


PPPL-4253

PPPL-4253

**Beta-Suppression of Alfvén Cascade Modes  
in the National Spherical Torus Experiment**

E.D. Fredrickson, N.A. Crocker, N.N. Gorelenkov,  
W.W. Heidbrink, S. Kubota, F.M. Levinton,  
H. Yuh, J.E. Menard, and R.E. Bell

July 2007



# Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

## Report Disclaimers

---

### Full Legal Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

### Trademark Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

---

## PPPL Report Availability

### Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory:

<http://www.pppl.gov/techreports.cfm>

### Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):

<http://www.osti.gov/bridge>

---

### Related Links:

[U.S. Department of Energy](#)

[Office of Scientific and Technical Information](#)

[Fusion Links](#)

## $\beta$ suppression of Alfvén Cascade Modes in NSTX

E. D. Fredrickson, N. A. Crocker<sup>2</sup>, N. N. Gorelenkov, W. W. Heidbrink<sup>1</sup>,  
S. Kubota<sup>2</sup>, F. M. Levinton<sup>3</sup>, H. Yuh<sup>3</sup>, J.E. Menard, R. E. Bell  
*Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton New Jersey 08543*

<sup>1</sup>*Univ. of California., Irvine, CA 92697*

<sup>2</sup>*Univ. of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095*

<sup>3</sup>*Nova Photonics, Princeton, NJ 08543*

### INTRODUCTION

The coupling of Alfvén Cascade (AC) modes or reversed-shear Alfvén eigenmodes (rsAE) to Geodesic Acoustic Modes (GAM) [1] implies that the range of the AC frequency sweep is reduced as the electron  $\beta$  is increased [2].

This model provides an explanation for the otherwise surprising absence of AC

modes in reverse shear NSTX plasmas, given the rich spectrum of beam-driven instabilities typically seen in NSTX. In experiments done at very low  $\beta$  to investigate this prediction, AC modes were seen, and as the  $\beta_e$  was increased from shot to shot, the range of the AC frequency sweep was reduced, in agreement with this theoretical prediction [3].

Confirmation of the identification of the modes as Alfvén Cascades requires an independent measurement of the  $q$

profile evolution. First to show that the  $q$  profile indeed has an off-axis minimum needed for the AC mode, and second that the evolution of the  $q_{\min}$  agrees with that deduced from the AC mode frequencies. The regime discovered in the initial AC mode experiments has recently been revisited to obtain concurrent measurements of the  $q$ -profile evolution with the MSE diagnostic. The  $q_{\min}$  evolutions as deduced from the MSE diagnostic and data from the AC modes are found to be in good agreement and further, the




Fig. 1. Spectrogram showing Alfvén Cascade modes. Cyan and magenta curves indicate local TAE gap center and Geodesic Acoustic mode frequencies, respectively

minimum frequency of the AC modes are in good agreement with estimates of the Geodesic Acoustic Mode frequency.

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The conditions of the original experiment were reproduced, and a spectrum from a very low  $\beta$  shot is shown in Fig. 1a. The AC modes can be seen as the upward chirping modes with black signifying  $n = 1$ , red  $n = 2$ , green  $n = 3$  and blue  $n = 4$ . The local, at  $q_{min}$ , estimate for the Geodesic Acoustic mode frequency,  $f_{GAM} = C_S(2 + 7T_i/2T_e)^{1/2}/(2\pi R)$  is shown in magenta, and the center frequency of the TAE gap at  $q_{min}$ ,  $f_{TAE} = V_{Alfvén}/(4\pi q_{min}R)$ , is shown in cyan. The plasma current, beam heating waveform and neutron rate are shown in Figs. 1b and c.

The clearest of the AC modes in Fig 1a is the  $n = 2$  mode (red contours) with the sinusoidal shape between 210 ms and 350 ms and 75 kHz and 140




Fig. 2. Spectrogram showing Alfvén Cascade modes.

kHz. The initial upward sweep between  $\approx 210$  ms and  $\approx 250$  ms is likely the  $m = 3$ ,  $n = 2$  mode following the  $q_{min} = 1.5$  crossing. TAE modes, bursting and chirping, persist while the AC mode sweeps back downwards, now as an  $m = 2$ ,  $n = 2$ . The  $n = 2$  AC mode reaches the estimated GAM frequency of  $\approx 75$  kHz at about 320 ms.

Concurrent with the  $n = 2$  downward sweep, at slightly higher frequency can be seen an  $n = 3$  AC mode, also sweeping downward. The confluence of the  $n = 3$  and  $n = 2$  frequency minima at 320 ms suggests that this corresponds to a  $q_{min} = 1$  crossing. An  $n = 4$  AC mode was also seen sporadically during this interval, but was too weak to show in the spectrogram. Earlier AC modes are also seen in the spectrogram. For example, between 180 ms and 210 ms there is an  $n = 1$  AC mode and possibly and  $n = 2$  AC mode.

