Fax: (310) 451-6915; Email: [order@rand.org](mailto:order@rand.org)

## Summary

---

In 1998, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) began to develop a standard that would have required all workplaces to establish a “safety and health program.” A safety and health program uses management tools that address general behaviors and procedures to reduce the risk of occupational injuries and illnesses. Safety and health programs typically have four main components:

- management commitment and employee involvement
- worksite analysis
- hazard prevention and control
- safety and health training.

Several states already had regulations requiring safety and health programs. Others—and OSHA itself—provide incentives for employers to voluntarily adopt safety and health programs. OSHA argued that establishments that had safety and health programs had lower rates of injuries and illnesses. OSHA chose to abandon its rulemaking effort, partly because its proposal for an ergonomics standard drew most of the agency’s standard-setting resources away from other areas and partly due to opposition from the business community. Critics of the proposed standard charged that the requirements were vague and left too much discretion to inspectors, that the evidence for the effectiveness of mandates for safety and health programs was unconvincing, and that the cost to employers of implementing such programs was very high and greatly underestimated by OSHA.

Interest remains at both the federal and state levels in finding ways to increase the prevalence of safety and health programs. As a contribution to the discussion of this issue, this report examines the evidence on effectiveness, costs, and benefits that was cited by different parties during the 1998 rulemaking and in more recent studies.

This report addresses the key question of whether mandatory safety and health programs are effective. Assessing the likely impact of a safety and health program standard requires two separate steps. The first is to estimate the effect on baseline injuries that would result from adopting a certain set of practices. The second is to estimate the extent to which employers will actually adopt those practices.<sup>1</sup> It is important to note that our analysis is concerned with mandatory safety and health programs. We have not carefully evaluated the evidence on the effectiveness of voluntary safety and health programs. There is certainly evidence to suggest

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that, for the purpose of establishing the “feasibility” of a new standard, OSHA generally assumes that there will be full compliance. Thus, the second step does not enter into that analysis. In contrast, if we are trying to estimate the expected benefits and costs of a new standard, it is necessary to take compliance into account.

that firms that voluntarily and conscientiously administer safety and health programs achieve reductions in injuries and illnesses.

We reviewed a limited set of studies and found that, although they mostly suggest that mandatory safety and health programs reduce injuries and illnesses, there are methodological and confounding factors that render their conclusions uncertain. Thus, these studies do not permit confidence in the effectiveness of mandatory safety and health programs.

As a result, we developed a sensitivity analysis that examines how effective a mandatory safety and health program would have to be to generate benefits that exceed its costs. Using our interpretation of OSHA's data and analyses and improved measures of benefits, we found that reductions in injuries of less than 10 percent could probably generate a positive net benefit. Of course, this conclusion rests on a number of assumptions that are open to challenge. It would be helpful to carry out a more thorough analysis, and, consequently, we suggest several strategies for learning more about the program effects and costs.

Our study also recognized that a mandatory safety and health program standard raises a variety of legal and enforcement issues. Employers expressed concern that requirements would be too vague to tell them clearly what had to be done and would leave too much discretion to compliance officers. They also worried about "double jeopardy," e.g., being cited for both a hazard-specific violation and a safety program violation for not having identified the hazard in its required surveys of the workplace. To gain perspective, we suggest that future studies try to learn from OSHA directors and employers in states with mandatory programs about whether these concerns have materialized in a significant way.

Based on our analysis, we make some recommendations for further research and other steps to clarify the effectiveness, implementation issues, and benefit-cost trade-offs of safety and health programs.

## Effectiveness

A number of different types of analyses could help encourage better understanding of the effectiveness of mandatory safety and health programs:

- Examine whether establishments cited for safety and health program violations had higher injury rates prior to the citation than did other firms that were inspected but not cited.
- Examine whether establishments cited for safety and health program violations showed improvement in their injury rates subsequent to the citation, compared to similar establishments that did not get cited.
- Look for associations between safety and health program violations and intermediate metrics of effectiveness, such as measures of management commitment and worker engagement, changes in hazard identification rates, and changes in violation rates of other OSHA standards.
- Conduct more research to understand how changes in state workers' compensation programs could affect workers' reporting of injuries and illnesses.

## Implementation and Enforcement

To better clarify the issues and impediments related to the implementation and enforcement of a safety and health program standard, it would be valuable to address the following questions:

- How frequently is the safety and health program standard cited relative to other standards, how often are such violations cited as “serious,” and which elements of a safety and health program standard are most commonly cited?
- What are the states’ enforcement policies, and is there any relationship between these and the evidence about the effectiveness of the state programs?
- What type of training do inspectors receive to judge compliance and enforce the standard? Are there specific training tools or approaches that have been particularly successful?
- What sorts of communication efforts and other special assistance do states provide to employers prior to and during the early phases of implementation?
- What type of feedback have states received from employers regarding implementation and enforcement, and how have states responded to feedback?

## Benefits and Costs

An updated and improved analysis of the benefits and costs of a safety and health program standard would benefit from efforts to

- clarify the current industry baseline in terms of workers and establishments that have compliant safety and health programs
- consider the impact of safety and health programs on all injury types rather than just lost-workday injuries
- gather improved data on program costs from interviews, site visits, surveys, and stakeholder input.