The AC modes in this example were excited with the innermost neutral beam source, C. The MSE diagnostic requires source A. The tangency radii of

the three beam sources on NSTX are 69.4 cm, 59.2 cm and 49.7 cm for sources A through C respectively ( $R_0 \approx 0.85$  m). It is typically observed that source A, which is somewhat more co-tangential, excites modes with stronger frequency chirping. A simple substitution of source A for C before 200 ms results in the spectrogram shown in Fig. 2. The strong chirping of the modes obscures, or possibly suppresses the AC modes.

To validate the relevance of the measured  $q_{\min}$  evolution, a sequence of nine plasmas, including this one, were made. These included discharges where source C was substituted for source A after 200, 230 or 250 ms, and discharges where source A was substituted for source C after

200, 230 and 260 ms, and one shot with only source A. The  $q_{\min}$  evolutions determined through MSE-constrained equilibrium reconstructions are shown as the black curves in Figs. 3 and 4. The evolution of  $q_{\min}$  seems relatively unaffected by the choice of neutral beam source for these shots.

## ANALYSIS

The dispersion relation for Alfvén Cascade (AC) modes at low  $\beta$  is very simple

$$\omega = k_{\parallel} V_{\text{Alfvén}}, \text{ where } k_{\parallel} = (m-nq)/qR$$

so at resonant surfaces, the AC mode frequency is zero. The theory proposed by Berk, *et al.* [1] finds that the AC modes couple to Geodesic Acoustic Modes (GAM) which sets a lower bound on the onset frequency. For finite beta, the dispersion relation becomes

$$\omega^2 = (k_{\parallel} V_{\text{Alfvén}})^2 + 2C_s^2(1 + 7T_i/4T_e)/R^2$$

This modification to the dispersion relation predicts the sinusoidal frequency variation of the  $n = 2$  AC mode frequency sweeps shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 3. Evolution of  $q_{\min}$  deduced from spectrogram in Fig. 1. Black lines indicate  $q_{\min}$  evolution from MSE in similar shots.

The AC mode upward frequency sweep saturates in the TAE gap (as can be seen in Fig. 1). The ratio of the minimum to the maximum of the frequency sweep is then the ratio of the TAE frequency to the GAM frequency. As that ratio approaches one, the Alfvén Cascades will essentially disappear. Thus, a necessary condition for the presence of frequency-sweeping AC modes may be written:

$$\omega_{\text{TAE}}^2/\omega_{\text{GAM}}^2 \approx 4q^2R^2/V_{\text{Alfvén}}^2 2C_s^2/R^2 (1+7T_i/4T_e) = 4q^2\beta_e (1+7T_i/4T_e) < 1.$$

At the high  $\beta$ 's typical for beam heated ST plasmas, AC modes would not be expected.

The AC mode dispersion relation may be used to deduce the  $q_{\text{min}}$  evolution from the observations of the AC mode frequency sweeping. The first step is to subtract the GAM frequency, in quadrature, from the observed frequency (Doppler corrections have also been made, but are negligible in these low density plasmas). The GAM




Fig. 4. Evolution of  $q_{\text{min}}$  deduced from spectrogram in Fig. 2. Black line indicates  $q_{\text{min}}$  evolution from MSE averaged over similar shots.

frequency is approximated by a linear fit to the evolution shown in Fig. 1a (magenta curve), but scaled by 1.1 to match the observed minimum  $n = 2$  AC mode frequency at 250 ms. The results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 3. The black curves are the  $q_{\text{min}}$  evolutions obtained from MSE-constrained equilibrium reconstructions. The black, red, green and blue circles correspond to analysis of  $n = 1$  through  $n = 4$  modes, respectively. The result of a similar analysis for the AC modes after 200 ms in Fig. 2 is shown in Fig. 4. In both cases the  $q_{\text{min}}^{\text{AC}}$  evolution is in reasonable agreement with that inferred from the equilibrium reconstructions, although the  $q_{\text{min}}^{\text{AC}}$  tends to be slightly lower than  $q_{\text{min}}^{\text{equil}}$  lower after 250ms.

\* Work supported by U.S. DOE Contract DE-AC02-76CH03073.

[1] B N Breizman, M S Pekker, S E Sharapov, Phys. Plasmas **12** 112506 (2005).

[2] H Berk, J Van Dam, *private communication* 2006.

[3] E D Fredrickson, N A Crocker, N N Gorelenkov, *et al.*, *submitted to Phys. Plasmas* (2007).



The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated  
by Princeton University under contract  
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services  
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory  
P.O. Box 451  
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750  
Fax: 609-243-2751  
e-mail: [pppl\\_info@pppl.gov](mailto:pppl_info@pppl.gov)  
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>